Sustainable Energy Efficiency

User Guide

Energy Efficiency in the Process Industries

A User-guide to Sustainable Energy Efficiency and the Impact of the European Energy Efficiency Directive

Author: David Stockill

Contents

1 Introduction 10

1.1 Preface 10
1.2 Intended Audience 10
1.3 How to use the Guide 11

2 Definitions, Acronyms and Terminology 12

The concept of Industrial Energy Distribution: 12

3 Energy Efficiency Challenges in 2013 15

3.1 Background 15
3.2 Process Industry Potential 17
3.3 The 2012 Energy Efficiency Directive 17

4 Developing the Way Forward 18

4.1 The Overall Programme 18
4.2 Assessment of Site Energy Maturity - the Initial Health Check 20
4.3 Energy Programme Skills and Resources 21

5 Core Operation – The Energy Management System 23

5.1 Developing an EMS 23
5.1.1 The EMS Design Workshop 24
5.1.1.1 Timing and organisation 24
5.1.1.2 Attendees 24
5.1.1.3 Agenda 24
5.1.1.4 Outcomes 25
5.1.2 Basic Components of EMS – 'Essential Best Practice' 25
5.1.2.1 Policy and Strategy 25
5.1.2.2 Accountabilities 27
5.1.2.3 Organisation 27
5.1.2.4 Competencies 27
5.1.2.5 Work Processes 28
5.2 ISO 50001 28
5.2.1 Plan-Do-Check-Act 30
5.2.2 Benefits of Certification 31
5.3 Links to Corporate Systems 31
5.4 Development Support and further Information 31

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

6 Energy Management Information Systems 32

6.1 Objectives 32
6.2 The Components of EMIS 33
6.2.1 System configuration – hardware/software 33
6.2.2 Data Structures/KPI and Target Setting Philosophy 34
6.2.3 Energy Driver Variables 36
6.2.4 Use of Energy Loss Points 36
6.3 Operating with EMIS & User Interfaces 37
6.3.1 User Interfaces 38
6.4 Development of an EMIS 42
6.5 Core Activities - System Building 42
6.5.1 Allocate Areas of Operation 42
Feedstocks 43
Products 43
Classes of Energy 43
6.5.2 Energy balances 44
6.5.3 Identification of Energy Loss Points 45
6.5.4 Preliminary list of KPIs 45
6.5.5 Driver Development and Identification 45
6.5.6 Constraint identification 46
6.5.7 Setting Targets for KPIs and Drivers 46
6.5.7.1 Historical Best performance 46
6.5.7.2 Statistical Correlation 47
6.5.7.3 First Principles Model 47
6.5.8 Data Validation 48
6.6 EMIS Skills and Competencies 48
6.7 Key EMS Applications and Processes 48

7 Energy Target Setting and Performance Review 49

7.1 The Energy Target Setting Process 50
7.1.1 Site Energy Monitoring Targets and KPI Structure 50
7.1.2 Annual Target Setting 50
7.1.3 Monthly Target Setting 51
7.1.4 Weekly Operational Targets and the setting of Operating Instructions 51
7.1.5 Daily and Real-time activities 51
7.2 The Energy Performance Review Process 51
7.2.1 Daily Energy Performance Review 51
7.2.2 Weekly Energy Performance Review 52
7.2.3 Monthly Site Energy Performance Review 52

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

8 The Impact of Maintenance Practices on Energy Performance 54

9 Making a Step-change: Opportunities, Auditing and Improvement Projects 56

9.1 The Energy Walkthrough 57
9.2 Energy Projects – Identification and Assessment 57
9.2.1 Team and Preparation 58
9.2.2 Assessment Process and Operational Reviews 58
9.2.3 Project Generation and Validation 59
9.3 Ongoing Improvement – The Mature Operation 59
9.4 Financial Planning and Project Economics 60
9.4.1 Standard Project Economics Techniques 60
9.4.2 Utilities Marginal pricing 62
9.4.3 Investment Thresholds for Energy Projects 63

10 Common Energy Tools and Techniques 64

10.1 Measurement and Control of Energy Streams 64
10.1.1 Mass and Energy Balances 64
10.1.1.1 Feed and Product streams 65
10.1.1.2 Steam 65
10.1.1.3 Fuels 65
10.1.1.4 Electrical Power Measurements 66
10.1.2 Process Control 66
10.1.2.1 Controller Tuning and Basic Set-up 66
10.1.2.2 Feed-Forward Control 67
10.1.2.3 Constraint pushing control 68
10.1.2.4 Model Predictive Control (MPC) 69
10.2 Utilities Systems 71
10.2.1 Steam Generation 71
10.2.1.1 Boiler Feed Water Preheat 71
10.2.1.2 Deaerator operation 72
10.2.1.3 Minimising Blowdown 73
10.2.1.4 Condensate Collection and Heat Recovery 74
10.2.2 Steam Networks and Distribution Optimisation 74
10.2.2.1 Utilities Optimisation 75
10.2.2.2 Structural changes - Steam blending 76
10.3 Combustion Activities 76
10.3.1 Installed Equipment 77
10.3.1.1 Upgrade natural draught to forced draught operation 77
10.3.1.2 Improved combustion air-preheat 77
10.3.1.3 Burner upgrades – low NO_x and turndown 78
10.3.2 Furnace Control 78

 ©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

10.3.2.1 Air and Fuel Measurement....78
10.3.2.2 Air-Fuel ratio control....78
10.3.2.3 Waste Gas Firing....79
10.3.3 Furnace Operations – Training and Competencies....80
10.3.4 Maintaining Fired Equipment....81
10.4 Maintenance in Support of Energy Efficiency....81
10.4.1 Cleaning of Heat Transfer Equipment (including fin-fans)....82
10.4.2 Steam Leak Programmes....82
'Steam Team' example....82
Steam Trap Monitoring....83
10.4.4 Lagging....84
10.4.5 Use of Asset Monitoring Techniques....85
10.5 Pinch Analysis and Improved Heat Recovery....86
10.5.1 Pinch Analysis....86
10.6 Variable Speed Drives....88

11 Skills and Competencies for Energy Activities....89

11.1 Organisational Core Competencies and Skill Areas....89
11.1.1 Site Energy Manager....89
11.1.2 Process Engineers....89
11.1.3 Utilities Engineering....90
11.1.4 Control and Instrumentation....90
11.1.5 Operational Staff....90
11.2 Important Specialist Support Skills....90
11.3 EMS and EMIS skills and competencies....91
11.4 Skill Management....92

12 The EU Energy Efficiency Directive....93

12.1 History and Development....93
12.2 Highlights....93
12.3 Main recommendations for the Process Industries....94
12.3.1 Energy Audits....94
12.3.1.1 Requirements to undergo auditing....94
12.3.1.2 Auditing and Energy Management Systems Exemption....95
12.3.1.3 Requirements on SMEs....95
12.3.1.4 Minimum Audit Standards....95
12.3.2 Energy Targets and Obligation Schemes....96
12.3.2.1 Requirements on Member States....96
12.3.2.2 Sectors to be included/excluded....96
12.3.2.3 Alternate Policy Measures....96
12.4 How will it be managed? How does the EED apply to you?....97

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

13 Benefits and Case Studies 98

13.1 The Benefits from Energy Management Systems 98
13.2 Case study 1 – Performance Modelling and Measurement 99
13.3 Case study 2 – the Role of Maintenance and Management 99

Supporting Appendices 101

Appendix A. ISO 50001 102

A.1 Structure 102
A.2 Methodology 102
A.3 Implementing ISO 50001 103
A.4 Contents of ISO 50001 104

Appendix B. Carbon Trust Energy Management Maturity Matrix 105

Appendix C. EMIS Objectives checklist 106

C.1 Functionality: Does the EMIS Deliver the Following? 106
C.2 Features: Does the EMIS Include the Following Key Features? 107
C.3 System Components 108
C.4 EMIS Support 109

Appendix D. Energy Walkthrough Template 110

D.1 Team Composition 110
D.2 Pre-Walkthrough Information to be provided by the Site 110
D.3 Site Operational Overview 111
D.4 Site-Wide Energy Management Questionnaire 112
D.5 Typical Process Unit Interview 113
D.6 Energy Management Maturity Assessment 114
D.7 Inventorise and prioritise opportunities for improvement 114

 ©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Appendix E. Energy Projects – Identification and Assessment Process 115

E.1 Preparation 115
E.1.1 Information review 115
E.1.2 Plan for Assessment Period 116
E.1.3 Develop Understanding of Plant Configuration and Energy Use 116
E.1.4 Operations Reviews 116
E.2 Generate Observations and Opportunities 117
E.2.1 Observations 117
E.2.2 Creating Opportunities 118
E.2.3 Opportunities Validation 119
E.2.4 Opportunities Prioritisation and Project Development 119
E.2.5 Early Implementation (Quick-win) 119
E.3 Example of Observations-Gathering Datasheet 120
E.4 Example of Opportunity Data Base 122
Potential Opportunities – Project Masterlist XYZ Company at Location ABC 122
E.5 Energy Improvement Proposal Template 123
E.5 Energy Improvement Proposal Template (continued) 124

Appendix F. Emerson Process Management Tools and techniques web links 125

Appendix G. EU BReF Listing 126

Appendix H. Other Good Practice Guides and sources of Information 127

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

1 Introduction

1.1 Preface

The EU Energy Efficiency Directive 2011/172 (referred to as the EED) came into force in November 2012 and will be rolled out across EU Member States during 2013/14. Whilst the EED covers a complete spectrum of activities, from domestic energy usage through buildings, transport, distribution and Industry, the key issues relevant to the Process Industry sector are the encouragement to implement energy management systems and also the requirement for large industrial plants to undergo regular energy performance audits by externally accredited auditors. The EED specifically mandates the encouragement of SMEs to adopt Best Practice in these areas.

Minimum requirements are specified in the EED to form the basis for Member States to develop their legislation and local standards.

This manual has been expressly developed with the EED standards in mind and is designed to present a Best Practice methodology for Process Industry Users which is consistent with and more than meets the requirements of the EED.

1.2 Intended Audience

This guide has two prime readerships:

Firstly, Operations and Technology Managers who wish to understand the impact of the Energy Efficiency Directive and how current Best Practice can be put to use to develop a sustainable plan leading to long term energy efficient operation. It will also be of use to Corporate Energy Managers who are looking at developing a company-wide energy strategy.

Secondly, it is targeted at Energy Managers and Engineers who have been charged with bringing such a programme into reality and need a framework around which to develop the detailed Site initiatives, activities and projects.

In developing this guide a mental model of a typical mid-range process or chemical site has been used. This will have a traditional well-known organisational structure – Site Management Team, Operations Department (perhaps several plants), Maintenance Department (perhaps a few zones), Technical Support, Finance, HR, IT etc. This may or may not apply to the reader's particular circumstances but it is hoped to be a recognisable entity and that the reader can draw parallels with his/her own Site and organisation.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

1.3 How to use the Guide

The guide is not intended as a definitive recipe book. Local circumstances, business types and organisations will have a large influence in the actual practice employed. However the Guide should enable a Company or location to determine a credible framework in which to operate.

Chapters 4 to 8 form the core of the Guide – Assessing the energy issues on Site, developing an Energy Management System, Building an Energy Management Information system and running Audits and Improvement programmes. These can be read as a complete picture, particularly useful if the reader is coming from a site with no existing energy management structures in place. However the individual chapters are designed as far as possible to be stand-alone in their own right and can be read as such.

Alongside this are supporting chapters on energy efficiency techniques, financial benefits, case studies, the impact of the European Energy Directive and various detailed Appendices.



©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

2 Definitions, Acronyms and Terminology

The concept of Industrial Energy Distribution:



BReF	**Best Available Technology Reference Documents.** Best practice documents prepared under the IPPC.
Carbon Trust	A non-profit company, established by the UK Government, that helps organisations reduce their carbon emissions and become more resource efficient. Its stated mission is to accelerate the move to a sustainable, low carbon economy & reinvests surpluses from its commercial activities to this aim.
Class of Energy	A generic descriptor for different types of energy used in manufacturing – fuel gas, electricity, steam, etc.
DCS	**Distributed Control System.** The generic name for a typical microprocessor-based control system used to control the production line in the process industries. The entire system of controllers is connected by networks for communication and monitoring.
EED	**Energy Efficiency Directive.** Issued in 2012, the EED is a more stringent set of targets and legislation behind a more harmonised EU Energy Policy.
EII	Energy Intensity Index. A benchmarking index, widely used in the Oil Refining Industry, which allows comparison for energy performance between sites and companies. Fundamentally an energy/feed ratio with many industry-specific corrections.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

EMS	**Energy Management System.** A documented system of work processes which defines how a particular location will manage energy in an efficient manner (strategy, responsibilities, actions, checks).
EMIS	**Energy Management Information System.** The data storage and reporting system, typically part of the Process Historian, which provides energy data, calculations, reporting and the foundation for energy consumption analysis.
ETS	**Emissions Trading System.** Established by the EU in 2005, the ETS is a market-based approach using cap and trade methods to control greenhouse gases by providing economic incentives for achieving reductions in the emissions.
Energy Drivers	The plant variables (flows, temperatures) which have a direct impact on the energy consumption of a particular unit.
Energy Project Assessment	A detailed assessment of a unit energy performance leading to a set of costed and prioritised project recommendations
Energy Walkthrough	A short assessment of a location's energy strategy, performance and outline scope for improvement.
HPS	**High Pressure Steam.** Typically the highest pressure level steam generated in the Boiler House on a manufacturing complex. Normally used for electricity generation in turbo-alternator sets.
IED	**Industrial Emissions Directive.** 2010 Directive replacing the IPPC and other related directives in a single updated document.
IPPC	**Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control.** 1996 EU directive, updated in 2008 defining the pollution control obligations with which industrial activities must comply. It establishes a procedure for authorising these activities and sets minimum requirements to be included in all permits, particularly in terms of pollutants released.
ISO 50001	The International Standard for Energy Management Systems.
ISO 14001	The International Standard for Environmental Management Systems.
KPI	**Key Performance Indicator.** A calculated measure of performance for comparison and benchmarking purposes, e.g. tonnes fuel/tonne feed processed.
LC(C)A	**Life Cycle (Cost) Analysis.** Economic project evaluation techniques which look to total costs and benefits and their phasing over the installed life of a project investment.
LHV	**Lower Heating Value.** The effective sensible heat available from a combustible fuel.
LPS	**Low Pressure Steam.** The lowest pressure steam on site – produced as let-down from MPS consumers. Used for all general steam utilities, tracing, low temperature process users.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

MPS	**Medium Pressure Steam.** Often produced as the let-down steam from electricity generation, MPS is used typically for drives, ejectors, and key processes uses needing a high steam condensing temperature.
Pinch Analysis	A methodology for minimising energy consumption of process units by calculating thermodynamically feasible energy targets and achieving them by optimising heat recovery systems, energy supply methods and process operating conditions.
Plan-Do-Check-Act	The basic stages in the ISO series of Management Systems.
Primary Energy Conversion	The initial transformation of external fuels into energy streams, either directly to the process or in a boiler house/utilities complex.
Process Historian	A long term storage vehicle for process data (flows, temperatures), often integrated into the DCS. Allows easy data retrieval, report building and calculations and programming using historical plant data. Nowadays accessed through Window/PC applications
SCADA	**Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition.** A form of computer control typically used for multiple sites and remote locations.
Secondary Energy Conversion	The subsequent utilisation of energy already transformed into steam and electricity by the process.
SME	**Small & Medium Enterprises.** Defined as <250 employees and <€50 million turnover
Stoichiometric combustion	The theoretical point at which exactly enough combustion air is provided to burn a given quantity of fuel. Below this point, partial or incomplete combustion takes place.
Utilities systems	The generic term for the collection of plants, normally boilers and power generators, which provide site-wide common energy steams steam, electricity, nitrogen, compressed air etc. for subsequent use by the processing units.
Wireless technology	In this instance the use of wireless technology to communicate between field instrumentation devices and control rooms, replacing the conventional 4 – 20mA wiring systems.
2020 Targets	The EU targets on renewable and energy efficiency originally announced in 2007.
IPPC	**Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control.** 1996 EU directive, updated in 2008 defining the pollution control obligations with which industrial activities must comply. It establishes a procedure for authorising these activities and sets minimum requirements to be included in all permits, particularly in terms of pollutants released.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

3 Energy Efficiency Challenges in 2013

3.1 Background

Energy saving initiatives in the Process Industry have had a chequered history. A regular part of industrial life, especially since the end of 'cheap oil' in the mid 1970s, the tools and techniques are well known and can generate an attractive earning power. But Industry has not moved on to new higher levels of Energy Efficiency. Universal feedback from suppliers and customers points to issues surrounding the long term sustainability of energy improvement programmes. Benefits erosion is common. Yet in simple terms energy saving appears attractive – solid, understandable technology and good payback.

How does this come about?

Whilst single large capex items can make a structural change in energy performance (e.g. installation of a co-gen unit) a plant's energy performance is generally driven by a large set of (sometimes conflicting) factors:

- Adherence to Operational targets
- Maintenance activities (equipment efficiency and reliability)
- Employed Technology
- Design standards
- Culture and Competency
- Balancing yield/margin/energy

There is no single factor that 'sets' energy. Operating environments continually change. Energy efficient operation requires continual attention to all these factors. As a result, energy has often "slipped through the gaps" and has deteriorated at the expense of short term gains and budgetary pressures. This was not helped by low energy prices in the early 2000s. Priorities were elsewhere.

There is no magic 'silver bullet'. Sustainable Energy Efficiency requires a combination of technology plus procedural and housekeeping approaches and is being encapsulated in the new Standards on Energy and CO_2 Management (e.g. ISO 50001). Detailed point solutions are typically simple and well known but the overall management is a more complex picture.

Fundamentally it is a control problem; at management level – using process data to analyse performance and drive improvement, and at operational level – using modern control techniques to operate closer to (energy efficient) constraints. Accurate, reliable plant energy measurements plus a Distributed Control System and Process Historian provide the foundation to build a consistent approach to energy management.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.



This must be complemented by Systematic Management to ensure long term sustainability and drive Improvement. This sets the entire corporate framework in which the differing levels of control operate. ISO 50001 specifies requirements for an organisation to establish, implement, maintain and improve an Energy Management System. It applies to all aspects affecting energy use which can be monitored and influenced by an organisation.

The key approach is adopting a fit-for-purpose vision which defines the aims and provides the basic checks on management commitment and organisation together with a step-by-step approach to operational improvement.

- Review current Energy Management Effectiveness
- Define Management Responsibilities
- Develop Simple Performance Review
- Identify and Implement initial low level applications. Quick wins
- Review and Improve

The picture emerges of high quality process energy measurements, archived in a site-wide process historian, accessed through user-oriented (PC) interfaces. Modern control, modelling and data analysis tools utilise this data. New measurement techniques (e.g. wireless technology) allow easy access to energy variables which were traditionally excluded from plant instrumentation. Surrounding this is a formalised management process which determines the accountabilities and processes to ensure continuous performance appraisal and improvement.

This then provides the environment for sustainable energy projects and improvement programmes. Audits, plant assessments, opportunity developments and capital projects can proceed with a foundation that will address the ongoing support and assessment needed to ensure continual generation of benefits.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

3.2 Process Industry Potential

In a future of uncertain energy supplies, volatile prices and continuing focus on CO_2 emissions, managing the efficient energy consumption of Industrial plants has to become an important 'must do' activity. This complexity and uncertainty means that Carbon, climate change and energy efficiency are becoming important Board level issues with key impacts on competitiveness, product strategies, brand and reputation. It can be foreseen that Industrial attitudes to Energy Efficiency could be transformed in a similar way to that of Health and Safety.

Industrial Energy Efficiency is influenced by a wide variety of factors in all aspects of operation – technology, maintenance activities, operational excellence, design, skills, competencies and training. Whilst industry has undertaken a variety of energy saving initiatives over many years they have shown varied success and, historically, problems of sustainability have been reported. Efficiency gains have failed to be sustained as long term energy savings. This is a reflection of the complexity of this multifaceted problem and an inconsistent historical focus on energy in the light of varying energy costs and shifting industrial priorities over time.

Fundamentally, to successfully maintain long term energy savings, it is necessary to address the core issues of energy strategy and management within an industrial organisation. The priorities need to be raised and energy issues embedded across all levels of an organisation. Are the accountabilities, processes and practices in place to ensure the long term realisation of the energy saving initiatives? These provide the backbone to the successful realisation of technological improvements.

3.3 The 2012 Energy Efficiency Directive

The European Union has recognised that without further action the EU '2020' targets* are looking increasingly difficult to achieve. For companies covered by the EUETS Carbon Trading scheme there can still be a gulf between the trade in Carbon credits and the actual day-to-day operation out on the plant. Accordingly the new Energy Efficiency Directive (EED) has been agreed to provide a stronger legislative framework to drive Industry towards greater energy efficiency and is focussed more directly at the operational level. Whilst the EED has to address a full range of energy-related business and activities, from domestic energy usage through buildings, transport, distribution it is possible to consider the impacts on the Process Industry which is typified by high energy chemical processing plants. The key issues relevant to the Process Industry sector are the encouragement to implement energy management systems and also the requirement for large industrial plants to undergo regular energy performance audits by externally accredited auditors. The EED specifically mandates the encouragement of SMEs to adopt Best Practice in these areas.

Minimum requirements are specified in the EED to form the basis for Member States to develop their legislation and local standards. This manual has been expressly developed with the EED standards in mind and is designed to present a Best Practice methodology which is consistent with and more than meets the requirements of the EED.

**20% reduction in Greenhouse Gas Emissions, 20% of Energy from Renewables, 20% reduction in Energy Consumption.*

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

4 Developing the Way Forward

Traditional Energy Improvement projects have concentrated on the technology – typically some form of energy audit/opportunity identification coupled with a project implementation. Perhaps run as a turnkey project. As has been discussed, there have been issues with continued operation and sustaining long term energy savings. It can be treated as a solution in isolation and the more complex issues in the operational environment that surround the application are not addressed. Focus can be lost. Similarly, auditing and energy project identification can be a sterile activity producing a 'shopping list' of projects which stand little chance of successful realisation if there is no clear strategy, organisation and commitment to seeing them through.



Thus when a process plant or company embarks on a programme aimed at improving its energy efficiency performance a wider picture has to be addressed for these and other reasons already outlined in section 3. Best practice, technology and projects need to be exploited in an environment which addresses the company energy strategy and ensures that all the supporting elements of that strategy – the Energy Management System – are in place. Without this approach there is a clear risk that well-earned efficiency gains and can fade and efficiency opportunities are not picked up. The EED recognises this and promotes both technical auditing and opportunity identification as well as supporting the introduction of Energy Management Systems.

These complementary issues will be picked up in the forthcoming chapters.

4.1 The Overall Programme

The scenario that will now be presented assumes the case of a typical manufacturing site that wishes to establish a sustainable energy efficiency programme. This may have been driven by one of several reasons – some competitive benchmarking, a corporate initiative, an analysis of operating costs which highlighted energy costs or something as simple as a new manager bringing in external experience. Anyway the site wishes to embark on an Energy Improvement Initiative.

Of course all sites are different and some may be driven by certain dominant considerations – a constrained utilities network, a particular fuel supply, local emissions regulations etc. The specific detailed solutions to those are beyond the scope of this work however the overall approach to the Improvement programme is common to all and sets the scene from which to tackle the local issues.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

In general the programme will be built around the following basic elements:

- Capital Investment on energy saving technology
- Plant change and operational excellence items
- Systems for Energy Management (strategies, organisation, processes, competencies)
- Energy Reporting and Analysis tools (metrics, targets, reports, etc)

Depending on the maturity of the Site more or less of these may be in place or partly developed.

The aim of the exercise is to establish the correct foundation of management systems and supporting tools which then enables improvement and investment programmes and activities to be developed and executed in a sustainable and profitable manner. All carried out under an agreed clear strategy and vision for site energy performance.

The overall process is as follows:

1. Assess Site's Energy Performance and Priorities
2. Develop Strategy
3. Develop Management Systems and Tools
4. Kick-off Energy Improvement Audit, identify projects and implement



Ideally, a full improvement process and project roll-out programme should materialise as a natural consequence of the EMS and strategy, however it can be desirable to start a 'quick wins' programme of projects at an early stage to gain results momentum and buy–in from good speedy successes.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

4.2 Assessment of Site Energy Maturity - the Initial Health Check

In developing the energy programme a key first step is an initial assessment of the energy priorities and also the maturity of a manufacturing site's energy management. Typically a short (2 – 3 day) walkthrough exercise by an experienced energy management specialist can suffice. This will be used to shape the roll-out and priorities of the Programme.

Inputs to this process will be:

- Interviews with key management and operational staff
- Benchmarking and historical performance data
- Review of future energy constraints, external business drivers and expected impacts
- Completion of maturity assessment (e.g. Carbon Trust model)

The aim is to understand the site's energy maturity and be able to design and shape the programme. A typical health check Assessment agenda and data request form is given in Appendix B.

Outputs of the Assessment will be:

- Understanding of key energy issues and opportunities facing the site
- Basic map of energy utilisation across the site
- Understanding of constraints and drivers affecting future energy efficiency strategy
- Extent of, and strengths and weaknesses of the site's current Energy Management processes

This should be in sufficient detail so as to be able to design an outline Improvement programme and, importantly, scope the Design Workshop so as to best reflect the key site situation.

The Maturity Assessment is a powerful tool in understanding how advanced a site's strategic and organisational attitudes are towards energy management. Various models are available although most are variations on the same basic theme. Examples include the Carbon Trust model (1) and also the Energy Star Plant Managers Guide (2). Appendix B shows the Carbon Trust maturity matrix. The results of the Assessment will play an important role in determining the Improvement Programme priorities and also the design/evaluation of the Site Energy Management System (see section 5.2).

Both guides give more comprehensive guidance on how to use the assessment methodology and develop the Programmes.

1) Good Practice Guide: A Strategic Approach to Energy & Environmental Management. The Carbon Trust, GPG376.
2) An Energy Star Guide for Energy & Plant Managers. Berkeley National Laboratory, LBNL-56183.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

4.3 Energy Programme Skills and Resources

Two positions are essential to any Energy Improvement Programme: a sponsor on the site Management Team and a (full-time) Programme Manager. These will lead an implementation activity (full-time or part-time) supported by specialist resources on an as-needs basis. Beyond the implementation programme the position of Site Energy Manager is a key long-term requirement.

The Management Team representation is vital to ensure that the inevitable cross-departmental issues and priorities that any energy programme inevitably raises are resolved at the right level. It also promotes the correct gravitas and commitment to the programme and should be maintained in the long term as a permanent responsibility (e.g. refer to Maturity Assessment Matrix in Appendix B).

The Programme Manager's role is to run the programme on a day-to-day basis and deliver the changes. Whether this is a single role or running a team on a larger project will vary depending on the scale of activities.

Implementation Team Core skills:

- Process Engineering
- Operations Management awareness - how does the site operate, understanding the responsibilities, information flows with lines of communication and delegation
- Basic Control and Instrumentation knowledge
- Appreciation of business economics and scheduling
- Programme management, project planning

Specialist areas (access on an as-needs basis):

- Utilities engineering
- Process Specialists
- Combustion design and operation
- Heat transfer (e.g. Pinch technology)
- Power generation
- Compressed Air
- Turbine specialist
- Advanced control and optimisation
- Measurement specialist
- Process and Statistical modelling

Operations Team Representative

Particularly on larger projects an Operations Team Representative is an important role. Perhaps a training foreman or a day operator he/she can impart vital local operational knowledge and act as a guide and conduit for communications between the energy team and the plant.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Site Energy Manager

All organisations should have an Energy Manager or focal point as a permanent position with the following key responsibilities:

- Site performance monitoring and communications
- Owner of site energy data and records
- Initiation and tracking of energy improvement programmes and initiatives
- Technology and good practice gate-keeping
- Site Energy liaison to external bodies (corporate, institutions)
- Owner of ISO 50001/EMS system

This position should be sufficiently senior in the organisation to be able to communicate with and influence plant and departmental managers. There should be a clear link through to the Site Management Team representative.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

5 Core Operation – The Energy Management System

Energy Management forms the framework for an Organisation's energy decision making – it is the glue that provides consistency and focus to this multifaceted problem which otherwise can prove difficult to address by normal operational structures. In developing an EMS the most important consideration is that it should be 'fit for purpose'. There is no one-size-fits-all. It must be a reflection of the facilities, priorities, strategy and culture of the site or company in question.

In essence an Energy Management System is a documented description of how energy is managed at that location/company. It includes strategy, accountabilities, processes to be followed and means of checking that the processes are adhered to. Typically it may follow the well-known Plan-Do-Check-Act philosophy that is encapsulated in the various ISO management models. Generally a set of tools and reports of energy and related parameters (the Energy Management Information System) support the management process (e.g. providing specific energy consumption data for performance review).

The following chapters provide guidance in establishing an Energy Management Strategy and Energy Management Information System (EMIS). ISO 50001, the International Standard on Energy Management, can provide a useful framework for such developments and this is addressed in section 5.2. Accreditation to ISO 50001 also provides the compliance discipline and external recognition that some organisations may require. But this is by no means a mandatory step.

5.1 Developing an EMS

The prime elements in developing an EMS are:

1. Understanding how Energy is currently managed
2. Developing an agreed vision of how energy will be managed in the future
3. Determining the actions to get there – defining the processes
4. Execution



Whilst there are different ways to go through this, in the author's experience an EMS Workshop has proved to be the most efficient way to mobilise the process.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

5.1.1 The EMS Design Workshop

The EMS Design Workshop defines the aims and outcomes of the EMS. Its outcome will be the basis of design for the system. It is essentially a Team-oriented organisation and process design process. Different companies and locations may have their own structured problem solving techniques which are used in such situations in which case they should certainly be used.

5.1.1.1 Timing and organisation

Typically a 1 to 2 day workshop would be sufficient, ideally held at an off-site location. It is best that the Energy Maturity Survey (section 4.2) has been carried out first and the results circulated to attendees. In preparation the attendees should think about how their current position and how energy consumption is affected by the job/discipline they work in. A clear set of aims and outcomes for the workshop needs to be developed in advance.

5.1.1.2 Attendees

The aim is to get a cross-section of people who represent areas of the company which influence how energy is consumed by the operation. A key outcome will be arriving at a consensus as to how energy is managed and how this could be improved so full representation is important.

Suggested attendees (for a fictitious typical manufacturing site)

- Site Management Team member with nominated Energy Responsibilities
- Energy Manager/Coordinator
- Operations Manager
- Process Engineer
- Operations representative(s)
- Maintenance Engineering representative(s)
- Planning/Scheduling
- Utilities Manager/Engineer
- Corporate Energy Focal
- Training Coordinator
- Finance/auditing/QA/data management
- External facilitator
- Other specialist engineers/roles as appropriate to the facilities

5.1.1.3 Agenda

Suggested items for the workshop agenda could include the following. These are suggested as topics for debate which may be for the whole team or break-out/syndicate groups. Not all will apply and there may be others however the main strand is arriving at a consensus as to the current energy operation and for the workshop to have articulated the issues that need addressing (perhaps including suggested solutions) in the design of the EMS.

- Review of current energy performance
- The Case for Change
- How does the Site/Company manage energy now?
- Map out current energy planning and performance review flow chart
- Current blocks to good energy efficiency practice
- Future energy environment and constraints affecting it
- Describe good practice in 5 years time: operations, technology, maintenance, people, etc
- Identify new work practices and responsibilities

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

- How does the site energy operation fit into the bigger corporate model?
- Energy measurement and information structures
- ISO 50001 familiarisation and requirements
- Competencies and training issues
- How to address wider engagement with the Site Community on Energy Issues
- Take-away actions

5.1.1.4 Outcomes

The style and operation of the workshop will depend on local company culture and practices. **However the basic aim is to come away with a clear understanding of the current energy operation and the issues that need to be addressed to meet future business and corporate environments and constraints.** This will then feed the design of the individual EMS components. This design work may be done by a dedicated team or (partly) by subgroups with take-away actions from the workshop.

5.1.2 Basic Components of EMS – 'Essential Best Practice'

A comprehensive system following ISO 50001 can be developed and reference is made to sections 5.2 and Appendix A should the user wish to go down this road. Otherwise the following areas comprise the core elements of 'Essential Best Practice' which any organisation wishing to establish effective energy management activities should address.

5.1.2.1 Policy and Strategy

The Energy Policy provides the framework and environment to everything that follows. It is the mirror that should be used for testing energy activities and plans. There may be a Corporate Energy Policy that should be followed. It may need developing from scratch.

Policy Issues to be considered include:

- Long term energy targets
- Industry positioning (e.g. top quartile performer)
- Capital Investment Policy for energy
- Inviolable Constraints to operation (e.g. a no-flaring policy)
- Business Policies – (e.g. to be robust from effects of local power supply irregularities)
- Staff competency and communication standards
- Working Practice standards – safe operation – legal requirements
- Wider Community targets and aspirations

Once the Policy is established it invariably leads to the strategy document and the subsequent action plans. The strategy articulates the steps needed to achieve the Policy and the subsequent action plan is the detailed realisation of this.

In developing a site energy strategy it is vital that all relevant parts of the organisation are addressed. We have seen that there are many influences on energy consumption and hence to ensure sustainability that must be reflected in the strategy. It is recommended that each major activity should be reflected in this to ensure cross-site recognition of the energy drivers. Typical of the issues that could be addressed are:

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Site:

- Overall site energy targets and development of site roles and responsibilities for energy
- Key links to Corporate Energy Strategy
- Major energy project delivery goals
- Community issues
- Financial provisions for energy
- Procurement standards
- Registration to ISO 50001

Operations:

- Development of target setting and performance review processes (EMIS)
- Key operational changes (e.g. removal of high sulphur fuel)
- Development of operational energy roles and accountabilities
- Targets for energy-related operational procedures – (e.g. sootblowing frequency/operator rounds)
- Use of energy check lists

Maintenance:

- How do maintenance and availability activities affect energy consumption?
- Planning of contracts for servicing and cleaning
- Development of register and strategy for Energy Critical Equipment
- Steam leaks, traps and lagging
- Equipment condition and performance monitoring strategies

Technology:

- Energy Efficient Design standards
- New Technology and R&D exploitation strategies
- Technical auditing and Benchmarking
- Plant Improvement programmes
- Awareness and gatekeeping of external developments. External initiatives/collaborative funding opportunities

Capital Investment:

- 5 year Capital Plan
- Development of capital planning metrics and hurdle criteria for energy projects
- Funding options
- Joint ventures

Culture and Communications:

- Energy targets in staff appraisal, communications, competency gap analysis
- Training and development courses (general and specific)

The foregoing is neither exhaustive nor mandatory – it is based on a few real-world cases – but gives a flavour for the sort of issues across the board that will need to be assembled into the Site and Departmental Energy Strategies. In each case the strategy should then be worked up into a (resourced) action plan aimed at delivering the strategic items over a given time frame. Again, documents like the Carbon Trust Best Practice Guide contain useful sections on Strategy Development.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

5.1.2.2 Accountabilities

The Carbon Trust evaluation matrix (section 4.2) and the preceding discussion have highlighted a long standing issue concerning energy accountabilities. The cross-site influences on Energy mean that there has to be accountability for Energy issues at the highest level (Site Management Team). Only in this way can the correct span of control be achieved. Similarly, production managers, area managers and other staff with specific energy-related responsibilities need to be held accountable for the energy components of their jobs. These need describing in the documented Energy Management System.

5.1.2.3 Organisation

Many organisations do have an Energy Manager or focal point. That is a good start. This has been observed in a variety of positions and backgrounds: the position may have been part of Operations, Process Engineering or sometimes as part of the book-keeping/Internal Audit team. Incumbents have been Engineers or sometimes Finance analysts. It has been both a part-time and full-time position. Sadly, in too many cases, it has been observed as a low-ranking position without the influence and authority to address the issues raised so far. It is not simply a performance-metric position or benchmarking position. The Energy Manager has to be a catalyst for change with the mandate and spheres of influence to tackle the cross-discipline issues that affect energy efficiency. It should be ideally a significant position within the Process Engineering management structure.

In developing this position the Carbon Trust Matrix can help define roles and responsibilities for this position. Section 11.1.1 discusses the job competencies for Energy Manager in more detail.

It does not stop there. Operational Energy Focal Points with key ownership of the Departmental Energy Plan should be established within the various Operational Areas. A successful solution at one location in the author's experience was establishing one particular Operational Shift as 'the Energy Shift'. The Shift had specific energy-related responsibilities and developed ownership and skills in this field, in particular making use of the quieter night shift to pursue their tasks (other shifts had similar focuses – reliability, environment, etc.).

Fundamentally the development of an Energy Management System requires the Company to develop and address the organisational responsibilities for energy. This is a basic need.

5.1.2.4 Competencies

The EMS shall require the site to assess its competency needs to support the programme and institute the relevant training to achieve those requirements. This will encompass several levels of expertise from specialist engineering skills to general staff appreciation. Local circumstances will dictate how certain skill or competency requirements are met – through in-house staff or calling on specialised energy skills from external or Corporate providers.

Using the organisation previously defined there are many competency analysis and mapping tools commercially available which can be used for monitoring employee skill databases. It is highly likely that systems may already be in use as part of the Company HR/staff appraisal system in which case adding in Energy-related competencies should be a relatively simple task.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Skills and Training Check list:

- Energy Strategy and Business
- CO_2 and Emissions trading
- Utilities Engineering
- General Energy Efficiency techniques for Process Engineers
- Operator Good Practice techniques
- Specialised Operator Training – e.g. furnace operation
- Specialised Technical Training – e.g. Pinch Analysis and fouling abatement

Energy competencies and training will be looked at in more detail in Chapter 11.

5.1.2.5 Work Processes

With a strategy, organisation and defined competencies in place the final key element of the basic EMS are the defined energy-related work processes. These do not need to be complex. The aim is to define and capture the important stages of those key activities without which the Energy Policy would be at risk. They also form the basis for the Improvement Loop – i.e. the documented process which can be improved and updated by a means of audit and experience so as to improve the operation.

The formats can and should be simple – perhaps just a flowchart. Clarity and simplicity are key in providing an understandable process that can be easily followed and executed. As always an important process is the audit/check process to ensure compliance.

Suggested processes that may be suitable in a typical chemical process site include:

- Target Setting and Performance Review
- Energy Efficient Maintenance procedures
- Energy Reporting
- Operational Procedures
- Energy aspects of Design and Plant Change
- Auditing Energy Performance
- Financial and accounting processes for energy (procurement/contracts)
- Handling Energy within Planning and Scheduling
- Key energy calculations and correlations (e.g. fuel gas calorific value, meter compensations)
- Auditing the Management System compliance

This list is neither proscriptive nor exhaustive. The first two topics will be examined in more detail in Chapter 7.

5.2 ISO 50001

The forgoing describes the basic development of an Energy Management System. These should fulfil the important requisites of this topic; given the right commitment and organisational discipline a company/site will be able to reap the major benefits of working this way.

However, especially if the company or site already has a strong culture of systematic process management (e.g. registrations to ISO 9001 and ISO 14001) then the development and formal registration to the new Energy Management standard is a logical step and of course provides the discipline of external audit and system review which can play a major role in ensuring long term sustainability of the management processes.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Following the development around the world of various local Energy Management Standards (EN16001:2009 in Europe and ANSI MSE 200:2005 in the USA), ISO 50001 was released by ISO in June 2011 and is suitable for any organisation – whatever its size, sector or geographical location. The system is modelled after the ISO 9001 Quality Management and ISO 14001 Environmental Management Standards and like those, ISO 50001 focuses on a continual improvement process to achieve the objectives related to the environmental performance of an organisation. The process follows the same Plan-Do-Check-Act approach (Plan-Do-Check-Act, PDCA).

However, a significant new feature in ISO 50001 is the requirement to "...improve the EMS **and the resulting energy performance**" (clause 4.2.1 c). The other standards (ISO 9001 and ISO 14001) both require improvement to the effectiveness of the Management System but not to quality of the product/service (ISO 9001) or Environmental performance (ISO 14001). Of course it is anticipated that by implementing ISO 9001 and 14001 that an organisation would, in fact, improve quality and environmental performance, but the Standards do not specify it as a requirement.

ISO 50001, therefore, has made a major leap forward in 'raising the bar' by requiring an organisation to demonstrate that they have improved their energy performance. There are no quantitative targets specified – an organisation chooses its own then creates an action plan to reach the targets. With this structured approach, an organisation is more likely to see some tangible financial benefits.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

5.2.1 Plan-Do-Check-Act

The 4 phases of the PDCA circle are:

PLAN: The overall responsibility for the installed energy management system must be located with the top management. An energy officer and an energy team should be appointed. Furthermore the organisation has to formulate the energy policy in form of a written statement which contains the intent and direction of energy policy. Energy policy must be communicated within the organisation. The energy team is the connection between management and employees. In this phase the organisation has to identify the significant energy uses and prioritise the opportunities for energy performance improvement.

DO: The stated objectives and processes are now introduced and implemented. Resources are made available and responsibilities determined. Make sure that employees and other participants are aware of and capable of carrying out their energy management responsibilities. The realisation the energy management system starts.

CHECK: An energy management system requires a process for compliance and valuation of energy-related regulations. Internal audit can help to verify that the energy management system is functioning properly and generating the planned results. The processes are monitored with regard to legal and other requirements (customer requirements, internal policies) as well as to the objectives of the energy management of the organisation. The results are documented and reported to top management.

ACT: The top management prepares a written valuation based on the internal audit. This document is called the management review. The results will be evaluated on their performance level. If necessary, corrective or preventive actions can be initiated. Energy-relevant processes are optimised and new strategic goals are derived.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

5.2.2 Benefits of Certification

Certification proves that the energy management system meets the requirements of ISO 50001. This gives customers, stakeholders, employees and management more confidence that the organisation is saving energy. It also helps to ensure that the energy management system is working throughout the organisation.

Another advantage of certification is its emphasis on continual improvement. The organisation will continue to get better at managing its energy. Additional cost savings can be generated over several years. Furthermore certifying an organisation shows your public commitment to energy management.

5.3 Links to Corporate Systems

The picture painted so far has described an Energy Management System for a single manufacturing site. This may be part of a bigger corporate strategy contributing to an overall Company policy and energy performance targets. In which case, some of the processes, roles and information requirements may be given as part of the corporate system.



For instance there may be requirements for standard periodic energy performance data returns and also the implementation of standard tools and packages. There may be specific roles and accountabilities. A lot will depend on the company culture and the degree of autonomy that individual sites have.

5.4 Development Support and further Information

Further information on ISO 50001 including development and registration is provided in Appendix A.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

6 Energy Management Information Systems

The Energy Management Information System (EMIS) is an essential component in managing energy on a manufacturing site. In format it may consist of a few simple spreadsheet reports through to a full-blown sophisticated graphics-based system with on-line models and reconciled energy balances. That choice is dictated by local circumstances, the important point is that pertinent plant and site-wide energy performance data are presented on a regular basis to those individuals who influence energy efficiency and enables them to make timely improvements.

To quote the well known adage; “If you can’t measure then you can’t improve.”

In the drive to improved Energy Efficiency an EMIS is the single most important tool at a site’s disposal. Whether it be investigating yesterday’s performance, flagging an upcoming issue or deep analysis of historical datasets as part of a fundamental (capital) improvement initiative the concept of reliable and consistent energy data is the same. Given the disparate and cross-site nature of energy issues the EMIS is the place that draws the energy performance together into a consistent and digestible form.



Features of an EMIS include the storage of data in a usable format, the calculation of effective targets for energy use, and comparison of actual consumption with these targets. Elements include sensors, energy meters, hardware and software (these may already exist as process and business performance monitoring systems). Essential support includes management commitment, the allocation of responsibility, procedures, training, resources and regular audits.

6.1 Objectives

There are a wide variety of users of energy performance data operating with different purposes, responsibilities and on different timescales. There are often many different correlations and methodologies that can affect energy calculations (e.g. fuel gas calorific value correlations, key performance indicator methods).

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

The objective of the EMIS is to provide a standard data reporting structure as the basis for analysis and decision making by the Energy Management System Users. It should encompass:

- A single cross-site database
- Common agreed calculations, models and correlations
- Flexible user-oriented reporting
- Agreed KPI hierarchy
- Easy access to historical records

This means that whether the user is a maintenance engineer investigating historical equipment fouling, an operator maintaining an efficient operating point or a site manager looking at annual business performance, all users are working from a consistent set of data. It becomes the common site energy language. Generic deliverables are as follows:

- Early detection of poor performance
- Support for decision making
- Effective performance reporting
- Auditing of historical operations
- Identification and justification of energy projects
- Evidence of success
- Support for energy budgeting and management accounting
- Energy data to other systems

How these will be realised will depend on the local circumstances. The following sections should provide the background for the reader to specify the EMIS requirements for the particular site. A checklist on EMIS structure and functionality is provided in Appendix C.

6.2 The Components of EMIS

6.2.1 System configuration – hardware/software

Typically the core of the EMIS will these days be a commercial process historian which scans process data from the instrumentation system (DCS, SCADA) on a regular basis. This may be an integrated part of the DCS structure. For most process unit energy variables (compressed) two-minute data are sufficient. The database may be a virtual structure – i.e. a slice across several existing databases. However it is important that it is effectively site-wide thus enabling site-wide energy balances, KPIs and reports to be easily assembled.

For multi-location and/or remote operations web-based/cloud solutions may be appropriate.

Interface to other manufacturing support systems including relational databases such as maintenance management systems like SAP, accounting and corporate performance reporting may be considered.

Over the last 10 –15 years Windows-based systems have become the norm allowing easy interface between the core energy data and the huge variety of modelling, reporting and analysis tools that now all use Windows as their base operating systems. The requirements for the respective site management levels enables reporting and analysis to be very individual and customised; thus the Windows approach with intuitive interfaces is ideal.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Reporting Options. Again, open to preference, be it 'fit for purpose' reports using Windows' standard tools such as Microsoft Excel or a sophisticated solution using any of the more complex graphics reporting packages that are now available.

6.2.2 Data Structures/KPI and Target Setting Philosophy

EMIS is fundamentally a cascaded target setting and reporting structure for energy data and operating variables. EMIS starts from high-level performance measures within the Site Manager's portfolio cascading down through operational areas and structures to short-term control parameters at the plant operator level (e.g. Boiler/Furnace/Gas Turbine firing conditions). At all levels performance measures (Key Performance Indicators – KPIs) frequency of review and appropriate corrective action loops need to be defined (the EMS processes). Supporting this, tools, based on the analysis of (real-time) plant data, are needed to present timely and appropriate information.

Generally, an EMIS will look to group units within a site by their commonalities, e.g. a common utility supply, common operating objectives, common operation management for line responsibility, etc. Typically an energy balance is made around these units and KPIs are established and calculated on-line. KPIs could include: Energy Index at a site, Area or Unit level, total stack energy loss, Energy/feed ratio etc.



Target	Review Period	Calculation Frequency	Target Update Period	Support Tools
Site Energy Index	Monthly	Daily or Monthly	Annual with Monthly Revisit	LP Models, Business Plans
Aggregated Unit Data + Common Utilities	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly
Plant Energy Index	Daily	Real Time	Monthly with Weekly Revisit	Energy Tools, Flowsheeters
Equipment Specific Energy or Loss	Real Time	Real Time	By Operating Mode	Energy Tools, Flowsheeters

The Site picture is built by aggregating the energy balances and KPIs from individual units, though operational areas to the overall Site picture. It is important that this common approach is adopted since it will ultimately enable a consistent drill down of data: the energy picture 'adds up'. Similarly it is important that a consistent set of correlations, engineering calculation assumptions and economic values are used across the board – a standardised modelling philosophy is adopted.

The format of the KPIs that are adopted will be very much driven by the Industry and local circumstances. Some industries have common (international) approaches (e.g. the EII, Energy Intensity Index, developed by Solomon Associates which is the de-facto standard in the Oil Industry). Typically they will be of some form of feedrate-adjusted energy consumption figure or ratio. Particularly at the site reporting level then consistency with or adoption of standard benchmarking calculations is a very good idea.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Other KPIs may be site or plant specific, perhaps reflecting a key energy related issue (e.g. “percentage imported fuel gas”) and there should be provision, if possible, for economic ‘Price of non-conformance’ data. This should be readily calculated from the performance gaps from energy targets and the associated fuel costs. Having such data for each target and aggregating into unit and site reports also allows quick drill-down analysis to identify bad actors and root causes. Modern dashboard-type displays and reports can easily exploit such data to good effect.

The organisational processes of target setting and review will be covered on in Chapter 7. An important consideration is the timely update of KPIs and targets when new production planning data becomes available as the year progresses. In particular the shift from annual headline targets to monthly operation targets reflecting actual production plans can be significant; different feedstocks and changes in production modes all affect energy consumption and should ideally be quantified in the target setting process.
The accompanying figure illustrates how an annual Business Plan target needs adjustment for the actual Plan, seasonal averaging effects and maintenance activities to arrive at a monthly energy target pertinent to the month ahead. The actual achieved production schedule (actual vs. plan) also accounts for part of the variation before the true operational energy inefficiency gap is shown, which then forms the basis for investigation and improvement.



The foregoing highlights a major issue in developing Energy KPIs and Targets. Effects such as these can severely test the validity (and hence user acceptance) of targets. Similarly, variations between production modes may need attention in target setting. This has the potential to lead to a proliferation of advanced target-setting systems involving combinations of first principles and statistical modelling to account for the aforementioned variations. It can be done and it has been done. However caution is advised. The balance between modelling complexity, support levels and end benefit is a fine one. It may be better to have simple target setting structures across a site with the use of more complex techniques limited to specific high-benefit areas. Sensible and ‘aware’ performance interpretation is important.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

6.2.3 Energy Driver Variables

(Sometimes called Energy Influencing Variables)

Whilst the KPIs are the visible calculated manifestation of energy performance, the energy drivers are the process variables which are the main influences behind the changes and variability in the selected energy KPIs. Hence they form the focus of attention in our attempts to optimise the KPIs against their target values.

KPIs can be classified broadly into two categories: non-actionable parameters and actionable variables which can be manipulated. Non-actionable are those influential variables that are beyond operator control. Examples include ambient temperature, feedstock quality or product price. They impact on the energy consumption but are not something that can be changed or corrected operationally. Actionable variables, on the other hand, are variables that operators can change to affect the operation. Examples are reflux ratios, reboil rates, recycle loops flow and furnace air-fuel ratio. We use the term 'driver' for any causal and controllable variable that directly or indirectly influences the planned energy consumption (and hence this will influence the KPIs).

Analytical tools (e.g. statistical data-mining) and/or process engineering experience are used to determine the controllable and most influential drivers to manage the energy loss. These drivers will be reviewed for constraints like product specifications, safety and operational envelope of equipment. Targets will then be established for optimal energy use with due consideration to other hierarchical influences such as production demands, product specifications etc.

If it is considered appropriate, models (process, statistical or hybrid grey-box techniques which combine first principles and statistics) can be established linking the driver variables and the KPIs, perhaps including a cost penalty function. This will allow a more detailed analysis of the contributing cost of non-conformance on the KPIs and can be helpful in providing a drill-down and bad-actor structure.



Although it is clearly not possible to set operational targets for non-actionable variables it is still important to understand (and be able to model) their effects on energy consumption as these will play an important part in the performance analysis (and maybe in target setting if taken to a more sophisticated level).

6.2.4 Use of Energy Loss Points

Probably the most widespread KPI in use by industry is some form of specific energy consumption – e.g. energy/feed. This is well understood, logical to calculate and accounts for one of the major impacts on energy consumption – throughput.

However there can be problems with this – for instance when the energy-to-feed ratio is non-linear. In particular when looking at unit and equipment performance then the idea of establishing targets for energy loss can be considered. Obviously the aim will be to minimise energy loss.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Consider a simple distillation column. Energy is input by feed preheat and reboil. Energy leaves the column as sensible heat in the product streams and the heat removed by the overhead condenser. Typically this is lost to low-grade non-recoverable energy sinks (atmosphere, cooling water etc). The operating conditions of the column (temperature, pressure, reflux etc) determine this energy value. Hence these are the drivers which influence the loss-KPI.



For a furnace the lost energy is the energy lost to atmosphere from the stack as opposed to that transferred as sensible heat to the product in the furnace tubes.

The concept of energy loss being the performance measure or KPI is the calculated energy loss from the unit, i.e. it is a direct measurement of energy wastage. A target can be set for the normal acceptable loss value and models can be built for the relationships between the loss and operating conditions. Similarly price of non-conformance calculations can be included.

Whilst loss points can be more complex to calculate and visualise in comparison with a simple energy consumption they do focus on the wasted energy – energy consumption of course is the sum of wasted and useful energy.

6.3 Operating with EMIS & User Interfaces

The EMIS is effectively the energy hub for a manufacturing site and as such will have a variety of users and purposes. These will vary from fixed formal multi-user reports to one-off customised and ad-hoc applications.

- Annual and monthly performance reports to Management
- Real-time energy driver monitoring in the control room
- Specialist technical engineering applications, often integrated with modelling/optimisation tools
- Ad hoc data analysis and troubleshooting
- Data interfaces to other IT systems

Fortunately modern client-server and peer-to-peer Process Historians typically using a Windows interface are well suited to the variety of uses outlined.

It is important that a system manager and/or application guardian is appointed. The more formal reports need correctly managing and are best developed using a reporting package which allows controls and consistency. Similarly the integration of operational target setting with existing plant instruction processes and the DCS database also needs very careful consideration.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

In recent years application integration for tools and software running on Windows has become very easy. Transfer of archived process data into a modelling or optimisation package for instance is now an easy exercise. And that fits in well with the concept of the EMIS as the energy hub. However there is a fine line between the use of software tools and development of correlations and models for one-off/single user investigations and a sustainable situation where similar tools and calculations form the basis for repeatable longer term use. The well-known phenomenon of 'skunk' spreadsheets – complex, undocumented and hence impossible to pass onto a new user – illustrates the problem.

Companies are starting to tackle these issues and may have standards and procedures in place. The EMIS Guardian plays a key role in managing this process – there is a delicate balance between encouraging and facilitating energy data exploitation and sustainable long term applications.

6.3.1 User Interfaces

Many options are available in developing User reports and interfaces with varying degrees of dynamic reports and displays. Modern screen building tools can be very powerful. Local standards and norms will play a part. The following guidelines are presented to assist in the design process.

- A clear vision is needed of who the Report User will be
- Only display Information that is relevant to, and can be impacted by, the user
- EMIS displays should be functionally consistent. This means that the intended functionality of each display must be clear and once the analysis is done on that display, it should give pointers to the next display to be accessed in order to complete the energy analysis
- Consider using drill-down techniques driven by energy indices or financial cost calculations to navigate multilayer nested reports from high level indices to individual items of energy equipment. Dials or plus/minus bar charts can be useful in displaying the bad actors and linking up to the next level screen
- Multiple displays can be very useful for exception and pattern recognition. e.g. multiple trend displays for multiple (similar) furnaces
- Consider including causal information, for instance:
    - (Online) calculations showing energy impact of off-target drivers
    - On-line adjusted KPIs (e.g. effect of external conditions on performance, load dependent targets)
    - Rule-based logic providing operator advice
- Quick links to trend information are very useful

Many examples can be found – a small selection is provided to give guidance or inspiration to the designer. All have been built using real-time and historical plant data in PC-based user-display packages.

(The examples have been taken from sales literatures, conference presentations, etc.)

 ©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Plant overview with major energy classes:



Historical KPI reporting plus dashboard:



©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Part of drill-down structure at energy driver level showing target, actual and effect upon energy consumption:



X-Y plot indicating actual performance against load/efficiency model:



©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Tabular/bar chart approach showing energy drivers and their contribution to gas turbine efficiency:

	Actual	Expected	Deviation MW	-3 -2 -1 +1 +2
MECHANICAL DEGRADATION				
Generator Output MW	25.231	26.544	-1.313	
OPERATING PARAMETERS				
Inlet Temperature deg C	485.1	520.0	-1.405	
Extraction Pressure kPa	480.2	500.0	+0.108	
Exhaust Pressure kPa	9.5	8.0	-0.878	
TOTAL DEVIATION			**-3.488**	

Dynamic Overview display:

Size of panel indicates relative energy consumption of each area – colour indicates deviation from target. The display drills down to more detail using the panels.



©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

6.4 Development of an EMIS

There follows a general set of guidelines for developing an EMIS. The scope may vary from simple fit-for-purpose reports through to a major modelling and graphics package so the final plan will need adjusting. The programme follows a typical project execution plan and should ideally be incorporated into an overall Energy Management System roll-out.



A short Feasibility Study is used to determine the main issues, opportunities and blocks to an EMIS and whether it is a feasible proposition for the site. Typically a one week on-site activity, the purpose of the Feasibility Study is to make a preliminary assessment of the viability of the EMS implementation. Key issues, constraints and the availability of crucial prerequisites which could impact project success need to be identified together with the first pass project economics to indicate project viability. The EMS Design Workshop (section 5.1.1) can generate much of the information and site philosophies needed.

The Definition Phase is essentially the design process. The aim is to produce a detailed design that fully defines the EMIS in terms of structure, technical architecture, scope, interface, management system , etc. and is unique to the Site in question. The site is split into EMIS areas, energy balances and KPIs are defined. It will allow a final (say) 10% project cost estimate to be prepared. Beyond this point the detailed work of coding, analysis and final implementation can then take place. The specifics of project approvals, estimate levels will clearly depend on local procedures – the model presented is typical of a normal project development cycle.

The Driver and Target Development phase handles the detailed design and coding of the EMIS prior to final implementation and rollout. At the end of this phase all calculations will have been defined and checked, drivers, constraints and KPIs fully identified, displays prepared – all in readiness for final commissioning in the operational system. Completion of this phase marks the end of development work.

The final phase tackles the training, roll-out and implementation of the finished system and bringing it into operational use. This will clearly involve many parties and success at this point will inevitably determine the potential long term success of the project. It may be worth considering involving Change Management techniques to help design and support the process.

6.5 Core Activities - System Building

The rest of this section deals in more detail with a step-by-step approach to developing the EMIS.

6.5.1 Allocate Areas of Operation

Definition of EMIS System Boundaries or Areas of Operation. The definition of an EMIS Area is dependent on several factors - commonality of purpose, common operational management, common utility supply, etc. Typically, groups of units which are tied together by some related function into an Operational Area. Mapping out the site into a logical set of Areas is the first step in any EMIS design. It is likely to be influenced primarily by organisational and departmental limits.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.



For every EMIS Area of operation the following streams need to be identified and their associated measurements (tag variables) listed. The aim is to identify all streams carrying energy across the Area Boundary, associated tags, ways to estimate or calculate missing meters and equations to determine their heat content.

All crucial measurements together with type of meter, measurement range, design accuracy, known problems should be listed. Missing meters have to be identified.

Feedstocks

All feedstocks entering the EMIS Area should be included. The heat content of feedstocks (sensible and latent heat) is required for an EMIS energy balance. Hence suitable online measurements (e.g. temperatures) are needed if the heat content of feedstock significantly changes.

Meter requirements:

Appropriate flow, temperature, pressure and quality measurements to determine mass flow and heat content.

Products

Besides the feedstock the distribution of products also determines the required process energy and the corresponding losses. All product flows leaving the EMIS Area thus have to be included. Product flows in this sense are flows to storage tanks or to other units outside the operational area. As with feedstocks the products also carry a varying energy content that is relevant for an EMIS. Suitable online measurements are required if product conditions change.

Meter requirements:

Appropriate flow, temperature, pressure and quality measurements to determine mass flow and heat content.

Classes of Energy

All types of energy streams entering or leaving the system boundary that are influenced by plant operation are called Classes of Energy (CoE). It is possible that a CoE only virtually crosses the system boundary, as is the case, in general, with indigenous fuel and own power production. Typical CoE are:

- Steam at different pressure levels
- Tempered water
- Fuel (fuel gas, fuel oil, natural gas, coke, etc.
- Electricity
- Boiler Feedwater and Condensate
- Air (if significant)

All these Class of Energy are required to build the energy balance of an area and unit.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Meter requirements:

- **Steam and tempered water:**
  Flow, temperature and pressure (flow meter in steam lines preferably at superheated conditions to avoid condensation in pressure taps)
- **Fuel gas:**
  Flow, (pressure, temperature and molecular weight might be required for density compensation), Low Heating Value (LHV) or composition or density to be used in an LHV correlation.
- **Fuel oil:**
  Flow, LHV or alternatively density and sulphur content to be used in an LHV correlation.
- **Electricity:**
  In general all net electric power import will dissipate into heat (apart from any hydraulic head gain for product streams leaving the EMIS Area at higher pressures than the feed flows). Depending on the type of EMIS Area electricity might or might not be an important source of energy. Even when electricity is a significant source sometimes only monthly integrator readings are available. In such cases a correlation between monthly Area or unit load and average monthly power consumption may help. For EMIS purposes this specific electricity consumption together with the online load can be used to substitute missing electricity meters.

Another important consideration is the fact that the units supplied by a power distribution network do not necessarily match with the units inside the EMIS Area. Further, in most applications, there are not many energy savings opportunities in electricity. Hence, reasonable estimates of electricity consumption will suffice. However, in applications where significant energy savings will result from reduced electricity consumption (e.g. use of variable speed drives), at least localised electricity consumption meters are required for accurate monitoring and sustenance of savings.

6.5.2 Energy balances

The aforementioned boundary and variable analysis will allow the construction of Energy Balances for each Area of operation. This is a key step in the design of an EMIS. We must ensure that all relevant energy flows are identified and available at sufficient accuracy. Trending the imbalance over a sufficient period in time (e.g. 1 year) gives a good means to assess the accuracy.

The overall site energy balance should include the energy loss points identified below (cooling water, ambient air, etc.). While it is not essential to have perfect closure of energy balance, it is preferred to close the balance as accurately as practically possible. The accuracy of closure depends on availability and quality of measurements, quality of estimates of physical properties, and on completeness of variable identification. If the lost energy cannot be quantified due to lack of measurements at least the sum of all loss points has to be calculated as the difference between useful energy in and out.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

6.5.3 Identification of Energy Loss Points

By understanding where energy is lost on a unit and what process parameters drive that loss then we have a means to ensure that these losses are at a minimum. Alongside the Unit/Area energy balance it is necessary to identify the principal energy loss points in each Area. Typical loss points are:

- Furnace stacks
- Air coolers
- Water coolers
- Blowdown/venting

In selecting the loss points a judgement has to be made between absolute size of a loss point, the feasibility or otherwise of impacting the loss, its relative size in terms of the unit energy balance and the total number of loss points. Typically we may consider loss points of >5% of the Unit energy balance to be of interest although this is not a hard figure. The key issue is that one should focus on controllable loss points – i.e. those which are affected by controllable drivers and also that show enough variation to be able to establish causal relationships.

Meter requirements:

- **Furnace or boiler stack losses:**
  Stack oxygen and temperature plus fired or absorbed duty, or fired and absorbed duty.
- **Air coolers:**
  Product flow plus inlet and outlet temperatures.
- **Water coolers:**
  Product or water flow and corresponding inlet and outlet temperatures.
- **Hot flows to cold storage:**
  Appropriate flow and temperature measurements.

It is important to make clear that the metering does not have to be direct (though it definitely is preferred that way). For example flow rate may not be available on a stream being cooled. However, it may be possible to compute the flow using mass balance either upstream or downstream of the loss point itself.

6.5.4 Preliminary list of KPIs

Based on the above-mentioned existing accounting methods, energy loss points ,etc. a list of typical energy related KPIs has to be developed. This list may include KPIs such as:

- Energy indices on a site, Area or Unit base
- Total throughput related losses in e.g. GJ/t, tonnes fuel/tonnes feed, etc.
- Loss point specific KPIs such as total loss at a specific water cooler, etc.

Refer to Section 6.2.2 for further discussion on KPI selection. Typically a 3-level KPI hierarchy (Site-Area-Unit) would be appropriate.

6.5.5 Driver Development and Identification

The drivers are the process variables which are the main driving forces behind the changes and variability in the selected energy KPIs. And hence they form the focus of attention in our attempts to optimise the KPIs against their target values. If we maintain the drivers at their targets then we are likely to be operating efficiently. Conversely, deviating from target allows us to understand why energy efficiency is not what it should be.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

There are many ways that drivers can be identified. In some cases good engineering judgement and plant experience will be sufficient. In other cases statistical analysis can provide insight and understanding beyond the simple 'rule of thumb' into the detection of non-obvious drivers. Of course the results should be validated with experienced operating personnel. For these techniques to work there has to be sufficient variability in the potential driver data set.

As there may be several drivers that influence a particular KPI, sufficient effort should be spent to develop an understanding and model description of the correlations between them. While investigating constraints and targets for drivers, it is therefore important to bear in mind that not all drivers can be manipulated sufficiently – they may be tightly constrained or controlled, despite indication or even proof of lost opportunities to (further) improve the KPI(s).

Note that proper understanding of the underlying causality is crucial and therefore some form of cause-effect or what-if analysis needs to be performed. In case of insufficient data or poor data quality, flow sheet simulations can be considered. Again, the importance of operational involvement and buy-in at this step cannot be overemphasised.

6.5.6 Constraint identification

Drivers can be manipulated to achieve the desired optimsation of KPIs. However, it is essential to ensure that any change in driver targets does not push the plant to an operating region in violation of other constraints. The process constraints that will be encountered include operating process limits, material of construction limitations, best practices, product quality considerations and safety limits. It is essential to ensure that all the constraints are identified and will not be violated while making recommendations for changes in drivers.
There is a growth in companies who are implementing integrated alarm and process monitoring systems where a single reconciled database tracks variables across the alarm spectrum from operating windows through to hard safety-oriented trip alarms. Hence it is important that as part of the constraint identification process limits and windows relating to drivers and associated variables that are identified in this database and are checked for consistency.
Once established the constraints should be included in the database of KPIs and drivers to ensure that target advice does not infringe these limits. Where practical they can be included in driver and KPI reports to indicate the limits that a driver can be pushed to (e.g. minimum stack Oxygen content).

6.5.7 Setting Targets for KPIs and Drivers

There are several approaches to aid the setting of KPI and Driver Targets.

6.5.7.1 Historical Best performance

A quick and effective method to set targets for KPIs at each level of the organisational hierarchy is to identify a period of 'best achievable' performance from an energy perspective. The average KPI accomplished over this continuous time span would be a good target for the KPI.

In the event that a site has natural or other operational scenarios that result in markedly different energy performance, it is imperative to account for the same by setting different targets for different scenarios. As an example, the winter to summer variations or whether a refinery is in diesel or gasoline mode will

 ©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

influence the energy performance of one or more units. A separate historical best performance should be determined for each scenario for the corresponding units.

Driver targets will generally come from the basic operational optimisation of the unit and need to be developed consistently with the other aims and constraints that determine day-to-day process operation. Thus flow sheet tools, model-libraries, simulation techniques and operational experience are used to determine the basic level driver targets.

Further, long term or permanent operational changes that influence the energy performance should also be accounted for by resetting targets opportunely.

6.5.7.2 Statistical Correlation

Often it is possible to develop a correlation between the KPI of interest and the driver process variables that influence the KPI. This is an indirect approach that is often useful in the absence of a clear first principles simulation model that describes the relationships between KPIs and drivers. Hence, a mathematical correlation between the KPIs and the drivers could be developed as follows:

$$KPI = f\left(Drivers\right)$$

Statistical and data-mining tools which can be used to develop these correlations are readily available. It is important, however, that the data sets used contain sufficient variation (or 'richness') to allow sensible correlations to be established.

Once the correlation has been developed and validated, the same equation can be used to estimate KPI targets, using target values for the drivers in the equation. Thus consistency is established. Such modelling becomes particularly important if it is wished to evaluate the relative contributions of the actual driver performance to the energy performance gap. The driver targets are set bearing in mind considerations such as safety, margin, energy, etc.

6.5.7.3 First Principles Model

The most effective, but also the most expensive, method to determine KPI targets is to use a first principles simulation model to determine both the driver and KPI targets. This step is generally not recommended for all KPIs, but should be resorted to in cases where any of the other options described previously is not tenable. Such models may already be held by the organisation for other uses.

The biggest advantage of this effort is the ability to have a unified look at several competing factors that come into play while setting KPI targets. As an example, often KPI targets are driven in competing directions by considerations of energy and margin. In such a scenario, the simulation model can be used to generate an optimal KPI target that maximises margin while minimising energy costs.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

6.5.8 Data Validation

Once the KPIs and sub-KPIs are fully identified then they should be configured in the real-time system and tracked for consistency and robustness over a period of time.

Instrumentation issues, such as missing meters and accuracy checks, should be resolved. A recommendation would be to check all meters pertaining to EMIS calculations prior to its development. Thereby, calculation of KPIs would have a sound basis. It is essential that at the end of this process robust calculations can run in real-time over a wide range of operating scenarios.
In case of insufficient data or poor data quality, flow sheet simulations can be considered.

6.6 EMIS Skills and Competencies

EMIS development and implementation requires a full cross-section of skills. These will vary depending on the phase of the project. Fundamentally it is a change management project although there is a need for a strong operational and process engineering input plus some supporting skills in process IT. Clearly underpinning the technical skill areas is a strong element of communicational and project management skills.

A full examination of Energy Management skills and competencies is given in Chapter 11.

6.7 Key EMS Applications and Processes

To aid the development of the documented energy management system two key areas will be examined in more detail. Operating plant and maintaining equipment in an energy efficient manner is fundamental to any efficiency programme – it is the basis of day-to-day operation. Many factors will influence the effectiveness and success of the activities. There are often potentially conflicting aims. Given this situation it is important that Energy considerations have an appropriate place in the decision-making.

Chapter 7 and 8 discuss energy management processes for Operational Performance Management and Maintenance Management respectively and suggest some generic methods that could form the basis for developing a sites local EMS practices.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

7 Energy Target Setting and Performance Review

Given the varied nature of the factors influencing energy, target setting and performance review process becomes the key activity in driving efficient energy consumption. It is the opportunity to bring together the various energy-related strands into a single balanced process, at site, area or individual process unit level. In establishing such a concept the following important principles need to be adhered to.

- An integrated energy database is essential. Driven by process data and having easily accessed historical data that can be assembled in user-focussed reports
- Consistent targets, KPIs, reports and review process need to be established across and appropriate to different levels of the organisation
- KPIs and performance review should be appropriate to the span of managerial influence of the review level



Two fundamental and complementary processes are developed: the Energy Target Setting Process which develops from high level annual targets through to real-time process variables under the control of the operator, and the Energy Performance Review Process which in a similar manner builds up from real-time corrective action through to Management Appraisal of Energy Performance.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

7.1 The Energy Target Setting Process

The core to performance monitoring is setting of appropriate performance targets. This process starts with high level annual site targets and develops, with increasing granularity and frequency, into daily operational targets for the energy-influencing drivers on the plant.

An important consideration is that the final elements of the target setting structure at the operational level, are the operational parameters **under the control of the operator,** which influence and ultimately determine the energy consumption of the unit in question. So the whole philosophy is predicated on the need to establish optimum targets for these variables and monitor for operation away from target. These are the so-called 'Energy Driver' variables. They will be typically flows temperatures, pressures, etc.

For example it is well known that the energy efficiency of a distillation column is improved as the column pressure is reduced. So a target for minimum column pressure is developed (consistent with product quality constraints) and the column monitored for operation at that pressure.

7.1.1 Site Energy Monitoring Targets and KPI Structure

Each site should maintain a dashboard of energy and emissions-related metrics. This will include both combined site-level KPIs and those pertaining to the principal units on Site.

A site owner of the metrics and the target-setting processes supporting them (e.g. agreed frequency for target setting/approval/sign off and up-dating) should be identified.

Targets for Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) should be determined as laid out in the following sections (annual/monthly/weekly targets setting) and performance against these KPIs reviewed as detailed in the subsequent section 7.1.2. Further details of Energy KPI structures for a site and the different metrics that can be employed are given in Chapter 6 covering Energy Management Information Systems. Typically it will encompass a yearly site Energy target (energy/feed) reaching down to unit energy and emissions targets (Unit Energy and/or Loss) for individual units calculated on a monthly basis.

Metrics calculated at higher frequencies (e.g. weekly/daily) will not be part of the site Dashboard although will be used as part of the overall performance monitoring process outlined in this manual. These metrics will need to be allocated targets consistent with the site Dashboard. This forms the cascaded target setting process which links high level annual targets for Site performance down to real-time plant variables directly under the operator's control.

7.1.2 Annual Target Setting

Targets for site performance are agreed and signed off each year as part of the Annual Planning and Budget process. This includes high level Site Energy Targets, typically some form of energy intensity or specific energy target. This value of this target shall be determined in line with the feedstock and production premises upon which the Annual Plan is based together with knowledge of any planned factors that will affect energy performance: shut-downs, changes in equipment and configuration, etc. Ideally a seasonal breakdown, for instance into quarterly planning targets, will provide a more realistic basis for the year ahead.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

7.1.3 Monthly Target Setting

Each month the Site should set targets for the Energy Dashboard for the forthcoming period. (Site KPIs and unit-level KPIs). These targets will be based on the Annual Targets updated with the latest 30 day Production Plan (feedstock slate, product pattern, plant expected availability). These unit level targets will then be used for assessing the Units' performance during the coming month and act as the foundation for more detailed energy targets within the respective units.

Energy Constraints – the Production Plan should be checked against energy/emissions constraints (e.g. operation at CO_2 cap levels). In addition, based on the latest Production Plan, the Site KPIs and month-by-month projection to year-end should be updated to reflect the latest production and availability picture for the full year.

7.1.4 Weekly Operational Targets and the setting of Operating Instructions

Based on the Production Schedule for the forthcoming week (produced by the Site Planning and Scheduling Department) a detailed set of energy targets for the sub-units and equipment in the particular Production Area should be prepared. This will allow the effect of scheduling decisions (yields, operating modes etc) to be reflected in realistic energy targets at a plant level. Typically these targets will be the operational driver variables such as flows, temperatures, column reflux rates etc. These targets will then be finally validated and embedded as part of the Daily Operating Instructions.
(Refer to section 6.6.7 for target setting techniques).

Daily and weekly performance will be assessed on the basis of actual performance against these targets.

7.1.5 Daily and Real-time activities

Energy targets are included in the Unit Operating Instructions that are passed to the Operator. The Plant Operators are tasked with maintaining operation at the target values and noting causes for variance.

7.2 The Energy Performance Review Process

Energy performance should be reviewed in a structured manner, assessing energy consumption against metrics that are appropriate to the frequency, control and span of operations for the review process in question. Corrective and improvement actions shall be identified, documented and close-out should be tracked. Issues that require action beyond the control or scope of the particular review shall be passed on to the next higher-level review for resolution.

7.2.1 Daily Energy Performance Review

As part of the Daily Operations/Maintenance Meeting the energy performance of the previous 24 hour period in that Area shall be reviewed. The prime aim is to keep the plant running to targets.

Inputs will include:

- The overnight Shift Reports
- The Energy Management 24 hour performance report containing details of actual performance against the energy targets for that period

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Identified actions will include:

- Suggested modifications to the Operating Instructions for the forthcoming period
- Short term maintenance and repair actions that need attention by day staff
- Issues that need escalating to a Site or Production Team level for further development

Where appropriate, issues should be logged into the Maintenance and/or non-conformance reporting systems (if used).

7.2.2 Weekly Energy Performance Review

Within a Production Area the 7-day unit Energy Performance will be reviewed in the weekly Production Team meeting (or monthly if that is the meeting frequency). The intent here is to identify issues and related corrective actions for the energy performance beyond the immediate previous 24 hours. In particular topics requiring more detailed investigation and follow-up.

Inputs will include:

- EMIS performance report for the previous period with actual performance against target
- Issues escalated from the Daily Area meeting
- Actions cascaded from the Monthly Site Energy Performance Review meeting

Ideally, the Site Energy Performance for the previous (7-day) period is reviewed at the Site-Wide Production meeting (if held) with a particular perspective on cross-site energy considerations (e.g. Utility and Fuel supply issues).

Inputs can include:

- Issues escalated from the various Daily Area meetings
- Weekly/month-to-date Site Energy Performance data
- Extraordinary energy issues arising from the forthcoming production plan. (e.g. special runs, abnormal feedstocks)

Identified outputs could include:

- Common energy-related instruction to all units
- Suggested modifications to the Operating Instructions for the forthcoming period
- Specific instructions to a particular unit as a result of the Site debate
- Utilities constraints and implications on plants for the forthcoming period

7.2.3 Monthly Site Energy Performance Review

The overall Site Energy performance should be reviewed on a monthly basis at the Monthly Site Energy Performance Review meeting. This is an essential component in the management of energy on site and should be attended by the nominated Senior Manager with energy responsibilities and ideally also by the Site Manager. The metrics to be examined will include Site-wide energy calculations and the top level KPI for each unit based on the previous month's performance. The meeting will review the previous month's performance and also performance in the context of the yearly targets and year-to-date performance.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Inputs will include:

- Monthly performance metrics (actual) for site and main units
- The Annual plan and updated targets (site and units)

Identified outputs will include:

- Identified longer term items (special studies) to be taken up through the Corporate business improvement process, perhaps leading to eventual capital investment
- Energy Issues for consolidation at the monthly site non-conformance meeting. (Training, skills, energy responsibilities, work processes)
- Improvement and corrective action issues to be cascaded (via the Production Unit Manager) to the Area Production Team meetings
- Updated energy plans for the rest of the year

Whatever the Company incident and improvement procedures that are adopted the key point is that Energy Performance Monitoring should generate corrective and improvement actions. For many years such meetings became an 'explain away the difference' process when targets were not met rather than a true improvement process.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

8 The Impact of Maintenance Practices on Energy Performance

The role that Equipment Maintenance plays in Energy Efficiency is often underestimated. Issues such as the optimal cleaning of heat exchangers to ensure best heat recovery and the servicing of key equipment such as steam turbines and furnace sootblowers through to the more mundane topics of steam leaks, steam traps and pipe lagging all play an important role in maintaining energy performance. There is evidence that maintenance contracts, often managed by departments not necessarily aligned to process optimisation, have suffered in recent fixed-cost reduction exercises. Hence the need for clear Energy Strategies and work processes for Maintenance activities.

In developing a strategy and work processes for energy-related maintenance activities the concept of the Energy Critical Equipment Register is presented. This is analogous to the better known ideas of Safety Critical Equipment and Quality Critical Equipment. In other words equipment whose failure has a significant impact on the plant's energy efficiency is identified and appropriate maintenance measures are put in place to mitigate the risk of failure.

Modern Maintenance tools and software mean this is a straightforward task and should be part of the normal maintenance planning tools. Ideally there are 2 components:

1) **Statistical Risk-Based inspection tools** which determine proactively and cost-effectively the optimum maintenance/inspection/testing task plan or specific requirements of equipment in its operating context. The aim is typically to maximise Reliability, Integrity and Availability. Costs of failure, impact on energy performance and repair are combined with statistical availability and performance models to determine the most cost effective inspection/repair/servicing schedule. So this could be an optimised cleaning plan for a heat exchanger (balancing cleaning costs against performance improvement) or a preventive maintenance schedule for a key turbo-alternator set. A (sampled) steam trap inspection programme could be another application.



2) **Maintenance Management Systems** (e.g. SAP) which schedule and record the outcomes of maintenance testing, inspection and repair. This is the workhorse which drives the schedules, maintains the inspection records and is in common use these days.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

These may be supplemented by on-line machine and equipment monitoring tools, typically running in association with the Plant Process Historian which measure and evaluate the current equipment performance. Combining these tools into an integrated process allows the development of an Energy Critical Equipment strategy. The operational process will be laid down as part of the Energy Management System.



©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

9 Making a Step-change: Opportunities, Auditing and Improvement Projects

The foregoing chapters have looked at building Energy Management Systems and the related information provision. These provide the foundation of culture, organisation, process and data upon which to build energy improvement projects. This chapter now considers the mechanisms for identifying specific energy improvement ideas and projects.

Inevitably there are several approaches possible and there is much scope for overlap in terms of timing and technical content. The adopted methods will inevitably reflect local conditions and priorities. The methods presented here have separate distinct aims but could be combined or rationalised as the user wishes. They may be carried out by local staff, corporate specialists or external consultants and suppliers as part of a more turnkey approach. Each way has its merits and downsides, in particular the balance between specialist and local knowledge.



Energy Walkthrough – a short (i.e. 1 week) assessment of the overall energy performance and scope for energy savings on a manufacturing location. Delivers energy health check, key strategic issues, outline ideas and suggestions for improvement.

Opportunities Identification and Project Assessment – typically a 1 to 2 month exercise with in-depth analysis, identifying energy efficiency opportunities and developing a prioritised project list which can then be used a basis for a detailed project roll-out.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Generating Ongoing Improvements – A mature site may have started off with a dedicated improvement plan and an initial set of energy projects however it is to be hoped that once a certain maturity is reached then the EMS processes will continue to generate ideas for improving energy efficiency – that is a reflection of reaching a true energy improvement culture.

9.1 The Energy Walkthrough

Typically a 1-week exercise, the Energy Walkthrough aims to identify energy inefficiencies within the organisations process, storage and handling and utilities units. It is a gap analysis, reviewing facilities against best practices and recommending opportunities to improve energy efficiency within those facilities. The result is a picture of the highs and lows of a locations current energy performance, can help shape an improvement programme and set the scene for the development of the site's energy strategy.

The walkthrough is an interactive interview process. Management, Process Engineers and Operators will be interviewed and asked to provide data.

The interviews are based around the analysis of process flow schemes. The flow schemes provide a structure to discussions on energy theme. Perhaps 2 or 3 hours discussion per unit. The flow scheme reviews start at the beginning of the process and move through the flow diagram to all end points. The aim of the interview is to identify all areas where energy enters, leaves or is exchanged within the process and question whether this is as efficient as it can be.

The output of the interviews is used to identify opportunities to improve energy efficiency. Simple technical, economic and operability criteria are identified for use in screening & prioritising opportunities for improvement. At this stage a detailed set of worked-up project proposals is not the aim – the Assessment programme provides that – but the Walkthrough should indicate the strengths and weaknesses of the current operation and areas of concern that need addressing.

It is worthwhile to include an Energy Management maturity assessment (see Appendix B) as part of the programme. A particular aim of the Walkthrough is to evaluate the relative standing and maturity of a sites energy operation and lay the foundations for the longer term development of strategy and improvement activities.

A comprehensive walkthrough template and interview checklist included in Appendix D.

9.2 Energy Projects - Identification and Assessment

Whereas the Walkthrough is very much about identifying gaps and potential the Energy Project Assessment is focussed on generating improvement ideas. It is a more comprehensive and rigorous process which will deliver a prioritised portfolio of individual energy efficiency projects, each complete with benefits estimate and preliminary cost and feasibility assessments.

The process may take perhaps one to two months depending on the size of the location and will involve a much more detailed line-by-line discussion of the units compared to the walkthrough. The objective is generally to identify a list of Project Proposals suitable for final development, authorisation and subsequent implementation. As such, it is essentially a project scoping process consisting of idea generation, validation and a project definition phases.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

During the initial idea generation phase, a list of many observations concerning the energy performance is documented. At this stage they are merely observations (e.g. 'rundown temperature for stream X is 25 °C higher than design') – cause and possible action are not considered. The observations are then validated, streamlined and used to generate a list of opportunities for improvement. These opportunities are then reviewed and validated, tested against constraints in order to generate a prioritised portfolio of projects for implementation.



Conceivably from 250 observations some 75 opportunities will be generated which are validated to a short list of 40 and eventually a list of 15 – 20 realistic project proposals. These will have order of magnitude financial benefit and cost figures (say +/- 30%) and be scoped at sufficient detail to allow a process developer to work them up into final fully scoped and estimated project definitions ready for Management approval.

9.2.1 Team and Preparation

Clearly a much more involved process than the Walkthrough, the Project Assessment process may involve a team of 3 or 4 engineers on site for a couple of months with support from specialist engineers as necessary. Indeed it may be appropriate to bring in (say) a furnace or turbine specialist for a dedicated cross-site equipment review as part of the Assessment if this is deemed appropriate. Generally a Utilities specialist is a useful full-time team member.

Apart from the usual pre-visit supply of P&IDs, process manuals and so forth, a key preparation or early phase item is agreeing the financial and business thresholds, energy pricing, methodologies and constraints with the site. These shape the decision-making of the process and much time will be saved if these are agreed and understood by all parties at the commencement of the Assessment.

9.2.2 Assessment Process and Operational Reviews

A suggested step-by-step approach to the Assessment process and the development of initial energy-related observations into final project proposal sheets is given in Appendix E.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

9.2.3 Project Generation and Validation

There are many well-known methods for assessing the financial value of projects (simple payback, Life Cycle Costing, Profitability Index, etc. These are touched on in section 9.4. Most companies will have a standard project evaluation methodology and a set of criteria/investment thresholds which must be followed. It is essential that these are understood before the Assessment starts.

Whilst these calculations are a normal requirement of the final financial evaluation for the worked-up project proposals it is useful to have a preliminary project vetting method. The following matrix system is a useful screening tool which can be used to whittle down the opportunities into a collection of potential projects. Such techniques are quite common and there are variations possible on this basic system. The break points will depend on the business and should be agreed and calibrated with the site staff before the Assessment begins. More categories can be added and it is also possible to add weighting factors to the categories. Again, whatever is finally adopted needs upfront agreement.

	RANKING INDEX			
	1	2	3	4
Net Benefits	<£50k pa	£50k – £500k pa	>£500k pa	>£1m pa
Ease of Implementation	Very Difficult	Requires Shutdown	Requires Project Work	Easy/Quick
Capex	>£1m pa	>£500k pa	£50k-£500k pa	<£50k pa

Ranking Score = Net Benefits x Ease of Implementation x Capex (max score 64)
'Quick Wins' = Ease of Implementation = 4 **and** *(Benefit x Capex) score >8.*

Such validation techniques are very useful and provide a quick and auditable element in the design and decision process. They are not meant to replace a rigorous financial project justification but are simply a means of eliminating unfeasible ideas in a logical and consistent manner.

9.3 Ongoing Improvement – The Mature Operation

The scenario presented so far has focussed on developing some form of structured improvement programme, typically as part of a new initiative to address a site's energy performance. This will generate ideas and projects.

However it is also important that once the initial project activity is over and a site is operating at a more mature level of energy efficiency there has to be a culture of supporting processes in place to ensure the continual refreshment of energy efficiency ideas. The issues around sustainable energy performance have been discussed; the nature of the energy drivers is such that operational changes can quickly change the issues affecting energy. Two or three consecutive and unrelated processing changes could move a site's utility balance from, say, an MP steam surplus to a shortage, thus radically changing the site energy strategy. External fuel pricing considerations could do likewise. Thus the cycle of opportunity and project identification needs to continue – ideally as part of the Performance Review (section 7.2). It is conceivable that changing operational priorities and economics could revitalise project ideas previously rejected. So the database of opportunities, including those not so far carried out, needs to be maintained.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

The performance review process will undoubtedly generate many items requiring a quick fix or short term corrective action and repair. However the review and discussion must also keep an eye open for more structural issues requiring larger scale intervention, capital projects and so forth. Gatekeeping of external developments, new technologies and services that may be exploited in the quest for improved energy efficiency is an important part of this activity.

It is this attitude that is absolutely essential to a long term sustainable approach to energy efficiency. A one-off set of energy projects will inevitably become out of date, fall by the wayside and site energy performance will deteriorate.

9.4 Financial Planning and Project Economics

Project investment entails significant capital and associated costs over the economic life of the project. It is usually possible to accomplish the same result with several routes and we need to be able to make economic investment decisions about the correct way to proceed with the project. There are many textbooks and guides providing comprehensive introductions to Project Economics however this brief section provides an introductory summary. In all cases support from local company economists should be sought in the selection of discount rates, investment thresholds, etc.

9.4.1 Standard Project Economics Techniques

The principle underlying all types of investment is the net return expected from the proposed investment. This net return must be evaluated and compared with the overall investment in the project. The economic technique used to compare various design alternatives by projecting (discounting or compounding) associated costs over the economic life of the project, is known as Life Cycle Analysis (LCA).
The EU Energy Efficiency Directive specifically encourages the use of LCA as part of its minimum requirements for energy efficiency auditing (ref. EED Annex VI)

Payback Period and Return on Investment are two methods of analysis frequently used. They are not fully consistent with the life cycle cost (LCC) approach in that they do not take into account all relevant values over the entire life period and discount them to a common time basis. Despite their disadvantages, these methods can provide a first level measure of profitability that is, relatively speaking, quick, simple, and inexpensive to calculate. Therefore, they may be useful as initial screening devices for eliminating more obvious poor investments.

The four principal types of analysis that follow are fully consistent with the LCC approach:
Total life cycle cost (present value method), Net Present Value (NPV), Profitability Index or benefit/cost ratio and Internal Rate of Return (IRR).

Simple Payback
Simple Payback determines the number of years for the invested capital to be offset by resulting benefits:

$$\text{Simple Payback Period} = \frac{\text{Gain from Investment} - \text{Cost of Investment}}{\text{Annual Benefits} - \text{Annual Operating Costs}}$$

All other things being equal, the better investment is the one with the shorter payback period.

 ©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Return on Investment (ROI)

ROI is a performance measure used to evaluate the efficiency of an investment or to compare the efficiency of a number of different investments. To calculate ROI, the benefit of an investment is divided by the cost of the investment:

$$\text{ROI} = \frac{\text{Gain from Investment} - \text{Cost of Investment}}{\text{Cost of Investment}}$$

ROI analysis compares the magnitude and timing of investment gains directly with the magnitude and timing of investment costs. A high ROI means that investment gains compare favourably to investment costs. The advantages of the ROI method are that it is simple to compute and it is a familiar concept in the business community.

Net Present Value (NPV)

NPV is a discounted cash flow (DCF) analysis that compares the amount invested today to the present value of the future cash receipts from the investment. In other words, the amount invested is compared to the future cash amounts after they are discounted by a specified rate of return. NPV discounts all of the cash flows of a project to a base year. These cash flows include, but are not restricted to, equipment costs, maintenance expenses, energy savings, and write-off values. The cash flows are discounted to reflect their time value. Once all of the cash flows are discounted to a base year, the cash flows are weighed on a common basis and can be added together to obtain a 'total net present value'. A positive net present value indicates an acceptable project. A negative NPV indicates that the project should not be considered.

Profitability Index (PI)

The profitability index, or PI, (also known as a benefit/cash ratio [B/C] or savings/investment ratio [SIR]) compares the present value of future cash inflows with the initial investment on a relative basis. Therefore, the PI is the ratio of the present value of cash flows (PV) to the initial investment of the project.

$$\text{PI} = \frac{\text{Present Value of Future Cash Flows}}{\text{Initial Cost Investment}}$$

A PI of 0.75 means that the project returns currency in present value for each current currency invested. In this method, a project is accepted if $\text{PI} > 1$ and rejected if $\text{PI} < 1$.

Note that the PI method is closely related to the NPV approach. In fact, if the net present value of a project is positive, the PI will be greater than 1. In other words, if the present value of cash flows exceeds the initial investment, there is a positive net present value and a PI greater than 1, indicating that the project is acceptable.

Internal Rate of Return (IRR)

An internal rate of return is also a discounted cash flow (DCF) analysis commonly used to evaluate the desirability of investments or projects. The IRR is defined as the interest rate that makes the net present value of all cash flow equal to zero. In financial analysis terms, the IRR can be defined a discount rate that that makes the present value of estimated cash flows equal to the initial investment. The higher a project's internal rate of return, the more desirable it is to undertake the project.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Assuming all other factors equal amongst the various projects, the project with the highest IRR would probably be considered the best and undertaken first. IRR should not to be confused with the ROI method which calculates the rate of return that an investment is expected to yield. IRR expresses each investment alternative in terms of rate of return, a compound interest rate.

Discount Rate

An important element of DCF analysis is the determination of the proper discount rate that should be applied to bring the cash flows back to their present value. Generally, the discount rate should be determined in accordance with several factors:

Project risk, Project Size and Life, Time Horizon, Different Cash Flows, tax considerations, etc.

This is a specialised area and consultation with the Site/Company economist should take place in selecting an appropriate discount rate for the projects in question.

Making a Go/No-Go Project Decision

The following are 4 generic guidelines to make better investment decisions:

1. Focus on cash flows, not profits. Keep as close as possible to the economic reality of the project. Accounting profits contain many kinds of economic anomalies, flows of cash, on the other hand, are economic facts.
2. Focus on incremental cash flows. Focus on the changes in cash flows affected by the project. The analysis may require some careful thought: a project decision identified as a simple go/no-go question may hide a subtle substitution or choice among alternatives.
3. Account for time. Time is money. According to the theory of time preference, investors would rather have cash immediately (sooner than later). Use NPV as the technique to summarise the quantitative attractiveness of the project.
4. Account for risk. Not all projects present the same level or risk. One wants to be compensated with a higher return for taking more risk. The way to control for variations in risk from project to project is to use a discount rate to value a flow of cash that is consistent with the risk of that flow.

9.4.2 Utilities Marginal pricing

Typically a company will maintain a set of product values used for capital project estimation, perhaps including quality premiums and so forth. However Utilities systems, and in particular multi-level steam networks, can provide a challenge when looking at the pricing of utilities streams for project evaluation.

In most locations there are various operational routes available (say) to generate an extra tonne of steam. Historical average costs should not be used as these do not reflect the different efficiencies of production, current constraints and what may be available at the time.



Consider a simple 2-level steam network as illustrated. There are two ways to value MP Steam. The value of MPS generated through the letdown station is essentially

 ©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

the value of HP steam adjusted for the enthalpy difference of HP/MP steam. However the value of MP steam generated through the steam turbine is the value of HP steam minus the power generation. Thus the value of MP steam from both these routes are different and not the same as the average MP steam cost.

This is an important consideration and requires understanding of which constraints are active when allocating utility prices. In reality it will be more complex than the simple example quoted here – multiple turbines with differing efficiencies – multiple steam levels etc.

The use of marginal economics:

- Differentiates between different levels of producing steam
- Provides the necessary signals to allow optimisation of the steam network
- Provides the actual value of energy saved when carrying out efficiency projects
- However, is it dynamic – as fuel prices or site demand profiles change relative to the active utilities constraints then so do the marginal costs

This nicely illustrates the potential benefit of an on-line Utilities Optimisation system which will recognise the current constraints and what is affecting the marginal pricing and make operational decisions on the pertinent pricing strategy.

9.4.3 Investment Thresholds for Energy Projects

Traditional investment criteria have not always served energy efficiency projects well; short term production-driven investments can appear more attractive than longer term energy projects which recoup their benefits over many years. In the current difficult economic climate where capex budgets are limited energy efficiency projects can slip in priority against 'must do' safety or product related investments.

To mitigate these effects companies are increasingly turning to special investment criteria for energy proposals which better reflect the longer term nature of such projects. Thus whilst a Profitability Index threshold of (say) 4 may be needed for normal projects a threshold of 2 may be used for energy projects.

Such a philosophy is reflected in the Carbon Trust Energy Maturity Matrix (Appendix B) where "positive discrimination in favour of 'green' schemes..." is rated as Best Practice.

Example. Company X (a large refinery/petrochemical site) had a big surplus of Low Pressure Steam (LPS) – the constraint was on Medium Pressure Steam (MPS). Hence a traditional utilities pricing approach gave zero value to LPS - there was no apparent benefit in saving LPS. However this was counter-intuitive to operational and engineering common sense – it implied that there was no financial benefit in repairing steam leaks. So, in conjunction with the Site Economist, an agreed LPS value was chosen which provided an incentive to a steam leak repair programme. In parallel with this project activities aimed at rebalancing the MPS/LPS distribution helped accommodate as much as possible of the 'saved' LPS.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

10 Common Energy Tools and Techniques

A list of comprehensive energy efficiency techniques covering all industries is beyond the scope of this book – the reader is directed to sector-specific literature such as the EU Best Available Techniques which are specifically written for each sector (Steel, Pulp and Paper, Oil, Textiles, etc. – refer to Appendix G).

However there are some generic best practices which do have widespread applicability across the chemical and process industries and are likely to occur in the majority of energy improvement plans. These are basic good practice and are presented here as an overview. Detailed implementation advice can be found in many standard texts and manuals.

10.1 Measurement and Control of Energy Streams

Accurate measurement of energy streams and good control of energy-influencing parameters is an absolute essential foundation for nearly all energy improvement initiatives. Indeed it is probably one of the most effective means of improving energy efficiency.

Chapter 6 on Energy Management Information Systems discusses in some detail the role of energy information in decision-making. The foundation of this is an effective and comprehensive set of measurements. Unfortunately, at times in the past, the provision of energy measurements was often sacrificed during plant design as an economy measure. Similarly measurement provision on package units such as turbines was left to the vendor's local package and not fully integrated into the plant instrumentation system. Thus it is quite common for an energy project to require additional instrumentation. In this respect the growth of wireless instrumentation in recent years has made the retrofitting of measurement points a more economical prospect. Local gauges and thermowells can now easily be brought back to the control room/DCS.

10.1.1 Mass and Energy Balances

Being able to construct an energy balance around the manufacturing unit in question is a basic element in the reporting and analysis of energy data. It allows an understanding of the distribution of energy consumption and loss across the unit and is the base line for identifying opportunities for improvement. When monitored in real-time it provides the basis for the calculation of energy reports, KPIs and the consequent identification of changes in operation. High quality measurement of the energy streams (i.e. enthalpies) is essential.

In some cases – for instance the loss of energy through an air cooler, it is clearly not possible to directly measure the energy lost to atmosphere. In such cases it will need to be calculated by difference from an energy balance around the equipment in question.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Looking at the four main classes of energy involved:

10.1.1.1 Feed and Product streams

The key is accurate and comprehensive flow measurement of all incoming and outgoing feed and product streams. When constructing energy balances the calibration conditions for the flow measurements should be checked. In calculating stream enthalpies the correct operating temperature should be used for the specific heat values. If there are wide variations in operating temperatures (different modes) then temperature compensation can be considered. (For example the specific heat of a typical light oil product changes from 0.625 to 0.675 kcal/kg·°C as the temperature rises from 150 to 200 °C). So attention to such details is important. In the case of gas flow, pressure compensation may be appropriate.

10.1.1.2 Steam

The key issues around steam measurement involve the correct installation (to prevent condensate problems) and (when appropriate) suitable corrections for the effect of changes in temperature and pressure. There is a host of instrumentation available for both steam (and air flows), however the two predominant technologies are Vortex and Differential Pressure derived flow using primary elements (orifice plates, pitot tubes, nozzles). The advancement of DP flow technology now allows for Multivariable Transmitters that can measure the differential pressure (volumetric flow), static pressure and temperature all within the one instrument. Thus the instrument is able to calculate fully compensated mass flow of steam as well as the energy content of steam. When used with an Annubar (pitot tube) the permanent pressure loss is negligible and the installation costs are a fraction of in-line flow meters (requiring only one mounting nozzle to be welded in place and one entrance in to pipe medium).



10.1.1.3 Fuels

As well as the obvious need for accurate flow measurement, for which a lot of the previous comments apply, an important factor for fuels is the calorific value of heating value of the fuel. If the fuel is of a consistent composition – e.g. externally purchased fuel oil or natural gas then a fixed factor can be used. Internal fuel gas systems with a variety of fuel sources are much more likely to subject to swings in calorific value. In such cases on-line compensation by a relative density meter on the fuel gas supply can make a significant improvement in accuracy. In general for similar types of gases heating value reduces as molecular weight increases. Turndown issues should also be considered – especially if square root/DP type flow measurements are used. Double-range transmitter systems may be appropriate.



©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

10.1.1.4 Electrical Power Measurements

Most process plants control electrical motor driven assets from a Motor Control Centre (MCC) via the system controls. Many are fitted with electrical power meters from which the respective electrical power consumed by the asset can be monitored and recorded. Where this provision is not in place the use of clamp-on electrical transducers and permanent hardwired sensors can be used. There are literally hundreds of transducer sensor types available for measuring current and voltage and hence determination of electrical power.

10.1.2 Process Control

As with many other considerations; quality, yield or reliability, good control is an essential prerequisite for energy efficient operation. Being able to run in a robust and stable operation close to an operational constraint is important as is the ability to shift operation in a controlled manner as external factors change thus always running at the energy efficient spot.



The concept of improved stability allowing a constraint to be approached more closely is illustrated: the increased stability allows the average operating point to be moved closer to an operational target or constraint without infringing the limit. And this results in less waste and a reduction in operating costs. So, for instance, a furnace with good quality combustion air control can safely run closer to an ideal low stack oxygen target without the risk of dangerous substochiometric firing, thus saving fuel. Or a distillation column can run closer to its ideal reboil duty and still consistently produce on-specification products without the need to over-reboil or over-reflux (more energy) to play safe.

Typical generic control techniques that play a part on energy efficient operation follow:

10.1.2.1 Controller Tuning and Basic Set-up.

The base-level control loops, typically 2 or 3-term PID controllers, are the basic manipulation handles of the plant and need to be able to operate reliably and consistently. Without them any amount of higher level energy-saving control will not function.

All controllers should be periodically reviewed – a simple observed set-point step test is sufficient. We are looking ideally for what is known as Quarter Amplitude Damping in the response to a set-point change.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Otherwise performance will be either too sluggish or over-reactive.



Step response for sound controller settings

Controller re-tuning is a well-documented activity – methods such as Ziegler-Nichols and Cohen-Coen are well known and will be found in many text-books and guides. Tuning packages which run on PCs are widely available although manual observation with a wristwatch and notebook can be as effective. Many modern DCS have self-tuning packages. These can be very useful although their use in a continual background mode is debatable.

At the same time valve operation and instrument range should be checked – controllers running with valves consistently wide-open or almost shut will not perform well – and similarly instruments that are operating at the extreme ends of their range will not provide consistent and accurate measurements. Re-ranging transmitters and valve trims may be needed.

Finally, for master-slave systems, the master should always be tuned slower than the slave controller.

10.1.2.2 Feed-Forward Control

For most manufacturing processes there is a proportionality between energy consumption and feedrate – the more feedstock you process the more energy is required. The precise nature of this relationship may be non-linear with significant fixed loads but the basic proposition is generally a good starting point.

Hence for plants where there are regular feedrate changes then feed-forward control plays an important part in keeping energy consumption down.

This is particularly important for the final process energy consumers. Whilst on many units the Utilities complex is the largest single direct energy consumer and receives, quite rightly, the focus of attention, it is also important that the subsequent consumption of that utility-generated energy by the process users is tightly controlled. This is the actual energy going into the manufacturing process.

In execution feed-forward mechanisms may vary from a simple ratio system through to a disturbance variable as part of a multivariable model-based controller (see below) at the other. Dynamics do have to be considered. If the feedrate measurement that is being used to drive the system is physically far ahead in the process then it may be appropriate to include some dynamic compensating term (typically a first order lag) to prevent “premature” movement of the slave loop before the flow changes have worked their way through the process. Of course the overall loop needs to have a feedback mechanism to allow operator adjustment to adjust product quality (e.g. changing the reboil/feed ratio on a distillation column).

Other, less explicit, forms of feed-forward control can be considered to handle disturbances in a predictive manner. This includes use of heat duty controllers (as opposed to flow controllers) for heating systems (e.g. reboilers) which will compensate for variations in the heating medium temperature. Or corrections for the heating value of fuel gas or steam conditions. All these allow a more accurate setting of heat input without having to allow wasteful contingency factor.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

10.1.2.3 Constraint pushing control

Constraint pushing recognises that there are often extra degrees of freedom in unit operation which can be exploited to achieve a secondary control aim over and above the basic regulatory structure. This is particularly important from an energy perspective when driving the plant towards an energy efficient position and is a desirable outcome although not necessarily at the expense of quality or safety considerations.

It can also be used where high speed regulatory control is the first aim and then slower constraint control adapts operation to a more efficient position whilst maintaining primary controllability. Typical of these are valve position controllers which are useful ways of continually pushing operation to a low energy position without losing tight control. The aim being to operate with the valves in question at about 90% open. Three examples illustrate this as follows:

Floating Pressure Control in a Distillation Column. It is well known that, within hydraulic constraints, distillation columns require less energy to achieve the same separation as the pressure is reduced. However at any one time stable control of the column pressure is desirable to assist consistent product separation. The lowest pressure will generally be achieved when the overhead condenser is running at maximum duty – i.e. the output of the Pressure Controller which drives the condenser is at a maximum. So a constraint controller can be added to the basic design which manipulates the pressure controller setpoint such that its output is ideally 90%. This is a slow acting controller which will make small and gradual adjustments to reduce the pressure controller setpoint thus allowing the pressure control to always maintain stable basic control.



(Normally such a system will require pressure compensated temperatures and adjustment of reboil heat to match the constraint pusher and correspondingly minimise the heat input).

Valve networks and Variable Speed Drives. Operating control valves at 50% open or less is wasteful as hydraulic energy from a pump is being wasted in the high pressure drop over the valve. Consider the distribution network illustrated. A steam driven compressor supplies several consumers all on flow control. There is clearly the potential for a large turndown and spread of operation.

So again the use of a valve position controller is suggested which monitors the position of the control valves in a network and then slowly drives the turbine



©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

speed down such that the widest open valve is at 90%. This is an excellent means of tuning down the compressor energy consumption whilst maintaining good flow controllability.

Similar examples could be considered using a variable speed drive on electric pumps or controlling a common Hot Oil furnace which supplies several independent consumers.

Exchanger preferential control. In the similar manner there may be choices in balancing heat exchanger networks as the relative duties and operation of the exchangers change in line with varying operations. Consider the illustrated situation of a process stream which is cooled against a process exchanger (Q_P), a Boiler Feed Water heater (Q_{BFW}) and a Cooling Water trim cooler (Q_{CW}). High speed control of the exit temperature is provided by manipulating the valve to QCW. Flow variations through QP and Q_{CW} are decoupled by the Pressure Differential control across the exchanger bank which sets the balancing flow through Q_{BFW}.



However it is desirable that as much heat as possible is recovered by Q_{BFW}. Thus a valve position or constraint controller looks at the signal to the Q_{CW} valve and manipulates the Pressure Differential Controller (PDC) setpoint so as to minimise the flow through Q_{CW}: if the Q_{CW} valve opens too much the setpoint to the PDC will be decreased so as to divert flow through Q_{BFW} which is economically more attractive.

The above examples indicate the relatively simple options that can be built around this concept. The relative operation of a wide variety of equipment and processes can and will change on a continual bases and such techniques are very useful in keeping the process in a sensible, energy efficient, area of operation.

10.1.2.4 Model Predictive Control (MPC)

The aforementioned techniques are all built using conventional control components which are widely available in either pneumatic control, single loop electronic or DCS. The basis is the 3-term PID controller.

However all of the above can be combined in a single algorithm set. Over the last 25 years model-based control has been increasingly used in the process industries. There are many well-known algorithms sold

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

through a variety of system and consultancy suppliers – PredictPro, DMC, SMOC, RMPTC etc – all of which follow a common basic philosophy.

The controller structure envisages a set of controlled variables (plant targets- e.g. product qualities) and a set of manipulated variables (plant handles - flows, valves and other base level controllers) plus associated disturbance (i.e. feed-forward) and constraint (limiting) variables. Dynamic models (typically 1st or 2nd order) are established between the variables in a fully predictive multivariable manner. At each control cycle a linear optimisation routine calculates an optimum set of manipulated variable signals so as to meet the controlled variable set-points and honour any constraints and disturbances. It is sometimes also possible to include an economic objective function which can move the plant towards a given goal if there are sufficient degrees of freedom available.



Initially such applications were on a smaller scale – say a 4x4 controller on a single distillation column. Nowadays much larger plant-wide matrix sizes, up to 40x40 variables or greater, have been built. They play a very useful role in energy efficiency as their multivariable constraint handling can better handle an energy-minimisation objective or constraint than the traditional single input/single-output feedback controller.

Such controllers have proven very successful in reducing energy costs, in particular for processes such as distillation where energy savings of >5% are typically reported (in addition to yield and quality benefits). However they require specialist skills for design, implementation and support. It may be better consider implementation as part of a larger quality improvement or debottlenecking project than purely for energy considerations.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

10.2 Utilities Systems

Steam is probably the most common utility medium for manufacturing sites (others being tempered water and thermal fuels). Most sites will generate steam in boilers at a high pressure and then let down via compressors, pumps, turbo-alternators and consumers to generate electricity and meet process and pumping needs. Typically sites will have a two or three level steam hierarchy. Two broad areas of attention define the focus for energy efficiency:

- Efficiency of generation – the Boiler House
- Distribution and matching demand – the steam network

10.2.1 Steam Generation

Boiler firing will be considered as part of the general combustion discussion in section 10.3. However given the size of many steam generating systems – providing site-wide steam to many plants – there is significant scope for optimisation of the boiler operation, in particular the water-side activities. Large circulating energy streams are involved – often at relatively low temperature levels. Corrosion and water quality issues play an important part and thus provide a delicate balancing act for the Boiler configuration and operation.

10.2.1.1 Boiler Feed Water Preheat

The Boiler Feed Water from the deaerator (BFW) being returned to the boiler generally has a temperature of approximately 105 °C. The water in the boiler (at a higher pressure) will be at a higher temperature. The boiler is fed with water to replace system losses and recycle condensate, etc. Heat recovery is possible by preheating the feed-water, thus reducing the steam boiler fuel requirements.



Reheating is achieved in several ways:

- Using waste heat (e.g. from a process): BFW can be preheated by available waste heat. This is an excellent way of recovering low level process heat e.g. from product rundown streams
- Installing an economiser (1) – i.e. heat exchange of boiler flue gases to BFW

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

- Using deaerated feed-water: in addition, the condensate can be preheated with deaerated feed-water before reaching the feed-water vessel (2). The BFW from the condensate receiver (3) has a lower temperature than the deaerated feed-water from the feed-water container. Through a heat exchanger, the deaerated feed-water is cooled down further (the heat is transmitted to the feed-water from the condensate tank). As a result, the deaerated feed-water forwarded through the feed-water pump is cooler when it runs through the economiser. It thus increases its efficiency due to the larger difference in temperature and reduces the flue-gas temperature and flue-gas losses. Overall, this saves live steam, as the feed-water in the feed-water container is warmer and therefore less live steam is necessary for its deaeration

In practice, the possible savings from feed-water preheating amount to several per cent of the steam volume generated. Therefore, even in small boilers the energy savings can be in the range of several GWh per year. For example, with a 15 MW boiler, savings of roughly 5 GWh/yr, some EUR 60000/yr and about 1000 tonne CO_2/yr can be attained. Boiler flue-gases are often rejected to the stack at temperatures of more than 100 to 150 °C higher than the temperature of the generated steam. Generally, boiler efficiency can be increased by 1% for every 20 °C reduction in the flue-gas temperature. By recovering waste heat, an economiser can often reduce fuel requirements by 5 to 10% and pay for itself in less than 2 years.

10.2.1.2 Deaerator operation

Deaeration protects the steam system from the effects of corrosive gases by removing dissolved gases from boiler feed-water. It accomplishes this by reducing the concentration of dissolved O_2 and CO_2 to a level where corrosion is minimised. A dissolved oxygen level of 5 parts per billion (ppb) or lower is needed to prevent corrosion in most high pressure (>13.79 barg) boilers. While O_2 concentrations of up to 43 ppb may be tolerated in low pressure boilers, equipment life is extended at little or no cost by limiting the oxygen concentration to 5 ppb. Dissolved CO_2 is essentially completely removed by the deaerator.

The design of an effective deaeration system depends upon the amount of gases to be removed and the final gas (O_2) concentration desired. This in turn depends upon the ratio of BFW makeup to returned condensate and the operating pressure of the deaerator. Sudden increases in free or 'flash' steam can cause a spike in deaerator vessel pressure, resulting in re-oxygenation of the feed-water. A dedicated pressure regulating valve should be provided to maintain the deaerator at a constant pressure.

Steam to the deaerator provides physical stripping action to scrub out unwanted gases and heats the mixture of returned condensate and boiler feed-water makeup to saturation temperature. Steam flow may be parallel, cross, or counter to the water flow, bubbling through the water, both heating and agitating. Exit steam is cooled by incoming water and condensed at the vent condenser. Non-condensable gases and some steam are released through the vent. Most steam will condense, but a small fraction (usually 5 –14%) must be vented to accommodate the stripping requirements. Normal design practice is to calculate the steam required for heating, and then make sure that the flow is sufficient for stripping as well. If the condensate return rate is high (>80%) and the condensate pressure is high compared to the deaerator pressure, then very little steam is needed for heating, and provisions may be made for condensing the surplus flash steam. Optimisation of the deaerator pressure and vent rate is an important energy-saving consideration.

The energy in the steam used for stripping may be recovered by condensing this steam and feeding it through a heat exchanger in the feed water stream entering the deaerator.

 ©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Deaerator steam requirements should be re-examined following the retrofit of any steam distribution system, condensate return, or heat recovery energy conservation measures. Continuous dissolved oxygen monitoring devices can be installed to aid in identifying operating practices that result in poor oxygen removal.

Note - the deaerator is designed to remove oxygen that is dissolved in the entering water, not in the entrained air. Sources of 'free air' include loose piping connections on the suction side of pumps and improper pump packing.

10.2.1.3 Minimising Blowdown

Minimising boiler blowdown rate can substantially reduce energy losses as the temperature of the blowdown is directly related to that of the steam generated in the boiler.

As water vapourises in the boiler, dissolved solids are left behind in the water, which in turn raises the concentration of dissolved solids in the boiler. The suspended solids may form sediments, which degrade heat transfer. Dissolved solids promote foaming and carryover of boiler water into the steam. In order to reduce the levels of suspended and dissolved solids (TDS) to acceptable limits,
two procedures are used, automatically or manually in either case:

- Bottom blowdown is carried out to allow a good thermal exchange in the boiler. It is usually a manual procedure done for a few seconds every several hours
- Surface or skimming blowdown is designed to remove the dissolved solids that concentrate near the liquid surface and it is often a continuous process
- The blowdown of salt residues to drain causes further losses accounting for between one and three percent of the steam employed. Further costs may be incurred for cooling the blowdown residue to the temperature prescribed by regulatory authorities. The amount of energy lost by blowdown increases with higher boiler pressures
- In order to reduce the required amount of blowdown, there are several options:
- Condensate Recovery. Condensate is already purified and thus does not contain any impurities concentrated inside the boiler. If half of the condensate can be recovered, the blowdown can be reduced by 50%
- Water Treatment. Depending on the quality of the feed-water, water softeners, decarbonation and demineralisation might be required. The level of blowdown is linked with the level of the more concentrated component present or added to the feed-water. In case of direct feed of the boiler, blowdown rates of 7 to 8% are needed; this can be reduced to 3% or less when water is pre-treated
- The installation of automated blowdown control systems can also be considered, usually by monitoring conductivity. The blowdown rate will be controlled by the most concentrated component knowing the maximum concentration possible in the boiler
- Flashing the blowdown at medium or low pressure is another way to recover the energy content of the blowdown. This solution can be more favourable than exchanging the heat in the blowdown via a heat exchanger

Pressure degasification caused by vapourisation also results in further losses of between 1 and 3%. CO_2 and O_2 are removed from the fresh water in the process. This can be minimised by optimising the deaerator vent rate. The amount of waste water will also be reduced if blowdown frequency is reduced.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

10.2.1.4 Condensate Collection and Heat Recovery

Where heat is applied to a process via a steam heat exchanger, the steam surrenders energy as latent heat as it condenses to hot water. This water is either lost or recycled to the boiler. Re-using condensate has four objectives:

- Recycle the energy contained in the hot condensate
- Saving the cost of the (raw) top-up water
- Saving the cost of boiler water treatment
- Saving the cost of waste water discharge

Condensate is collected at atmospheric and vacuum. The condensate may originate from steam in appliances at a much higher pressure. Where this condensate is returned to atmospheric pressure, flash steam is spontaneously created. This can also be recovered. Deaeration is necessary in the case of vacuum systems.

The technique is not applicable in cases where the recovered condensate is polluted or if the condensate is not recoverable because the steam has been injected into a process.
For new designs, good practice is to segregate the condensates into potentially polluted and clean condensate streams. Clean condensates are those coming from sources which, in principle, will never be polluted (for instance, reboilers where steam pressure is higher than process pressure, so that in the case of leaking tubes, steam goes into the process). Potentially polluted condensates are condensates which could be polluted in the case of an incident (e.g. tube rupture on reboilers where process-side pressure is higher than steam-side pressure). Clean condensates can be recovered without further precautions. Potentially polluted condensates can be recovered but need segmentation options in the case of pollution which is detected by online monitoring, e.g. Total Organic Carbon (TOC) meter.

Condensate recovery has significant benefits and should be considered in all applications. The use of pinch analysis (see 10.5) for water systems has proven [particularly effective.

10.2.2 Steam Networks and Distribution Optimisation

The successful efficient operation of a site steam network can be a major challenge and presents significant opportunities to both waste (or gain) valuable energy as one attempts to balance supply and demand. Typically a manufacturing site may have 2 or 3 levels of steam networks at different pressures, a collection of boilers, turbo-alternators, and let-downs in addition to the process consumers. Some turbines may be total condensing, some may be back-pressure turbines. It is very likely that the network will have grown over the years – new equipment will have been added to relieve a particular historical constraint at a moment in time. Circumstances change and a different set of constraints will now apply. There may be different fuel options: gas, liquid, waste gas. More dynamic considerations could include time-dependent fuel and electricity tariffs (peak/off-peak).

Such a scenario can all too often result in a constrained situation where typically one steam level is limited and the other is in surplus. There may be some opportunity for balancing this dynamically by control and optimisation techniques, it may need some structural changes to bring the system into balance.

 ©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.



10.2.2.1 Utilities Optimisation

For any system which has degrees of flexibility (e.g. alternate options to generate electricity, differing equipment efficiencies, varying load profiles) then a utilities optimisation package can prove very useful. It may be an off-line package run in simulation mode or a fully closed-loop real-time optimiser driving the setpoints of the base level controllers around the system.

Typically a model is built of the key utilities components – turbines, boilers, letdowns and desuperheater stations. This will be fitted to the current operational conditions and process utility requirements – making the base case or "as is" model.



This done, the model will run in conjunction with a mathematical optimisation package which will make adjustments to the fitted model (in the form of new flows, allocations between turbines, letdown streams etc) so as to minimise a cost function – i.e. the economic cost of delivering the required process utility consumption at that moment. Thus as operating demand, or fuel/electricity prices or equipment performance (fouling) changes then a more economical solution is sought. More advanced packages can handle discrete optimisation steps such as pump drive changes (steam-electricity) and other step-wise changes.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

10.2.2.2 Structural changes - Steam blending

Significant structural imbalances in steam systems cannot always be handled by real-time control – the imbalance may be simply too large. In this case the site has to turn to changes in the hardware and equipment configuration to bring the system nearer to balance.



Many examples are obvious – changing steam and electric drives, adding a package boiler, condensing/backpressure turbine swaps and so forth. A technique which deserves more notice is the use of steam thermo-compressors to 'blend' two levels of steam to achieve an intermediate level (rather than let all the steam down from the higher pressure level).

Consider a process steam application, for instance reboil steam to a column. The process requires steam at 8 bara to meet the correct saturation temperature. The steam levels on site are 18 bara and 4.5 bara so normally the steam would be taken from the 18 bara system and letdown to 8 bara through the normal reboiler steam control valve. The 18 bara system is limited and the 4.5 bara steam is in surplus (a common situation).

However by blending together a mixture of 18 and 4.5 bara steam in a thermo-compressor the required 8 bara steam can be achieved using less of the (expensive) constrained 18 bara steam and making this up with surplus (cheap) 5 bara steam. In the case quoted about one third of the total steam mass flow was shifted from 18 bara to the 4.5 bara supply.



10.3 Combustion Activities

For probably the majority of process industry sites fired equipment represents the largest single source of energy transformation. Whether it be as direct process furnaces or as part of Boiler House and Utility complexes generating steam and electricity for subsequent use on site, the effective operation and control of fired equipment plays a major role in tackling energy efficiency. As always there are many issues needing attention. Whilst this User Guide does not set out to be a detailed manual on Combustion Engineering the following topics may serve as reminders of the common priority areas which need to be considered as part of energy efficient operation. Furnace operation can easily slip out of tune – there are many considerations – installed equipment, operational procedures, control, setup, maintenance – all of which have a direct and interrelated impact on furnace efficiency. Furnaces are potentially highly unsafe pieces of equipment yet also present a significant opportunity for energy saving. Thus care and attention is needed to ensure efficient but safe operation.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

10.3.1 Installed Equipment

Replacing/modifying furnaces can be a major capital expense and not undertaken lightly. However, for a large process furnace or boiler, perhaps burning 10s or 100s of tonnes of fuel a day, relatively small improvements in efficiency can turn into major fuel savings.

10.3.1.1 Upgrade natural draught to forced draught operation

Whilst most large furnaces installed in recent years will be modern forced draft furnaces there are still many older natural draught furnaces in operation, perhaps installed at times or in locations where energy prices were lower or as part of a package unit. The combination of relatively high stack oxygen contents and typically poor flue gas heat recovery mean that furnace efficiencies of perhaps 50-60% are typical. Thus there is the potential to increase furnace efficiency by up to another 25% representing major savings in fuel.

10.3.1.2 Improved combustion air-preheat

The recovery of waste heat from furnace flue gases and using it to preheat combustion air is one of the most effective ways of improving furnace efficiency. A rule of thumb – 20 °C reduction in stack temperature will result in 1% improvement in furnace efficiency. Thus 2 questions need to be asked: is an effective air-preheater in place and secondly is it well maintained (e.g. regularly cleaned) to ensure maximum heat transfer.



There are many forms of flue-gas recovery and air preheat. Local physical considerations (space, pressure drop) will play a large role in the final selection. Direct heat exchange or indirect exchange by pressured water (Liquid Coupled Air Preheater) are possible. Older types such as the famous Llungstrom airpreheaters using rotating plates are not so fashionable these days and are maintenance heavy. Indeed an air-preheater upgrade may be an attractive project. Fuel-type and fouling considerations may play a major role in type-selection; there are pros and cons for most types. The main limit to operation is the constraint on how low the flue gases may be cooled. Depending on fuel type this may be typically 150 °C as below this point dew-point corrosion in the flue can be an issue. (Locations burning their own fuel gas should take care here as such gases can sometimes contain other by-product compounds not found in normal natural gas which produce undesirable combustion products e.g. smuts).

Of course other options to recover flue gas heat include waste heat boilers and other process or heat recovery mechanisms.

Regular cleaning of convection banks and air preheaters is essential, both as a daily operational procedure (sootblowing) and periodically through specialist cleaning (chemical, acoustic, jetting,...).

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

10.3.1.3 Burner upgrades – low NO_x and turndown

Consideration may be given to the type of burner installed. Traditional pressure-atomised fuel oil burners have limited turndown (3:1) and often poor particulates performance. These constrain the operating ranges, particularly when the smoking limit is approached. A change of fuel to natural gas, installation of a steam-atomised burner or a more up-to-date design should allow closer operation to the efficient norm. This really requires simultaneous attention to the control systems to provide the assurance and secure response that is needed to safely operate in these regions. Similarly if NO_x emissions are a constraint a modern low-NO_x burner should allow more efficient furnace operation.



10.3.2 Furnace Control

Tight control of the fuel and combustion air systems is essential to safe and efficient furnace operation. The balance between fuel and combustion air and the composition of the flue gases is well known. As combustion air is reduced relative to the fuel flow furnace efficiency improves primarily due to the fact that less air has to be heated to combust with the fuel. However the point at which incomplete combustion starts is the limit to how far this can be achieved. Beyond this, partly combusted fuels cause CO in the flue gases to rise and operation becomes very inefficient, dramatically increasing the risk of furnace explosions from unburnt fuel in the furnace box or convection bank.

However the point at which this happens is determined by many factors: the fuel type and composition, the quality of fuel atomization at the burner, register settings, air density and humidity. Many of these will change over time. Disturbances are possible. Thus high quality measurement and control of the fuel and combustion air systems plays a vital role on efficient furnace operation.

10.3.2.1 Air and Fuel Measurement

Accurate measurement of air and fuel flows are essential. Refer to section 10.1.1 for more details on measurement technology. Key issues for furnace measurements include:

- Quality installation of air measurement. Typically a venturi. Important that condensation effects in instrument tappings are avoided
- Turndown considerations
- Fuel calorific value – fixed, compensated, calculated?

10.3.2.2 Air-Fuel ratio control

The core to successful furnace operation is some form of air-fuel ratio control, perhaps automatically adjusted by the stack Oxygen content in a closed-loop fashion. There are many variations on the theme and a manual could be written on this one subject. Solutions include:

- Mechanical linkage between the air damper and fuel valve (increasingly rare and typically found on small packaged systems)

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

- Straightforward ratio control which can be fuel-leading or air-leading
- Cross-limiting systems which limit the allowed fuel rate changes depending on the actual measured air-fuel-ratio (useful in cases of slow air-damper operation which can limit the responsiveness of the furnace/boiler)
- Closed-loop O_2 control with limiting CO control
- Overall Stoichiometric control based on a stoichiometric back calculation of heat input. (particularly useful for high levels of unmeasured waste gas burning)

There is no single correct solution and as ever there is always a trade-off between complexity and benefits. It is important to consider several factors in choosing the appropriate solution:

- Degree and speed of turndown and load flexibility required (e.g. base-load vs. trim operation)
- Fuel-type and variability in composition
- Amount and variability of waste-gas firing (if any)
- Availability of instrument/analyser resource for maintenance and support

10.3.2.3 Waste Gas Firing

Combustible waste gases, produced as by-products, are a common feature of many manufacturing locations – typically light gases produced as part of a catalytic reaction or distillation by-products. Two broad categories can be considered.

Gases with suitable pressure and composition (e.g. minimal inerts, no oxygen) are normally recovered in a centralised fuel gas system and burnt in a controlled manner as a distinct fuel on furnaces and boilers. The system may be topped up with (say) propane or butane to provide a fully flexible fuel utility. This fuel would be treated as a conventional fuel and included in the air-fuel ratio systems. Perhaps density compensation may be applied to account for variations in heating value.

Other gases may be unsuitable for inclusion in fuel gas recovery, for instance gas produced at very low pressure levels. Gases may have large amounts of undesirable compounds in a fuel gas network. Historically such streams were either sent to flare or may have been piped to a local furnace for incineration. However from an energy efficiency perspective these streams can have a significant impact and need to be considered very carefully.

Two prime issues need to be considered: the recovery of useful energy from the waste gas and the impact of a ‘wild’ waste-gas stream on the controllability (and hence efficiency) of the furnace.

Small amounts of waste gas (with a potential heating value of up to say 5-10% of the furnace duty) can simply be piped into the furnace for incineration. Either a vented pipe or a waste gas spud burner will suffice. The waste gas should be isolated as part of the furnace instrumented safeguarding function. The variations in flow and heating value of this stream will be relatively small compared with the overall furnace duty and will be satisfactorily trimmed by the oxygen control feedback. Perhaps a simple flow measurement added to the total fuel computation may help.

However beyond this level the relative impact of the waste gas is much higher and represents both a significant potential fuel saving and also a control challenge. More advanced techniques such as the Emerson SmartProcess Boiler have been developed to tackle high levels of waste gas or other alternate

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

fuel firing (e.g. from biomass). Here, the amount of air being consumed in the combustion process is continuously calculated from a measurement of the Excess Oxygen in the flue gas. Air being consumed by the waste gas fuel is determined by calculating the air being used for auxiliary fuel and subtracting it from the total. From the air calculation, a determination of calorific value of the alternate fuel is made on an ongoing basis by calculating stoichiometric combustion and solving for Calorific Value. This is used to ratio fuel feed and other set points such that variations in the fuel are continually compensated for. Primary/secondary or undergrate/overfire air ratios are automatically controlled to set points which are set based on load, characterization curves, the Excess Oxygen set point, and the waste gas fuel quality calculation. The alternate fuel delivery equipment is provided a set point based on load and the fuel quality calculation.

10.3.3 Furnace Operations – Training and Competencies

Thirty or Forty years ago operator responsibilities were quite different from that found today. Manning levels were generous, there was much less multi-tasking, local control buildings were scattered around a site (as opposed to the fully automatic and centralised control rooms of today). There was often a much greater divide between 'inside' and 'outside' operators. As a result operators often had distinct and long-lasting roles – pump-house operator, interceptor man and in the case of furnaces, dedicated 'Firemen' who worked full time on the furnace or boiler. These individuals built up real operational skills in their areas and that of furnace operator was particularly well developed.

Furnace operation is one of the few process industry areas where there is direct physical interaction with the process – it is possible to look through the sight tubes and make physical adjustments to the burners and registers to control the quality of combustion. That is still the case. And it is still an essential activity. However in the change to multi-tasking, centralised and flexible operational roles, there has been a loss in some of these skills. Companies are now recognising the need to keep operators trained in operational combustion techniques and this has become part of many energy efficiency programmes.

Furnaces are dynamic pieces of equipment – fouling from the combustion process, varying loads, fuels and ambient conditions and the effects of high operating temperatures on machinery all conspire against stable operation. As a result clear daily operational responsibilities need to be defined for furnace operation and this is backed up with practical training. The emphasis of this should be on the operational aspects – what can an operator do to correct and improve the reliable operation of the furnaces in his charge. Typical of the topics that should be covered:

- Draft Assessment - natural and forced draft – adjustment and correction
- Firing patterns – asymmetry
- Flame patterns and how to influence
- Register adjustment
- Impingement
- Safe start-up
- Burner changeover
- Leak identification
- Sootblower and shotcleaning procedures
- Control of atomising steam
- Daily operational maintenance and checks

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

A good idea is to bring in an operational furnace expert for a dual exercise of furnace auditing (i.e. checking of the physical condition and the furnaces and burners) coupled with a practical hands-on operator training session. Linking his assessment of the furnace issues (and there will almost certainly be some) with the operational practices is a valuable exercise.

10.3.4 Maintaining Fired Equipment

Following on from the philosophy outlined in Chapter 8, Fired equipment should certainly be on the Energy Critical Equipment Register. As we have seen it plays a major role in a site's energy consumption and poor maintenance can quickly lead to substandard and even dangerous operation.

As a start, the following basic maintenance activities should be determined, appropriate inspection and servicing periods defined and the activities included in the maintenance management system:

- Servicing of sootblower/shotblasting equipment
- Periodic convection bank and air pre-heater cleaning
- Regular minimum stop checking and safeguarding system testing (Flame-eye testing)
- Burner conditions (tips and plugs)
- Fuel system – lagging and steam tracing
- Instrumentation checks (e.g. zero-checking transmitters)
- Stack analyser servicing (O_2, CO, smoke density)
- Air damper operation
- Forced draft fan machine inspection and monitoring
- Burner air-register operation and lubrication
- Furnace shell leak inspection and repairs
- Refractory condition – including burner quarls
- Lagging inspection and periodic replacement

The above items all contribute to efficient and reliable furnace operation. The list is by no means exhaustive but simply represents the activities that are relevant to the majority of process furnaces and boilers. A rule of thumb – 2% reduction in stack oxygen content will result in 1% improvement in furnace efficiency.

10.4 Maintenance in Support of Energy Efficiency

A recent in-depth energy audit and follow-up of a major European petrochemical site highlighted the impact of maintenance on energy efficiency. The site was established, mature and had experienced staff. The audit showed that in terms of installed energy efficiency technology and practices there was little to be gained. However the review identified major efficiency losses due to poor equipment maintenance. A concerted improvement programme identified and realised benefits totalling 10% of the site's energy consumption simply by repairing, cleaning and reinstalling existing facilities. The payback was less than 6 months.

Chapter 8 discusses the role of Work Processes supporting Energy Critical Equipment. Such a methodology would have prevented the decline in performance illustrated above.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Typical of the generic maintenance activities/techniques that will apply to many sites are:

10.4.1 Cleaning of Heat Transfer Equipment (including fin-fans)

Many, if not most, processes lay down some form of fouling on heat transfer equipment – from high temperature reaction side effects, cokes and polymers through to biological fouling of cooling water systems. These all result in poorer heat recovery and an increase in direct energy requirements. However, cleaning programmes can be put under pressure in the pursuit of maintenance contract cost savings. Often there is little direct relationship between the budget holders for maintenance activities and the variable energy costs associated with that equipment. This is a key reason why energy performance review needs the correct managerial structure and authority to enable cross-department decisions to be made.

Examples include:

- Feed/Effluent exchangers in furnace preheat trains
- Boiler and Furnace flue gas recovery systems (convection banks, waste-heat boilers, air-preheaters)
- Furnace tube soot-build up and fouling (especially with heavy liquid fuels and incinerated waste products)
- Dust build-up on overhead finfans (can impact downstream refrigerant systems or compression systems)
- Fouling of tempered and cooling water systems. (ditto)
- Fouling of turbine blades
- Cooling Tower Performance

Cleaning methods are obviously many in nature and some focus on a particular application. However the (relatively slow) nature of the fouling process lends itself to online condition monitoring which in combination with process cost models allows evaluation and prediction of the optimum (cost effective) cleaning point. This is covered in 10.4.5.

10.4.2 Steam Leak Programmes

Clearly for plants with high pour-point materials steam tracing is a necessary fact of life. And that inevitably means steam leaks. However a focussed approach to steam leak repairs can bring significant steam savings as well as consequential benefits in terms of safety (no flumes), housekeeping and general pride in operations.

'Steam Team' example

In less than 6 months a large UK petrochems site saved £1.2Mpa in steam leaks from a 4-man steam team. (1 engineer, 1 foreman, 2 welders). After an initial site survey, the engineer would prioritise and select a list of leaks to be fixed each week. The Site was behind the initiative, permits to work were efficiently handled and the results well publicised. The effect was a transformation in both site attitudes, visual impact and financial benefit.

The following steps are recommended for saving energy in the steam condensate distribution system and starting an effective steam energy management programme:

- Appoint a steam leak custodian with express responsibility for running the programme
- Develop a standardised leak estimation methodology that you wish to use. There are many in open literature as well as from the major steam trap manufacturers. Different degrees of complexity are available and different methodologies (e.g. plume size estimation vs. orifice-based). The important thing is to adopt a site standard which suits and use it consistently
- Agree a steam value pricing structure. Apart from prioritising work it is a valuable element of any awareness campaign
- Run a survey, recording all leaks, size, cost, and location
- Allocate a budget and (ideally) a dedicated 'steam-team' charged with methodically working through the prioritised leak-fixing list
- Publicise success – the weekly results

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

10.4.3 Steam Trap Monitoring

Along with the steam leak activities a pro-active and organised approach to maintaining steam traps is required. A large petrochemical site may have tens of thousands of steam traps. Clearly a full checking programme is unfeasible however the large population does allow a risk-based inspection approach. Modern wireless-based failure monitors allow monitoring of key applications.



Key factors needed to be taken into account when designing an inspection programme are:

- Cost of vented steam during failure
- Cost of inspection programme
- Average repair cost per trap
- Inspection rate/yr
- Average failure rate (%fail/yr)
- Average delay between failure and inspection (months)
- Population



©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

From this it is a relatively straightforward analysis to determine the most cost-effective inspection programme, balancing inspection costs vs. lost steam costs. It also allows an understanding of the effect of failure rate can influence the outcome, investigating the effect of trap upgrades etc.

There are a variety of inspection techniques – ultrasonic, pyrometer, handcheck and the reader is steered towards manufacturer and service provider literature in making his assessment.



An attractive new tool on the steam trap market is the wireless monitor. Typically a simple battery operated strap-on device it uses acoustic technology to monitor steam trap performance. Two roles can be envisaged: monitoring of key high capacity traps (e.g. on MPS systems) and secondly for gathering statistical failure data which will allow a higher quality inspection programme to be constructed.

10.4.4 Lagging

Often ignored, the effects of lagging degradation can be significant.

The Netherlands Centre for Technical Insulation has calculated the effect of damaged or missing lagging on productivity and the environment. Estimates are that 5 to 10 percent of oil refinery systems are badly insulated or not insulated at all in the European Union; for the United States, estimates are 20 to 25 percent. One refinery with a capacity of 300,000 bbl/day was examined and found to be losing 4,500 bbl/day due to insufficient insulation – a loss of roughly $200 million per year. Reducing the refinery's losses with proper insulation would cost approximately $25 million, with a payback of two months. This would save 500,000 t/a of CO_2 emissions.



All too often, routine maintenance involves removal and replacement of insulated items. However, insulation removed by plant personnel or by other contractors' personnel is usually not replaced unless specifically noticed. At Turnarounds, replacement of insulation can get left over as the final job on the Turnaround.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Rain and corrosion plus minor damage can quickly lead to a loss in insulation performance.

Thus a regular programme of lagging and insulation inspection is a very important element in any energy conservation programme. Loss calculation methods are widely available. Infra-red scanning is a common technique. In some cases monitoring of rundown temperatures can indicate potential performance loss of a period of time.



10.4.5 Use of Asset Monitoring Techniques

Equipment monitoring software, typically linked to a DCS/Process Historian, has become widely available during the last decade. Model-building and coding techniques are now within the grasp of engineers without the need for specialist maths and programming skills. Modern computing power enables quick computation and convergence of the fitting and optimisation routines that are core to the software. Different approaches are possible:

- Rigorous first principles modelling
- Straight statistical modelling
- 'Grey-box' modelling which combines known and scientific-based understanding of physical processes with statistical modelling –thus better able to handle measurement error, modelling inaccuracy and un-modelled effects.

Whilst initially aimed at fault detection these techniques can be a very useful tool in an energy-based maintenance strategy. Energy performance degradation is typically a slower process driven by factors such as fouling and as such is a good candidate for long term monitoring.

Typically a model will be fitted to (real-time) plant data (e.g. using hourly average data to take out short term noise). The calculated fitting parameter(s) will be an engineering quantity which has a direct relation to the energy performance issue – e.g. a heat transfer coefficient, boiler efficiency, turbine efficiency. The fitted model can be used in two ways:

1. Alarming of current condition. This could be combined with some form of SPC (Statistical Process Control) monitoring.
2. The development of time-based models for the calculated model parameters which can then be used as predictive models to investigate future operational and maintenance options. As the model is updated it becomes an up to-date representation of the latest situation and is the best knowledge for future planning. Typically this can be combined with maintenance planning systems to determine cost-effective optimum cleaning and intervention points.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Common applications include:

- Heat Exchanger fouling and performance prediction
- Turbine efficiency monitoring
- Boiler and Furnace efficiency monitoring
- Gas turbine performance
- Pump Curve – operation with respect to best efficiency point
- Cooling Tower efficiency



Ideally the modelling package should use the same common data as the EMIS and its results should be fed back for data storage and reporting within the EMIS.

To get best results the use of such packages needs formalising – results presented and decisions made on a regular basis laid down by the EMS maintenance processes rather than as an occasional technology hobby used by one engineer.

10.5 Pinch Analysis and Improved Heat Recovery

The techniques discussed so far have generally been supportive methodologies to improve the operational energy efficiency of a unit. The basic plant has remained the same. Major Capital Projects have not been discussed.

However operations do change. Different feedstock, product requirements and so forth gradually change the operating conditions. Equipment is likely to run at different temperatures and flows from the original design. Controllability and contingency factors from the original design cases are likely to have been superseded. And these considerations particularly apply to the process heat integration or heat recovery systems employed. Indeed on an older unit there may be very poor heat integration with excessive energy wastage (a quick check on product rundown temperatures is a useful indication – how do they compare to storage requirements? – is too much heat being sent to cooling water?)

This leads to the consideration of a more fundamental revisit of the unit heat integration and energy utilisation. In recent years the methods of Process Integration and more specifically Pinch Analysis have proven successful in addressing these issues.

10.5.1 Pinch Analysis

The technique of Pinch Analysis were first developed in 1977 by Bodo Linnhoff under the supervision of Dr John Flower at the University of Leeds and since then has emerged as one of the most practical tools in the field of process integration, particularly for improving the efficient use of energy, water and hydrogen. It is well used in the Chemicals, Petrochemicals, Oil Refining, Pulp & Paper, Food & Drink and Steel/Metallurgy sectors.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Pinch Analysis provides tools that allow the investigation of energy flows within a process and to identify the most economical ways of maximising heat recovery and minimising demand for external utilities. Whilst it obviously has a place in new designs, in retrofit projects pinch analysis can be specifically aimed at maximising the return on project investment and allows evaluation of combinations of project ideas.

An important element to pinch analysis is the establishment of minimum energy consumption targets for a given process or plant. This information enables the identification of the maximum potential for improvement before beginning the detailed process design.

The use of specialised software is generally required. Some software applications offer tools to rapidly design heat exchanger networks. A model of the Site's utility systems is generally produced as part of the pinch study. This enables process energy savings to be directly related to savings of primary energy purchases.

Typical reported savings across the sectors identified above have been in the region 10 to 35%
(Source: Pinch Analysis for the Efficient Use of Energy etc. Natural Resources Canada).



One of the principal tools of Pinch Analysis is the graphic representation of composite curves. The process data is represented as a set of energy flows, or streams, as a function of heat load (kW) against temperature (deg C). These data are combined for all the streams in the plant to give composite curves, one for all hot streams (releasing heat) and one for all cold streams (requiring heat). The point of closest approach between the hot and cold composite curves is the pinch point with a hot stream pinch temperature and a cold stream pinch temperature. This is where the design is most constrained. Hence, by finding this point and starting the design there, the energy targets can be achieved, recovering heat between hot and cold streams in two separate systems, one for temperatures above pinch temperatures and one for temperatures below pinch temperatures. In practice, during the pinch analysis of an existing design, often cross-pinch exchanges of heat are found between a hot stream with its temperature above the pinch and a cold stream below the pinch. Removal of those exchangers by alternative matching ensures the process reaches its energy target.

The detailed exploitation of Pinch Analysis, whether for heat exchanger networks, utility system design or cogeneration applications, is beyond the scope of this text. Indeed specialist skills and software are needed. However it can play an important part in reassessing and optimising the energy and utility profiles across a unit. Suggested further reading is included in Appendix H.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

10.6 Variable Speed Drives

Variable speed drives (VSDs) can lead to significant energy savings associated with better process control, less wear in the mechanical equipment and less acoustical noise. When loads vary, VSDs can reduce electrical energy consumption particularly in centrifugal pumps, compressors and fan applications – even up to 50%. Materials processing applications like centrifugal machines, mills and machine tools, as well as materials handling application such as winders, conveyors and elevators, can also benefit both in terms of energy consumption and overall performance through the use of VSDs.

The use of VSDs can provide other benefits including:

- extending the useful operating range of the driven equipment
- isolating motors from the line, which can reduce motor stress and inefficiency
- accurately synchronising multiple motors
- improving the speed and reliability of response to changing operating conditions

Whilst VSD technology has been around for many years the costs tended to limit its application to high power applications. However, modern inverter electronics have reduced typical capital costs significantly and the technology is now much more suited to smaller applications.

Case study – A refrigerant system with a small 10KW compressor which operated under a variable load profile during the day achieved power savings of 40% by the installation of VSD. Simple payback time was 2.4 years despite operation being limited to the period May to October each year.



Note: VSDs are not applicable for all applications, in particular where the load is constant (e.g. fluid bed air input fans, oxidation air compressors, etc.), as the VSD will lose 3 – 4% of the energy input (rectifying and adjusting the current phase).

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

11 Skills and Competencies for Energy Activities

In describing the setting up of an Energy Improvement Programme the subject of roles and skills was briefly mentioned (Section 4.3). The forthcoming Chapter looks in more detail at the competencies and skill levels required both for energy improvement programmes and the development of EMS. Given the broad range of energy factors a correspondingly wide range of disciplines is involved and striking the balance between full time and specialist resource is a fine line.

11.1 Organisational Core Competencies and Skill Areas

These skills are needed for everyday energy-related activities and should generally be available as part of the local-on-site resource. In most cases these are energy competencies being part of a broader job.

11.1.1 Site Energy Manager

This is (ideally) a dedicated position. The incumbent would typically be an experienced engineer with a strong operational overview and experience from across the site. This is NOT a financial or book-keeping position. Key competencies and knowledge areas:

- Operations and Process Engineering
- Business Planning and Scheduling
- Understanding of site organisation and decision-making processes
- Benchmarking and data-analysis techniques
- Economics and product/utilities valuation
- Ability to track/exploit External trends and developments (technical and business)
- Facilitation/Communication skills
- Understanding/Appreciation of energy efficiency technologies

11.1.2 Process Engineers

All process engineers providing day-to-day operations support should have basic energy efficiency competencies:

- Thorough understanding of how process operation and operating conditions affect energy consumption on their unit
- Thorough understanding of how Site fuel and utility systems impact their unit
- Standard Chemical Engineering skills – heat and mass balances, heat transfer, thermodynamics, separation processes, modelling etc
- Basic equipment operational and modelling knowledge – furnaces, turbines, heat exchangers, steam traps
- Appreciation of modern control and instrumentation techniques
- Appreciation/understanding of advanced modelling techniques (Pinch analysis, Steam simulations etc)
- Process Historian report building and data analysis skills
- Process economics

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

11.1.3 Utilities Engineering

Very much dependent on installed utilities infrastructure but key skills likely to include (in addition to basic process engineering skills):

- Detailed Boiler operation and performance assessment
- Power distribution - operational aspects
- Steam systems and networks - balancing and optimisation
- Turbine performance and troubleshooting
- Water Treatment Chemistry

11.1.4 Control and Instrumentation

The ability to measure and control energy-related streams is an absolutely essential requisite for efficient operation. On-site capabilities should include:

- Instrumentation design (simple loops), diagnostic, servicing skills
- DCS/Process Historian configuration skills (tags, calculations, displays, reports)
- Process control Loop Tuning
- Basic Control design and configuration
- Advanced Control – first line support

11.1.5 Operational Staff

The distribution of skills will depend on role-allocation within the operational (shift) teams – for instance the use of dedicated furnace operators as opposed to a fully multi-tasking rotating pattern.

- All operators should understand the basic operational drivers on their units energy efficiency (e.g. stack oxygen, equipment performance, recycle rates, stripping steam, impact of reflux and reboil etc) and how they can be influenced from the panel and in the field
- All operators should understand the basic energy efficient equipment procedures – for instance how and when to operate sootblowers, check steam-trap operation, deaerator operation, drain condensate, report steam leaks, pump drive selection and so forth
- Panel operators need a sound understanding of how the process control loops affect energy consumption – heat integration, furnace controls, fuel selection, stability concepts, constraint pushing, distillation conditions
- Furnace skills (if appropriate) – flame pattern interpretation, air register manipulation, burner cleaning, burner procedures (changing tips and plugs)

11.2 Important Specialist Support Skills

The Site will need access to reliable and in-depth specialist engineers to compliment the day-to-day operation. This may be sourced from a variety of places: corporate technical centre, individual specialist consultancies, integrated engineering consultancies, EPC contractors and equipment suppliers. Adoption of an integrated approach which enables a complimentary set of experts who work together and are aware and understand the limits and boundaries of their areas of expertise, is good practice.

Typical of the specialist skills that a processes site may commonly require:

Combustion design and operation. Covering both furnace design as well as burner operation (setting minimum stops, flame impingent, fuel supply design and operation).

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Heat transfer. For issues such as heat exchanger design and unit configuration, (Pinch Analysis), fouling assessment and abatement.

Power generation. Turbo-alternator design and operation, generators, drives.

Turbines and rotating equipment. Compressor operation, anti-surge control, drive changes.

Compressed Air. Utilisation of waste compressor heat, minimisation of leaks, filter monitoring, and optimisation of system pressures.

Advanced control and optimisation. Advanced Model Predictive Control, real-time utilities, process modelling and optimisation techniques.

Measurement. Particularly for selection and design of difficult measurement situations – flow measurement techniques, on-line analyser selection, sampling systems.

Process and Statistical modelling. Developing energy base-line models, investigating non-obvious driver mechanisms, benchmarking analysis, KPI-Driver correlations.

11.3 EMS and EMIS skills and competencies

EM(I)S development and implementation requires a full cross section of skills. These will vary depending on the phase of the project. Fundamentally it is a change management project although there is a need for a strong operational and process engineering input plus some supporting skills in Process IT. Clearly underpinning the technical skill areas is a strong element of communicational and project management skills. Fundamentally EMIS is addressing the day-to-day management processes for a Manufacturing Site. New ways of working will be needed, existing processes may be challenged. Often energy drivers are at odds with existing yield or production strategies. Hence the ability to engage the site and staff to take the debate forward and resolve the issues in a positive manner is essential.

Skills needed within a team responsible for developing EMS and its associated information system:

Unit Operations/Business Knowledge. Understanding of process configuration, flowschemes and unit operations plus the appropriate supporting managerial and technical processes, organisational structures, business drives, operational practices and cultures.

Business Economics. Basic business economics, planning, scheduling and marginal pricing philosophies, project economics.

Process Engineering. Process engineering essentials, heat and mass transfer, thermodynamics, standard design and calculation methodologies. Process modelling and Flowsheeter capability.

Datamining. Ability to use statistical and mathematical modelling tools and techniques, particularly in the analysis of time-series process data.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Process IT and Instrumentation Architecture. Understanding of typical Process IT, Instrumentation systems and architecture. DCS and process computing and relation to business computing structures. Typical applications architecture (what service, where executed), relationship with Business/Office computing, basic components, functionalities and vendors.

Process IT Coding. Hands on programming and configurations skills for modern Process Computing systems: graphics building, report generation, calculations coding and programming.

TQM. Understanding of Management Systems, ISO 9001 etc.

Change Management. Knowledge of change management techniques, introduction of new approaches to working, team building, motivation, training etc.

Clearly it is expected that an individual person will be able to handle several of the competency requirements for any given EMIS activity. The purpose if the matrix is to assist managers in building a team and also provide a check-list to anyone undertaking an EMS activity that they have the appropriate knowledge and skills to undertake the job.

11.4 Skill Management

Most companies these days employ some form of training and skills management system, often as part of the HR system. These allow the recording and assessment of both skill requirements for a particular position and also the abilities of the individual engineer. Thus individual training and competency plans can be developed and progress tracked.

It is important that Energy-related competencies are included in this - in particular if a registration to ISO 50001 is being considered. It is also useful to consider depth of expertise on an area. Complex categorisation systems are available however a simple two-level approach is quite feasible where a skill level is simply assessed as ‘Aware’ or ‘Professional’.

Awareness

A good knowledge of what is involved in that Area of Expertise and its relevance to the business

- Understanding the main elements of the Area of Expertise and their importance to the business
- Understanding how and where competences in the Area of Expertise are relevant to the task

Professional

Being able to carry out consistently the activities of an Area of Expertise to the required standard.

- Able to perform satisfactorily majority of activities of the Area of Expertise
- Able to translate guidelines and standards for the Area of Expertise into practical actions
- Able to solve imaginatively common technical/operational problems in the Area of Expertise
- Able to guide and advise others in operational/technical aspects of the Area of Expertise

 ©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

12 The EU Energy Efficiency Directive

12.1 History and Development

Energy efficiency is not only about improving supply security and reducing energy cost. It is also an integral part of a long term vision of moving towards a resource efficient and low-carbon economy. Using energy more efficiently will lead to a reduction of the overall greenhouse gas emissions generated, thereby supporting policies against climate change. In addition, energy efficiency has the potential to be a major stimulator for economic recovery and growth. The EU industry will become more competitive by reducing its own energy consumption and, at the same time, the energy efficiency service sector provides a new green growth market and job creator.

In that respect, energy efficiency is a fundamental part of broader initiatives of achieving the EU's energy, climate change and industrial policy objectives and largely contributes to the EUROPE 2020 Strategy.

The European Council in March 2007 set three ambitious objectives to be reached by 2020 as part of the EU integrated climate and energy policy framework, save 20% of the EU's total primary energy consumption, and binding objectives to increase the share of renewable energy of the EU final energy consumption by 20% and to reduce the EU greenhouse gas emissions by 20% compared to 1990 levels. In addition, the EU Council endorsed an agreement that at least 80% of GHG emissions reduction is needed by 2050.

None of these objectives can be reached without streamlining and strengthening the EU and existing national frameworks for energy efficiency. The newly adopted Energy Efficiency Directive is an important step towards a more stringent and harmonised EU energy policy that puts energy efficiency at the centre, setting the path for action in this field up to 2020.

It is a more coherent and comprehensive framework that, if correctly implemented, will help to exploit the EU cost-effective energy saving potentials in both the supply (generation, transmission, distribution) and the demand side.

12.2 Highlights

The EED provides a stronger legislative framework to drive Industry towards greater energy efficiency. Whilst the EED covers a complete spectrum of activities, from domestic energy usage through buildings, transport, distribution and Industry, the key issues relevant to the Process Industry sector are the encouragement to implement energy management systems and also the requirement for large industrial plants to undergo regular energy performance audits by externally accredited auditors. The EED specifically mandates Member States to encourage SMEs to adopt Best Practice in these areas.

Minimum requirements are specified in the EED to form the basis for Member States to develop their legislation and local standards.

Specific Energy Consumption targets are realised through Energy Obligation schemes which require energy retailers and distributors to achieve cumulative energy savings of 1.5% annually amongst their end-users between 2014 and 2020. There is limited exemption (max 25% of total country savings) for industries

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

subject to the Emissions Trading Scheme and Member States are allowed to bring in their own policy measures in support of achieving energy savings amongst final customers. Measurement and verification of energy savings is a key requirement of the EED.

In terms of managing supply and distribution systems, National Energy Regulators are required to encourage demand response systems in order to better manage customer consumption of electricity according to supply conditions. For example, having electricity customers reduce their consumption at critical times or in response to market prices. Clearly this can impact the process industry in terms of its internal site load management and indeed offer opportunities for active participation with distribution companies.

It has been demonstrated that district heating schemes are one of the most attractive ways of improving the energy efficiency of large process industries. Particularly in the recovery of "low level" heat (e.g. <80 °C) which is otherwise lost to cooling water or atmosphere. Major steps in energy efficiency are achievable although at an obvious high capital cost and involving complex inter-company/government infrastructures. In the Oil Industry the refineries (predominantly Scandinavian) which have adopted this technology stand clear of their peers at the top of energy efficiency benchmarking and comparison. The EED requires Member States to carry out a 'comprehensive assessment', by December 2015, that identifies cost-efficient ways to further develop the necessary infrastructure and to accommodate the development of high-efficiency Combined Heat and Power (CHP) as well as the use of heating and cooling from waste heat and renewable energy sources. This has the potential to be a major efficiency factor for the Process Industry.

Supporting these specific issues are a series of so-called 'horizontal' provisions which cross all sectors such as promotion of the energy services market, availability of certification schemes to promote technical competence, removal of barriers to energy efficiency, freedom of information etc.

12.3 Main recommendations for the Process Industries

The EED is a comprehensive document which ultimately serves many sectors. It is also directed at the Member States who are required to develop national legislation to bring the EED requirements into local law. Many requirements are directed at the detailed management of the EED by the Member States. However what follows are the highlights of the main impacts on the end user process industries.

12.3.1 Energy Audits

For the process industries the key impact will be the requirement to undergo regular energy auditing. In this case an 'Energy Audit' means *"a systematic procedure with the purpose of obtaining adequate knowledge of the existing energy consumption profile of an industrial or commercial operation or installation, identifying and quantifying cost-effective energy saving opportunities, and reporting the findings"*. This is supported by national systems of accreditation and certification of external auditors to carry out the audits.

Full requirements are given in Article 8 of the EED. These will be established in national legislation by each Member State, and may indeed be enhanced or made more stringent. Key aspects that have direct impact on process industry companies are summarised as follows.

12.3.1.1 Requirements to undergo auditing

It will be mandatory for all large companies which are not classified as SMEs to undergo energy audits within 3 years of the EED coming into force and then at least once every 4 years afterwards.

 ©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

The audits must follow the minimum standards (set out in 12.3.1.4 below) and carried out in an independent manner and subject to quality assurance. – i.e. carried out by qualified and/or accredited experts or implemented and supervised by independent authorities under national legislation.

Note on 'in-house' auditors. The EED does not specifically exclude auditing by in-house staff provided they are trained and certified by the independent authorities as outlined above. They will be subject to random annual checking for quality compliance by the independent authority. The EU Working Group on this article has also stated that 'where audits are carried out by in-house experts the necessary independence would require these experts not to be directly engaged in the activity being audited'. Thus would seem to preclude local staff from carrying out the audit on their own location although corporate/ head office experts or staff from another site could do so.

12.3.1.2 Auditing and Energy Management Systems Exemption

Exemption from the obligation to carry out regular energy audits can be granted to companies who have adopted an energy or environmental management system (e.g. ISO 50001 or ISO 14001) provided:

- That the management system is certified by an independent body according to the relevant European and International standards.
- That the management system specifically includes energy audit requirements in line with the EED (12.3.1.4 below).

12.3.1.3 Requirements on SMEs

- There are no hard and fast requirements on SMEs but there is a requirement on Member States to support and encourage SMEs to adopt energy efficiency best practice:
- SMEs will be encouraged to undergo energy audits and the subsequent implementation of the audit recommendations
- Member States must bring to the attention of SMEs concrete examples of how energy management systems can help their business
- To assist SMEs, Member States should adopt a favourable framework aimed at providing technical assistance and knowhow

12.3.1.4 Minimum Audit Standards

- Minimum criteria for energy audits including those carried out as part of energy management systems. The energy audits referred to in the EED Article 8 shall be based on the following guidelines:
- Be based on up-to-date, measured, traceable operational data on energy consumption and (for electricity) load profiles
- Comprise a detailed review of the energy consumption profile of.... buildings industrial operations or installations, including transportation
- Build, whenever possible, on life-cycle cost analysis (LCCA) instead of Simple Payback Periods (SPP) in order to take account of long-term savings, residual values of long-term investments and discount rates
- Be proportionate, and sufficiently representative to permit the drawing of a reliable picture of overall energy performance and the reliable identification of the most significant opportunities for improvement
- Energy audits shall allow detailed and validated calculations for the proposed measures so as to provide clear information on potential savings
- The data used in energy audits shall be storable for historical analysis and tracking performance

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

12.3.2 Energy Targets and Obligation Schemes

Member States are required to introduce Energy Obligation Schemes (or alternate policy measures – see 12.3.2.2) as a means of achieving cumulative end-use energy savings by 31st December 2020 equivalent to 1.5% annual savings from 2014 to 2020.

However there are several options as to how Member States both calculate the target and develop their national policies. Inclusions and exclusions are many and allow Member State flexibility.

12.3.2.1 Requirements on Member States

Each Member State will go though a process to develop either an energy efficiency obligation scheme or alternative policy measures designed to achieve energy savings amongst end users. In particular they are required to:

- Establish the total quantity of energy savings that has to be achieved and its spread over the obligation period
- Establish which sectors and individual actions are to be targeted so that the required amount of energy savings is achieved
- Decide whether to use energy efficiency obligation schemes or alternative policy measures, and, while designing the schemes or measures, ensure that certain criteria are met
- Establish how energy savings from individual actions are to be calculated
- Ensure control, verification, monitoring and transparency of the scheme or alternative policy measures
- Report and publish the results

12.3.2.2 Sectors to be included/excluded

To quote the EED article 7.

> *"The savings target shall be at least equivalent to achieving new savings each year from 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2020 of 1,5% of the annual energy sales to final customers of all energy distributors or all retail energy sales companies by volume, averaged over the most recent three-year period prior to 1 January 2013. The sales of energy, by volume, used in transport may be partially or fully excluded from this calculation…''*

In calculating the total energy savings and hence obligations targets other specific exclusions include the industries listed as being subject to the Emissions Trading Scheme (EU Directive 2003/87/EC). However this exclusion is limited to a maximum of 25% of the total country savings.

The Directive prescribes that all final energy (with the possible exception of energy used in the transport sector) that is purchased by a natural or legal person is included in the calculations. Energy volumes transformed onsite and used for own-use, and those that are used for production of other energy forms for non-energy use are to be excluded. This implies that processes that burn their own by-products, liquids and gases for internal consumption would be excluded.

Thus the extent of the obligation calculations and the impact of targets on individual end users in the process industries is very much for local implementation.

12.3.2.3 Alternate Policy Measures

As an alternative to setting up an energy efficiency obligation scheme, Member States may opt to take

 ©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

other policy measures to achieve energy savings among final customers. The annual amount of new energy savings achieved through this approach shall be equivalent to the amount of new energy savings required by paragraphs the Obligation scheme calculations. Provided that equivalence is maintained, Member States may combine obligation schemes with alternative policy measures, including national energy efficiency programmes.

The policy measures may include, but are not restricted to:

(a) Energy or CO_2 taxes that have the effect of reducing end-use energy consumption.

(b) Financing schemes and instruments or fiscal incentives that lead to the application of energy-efficient technology or techniques and have the effect of reducing end-use energy consumption.

(c) Regulations or voluntary agreements that lead to the application of energy-efficient technology or techniques and have the effect of reducing end-use energy consumption.

(d) Standards and norms that aim at improving the energy efficiency of products and services.

(e) Energy labelling schemes, with the exception of those that are mandatory and applicable in the Member States under EU law.

(f) Training and education, including energy advisory programmes that lead to the application of energy-efficient technology or techniques and have the effect of reducing end-use energy consumption.

12.4 How will it be managed? How does the EED apply to you?

At the time of writing the Member States are in the process of developing their own local legislation on the basis of the EED. How this will develop and the extent that individual Member States impose more stringent requirements than the basic EED remains to be seen. For instance the Auditing requirements are described as minimum requirements and specific topics that may be included in audits are not listed – a Member State may add these. Also the mechanisms for running and administering the local audit processes have to be developed.

The key decision is whether to adopt a formally recognised Energy or Environmental Management System (ISO 50001/14001) as, correctly formulated, this will remove the need for external periodic energy audits by the Government appointed auditing function. Of course it must be recognised that developing and maintaining a registered ISO system takes time and resources so there is a balance to be struck. However for a Site/Company with a strong existing culture in ISO systems then this would appear a sensible route.

However the key preparation for all events is to develop at least the basic elements of an Energy Management Strategy and system i.e. following the basic building bricks of Chapter 4 onwards. The flow chart in 4.1 illustrates the steps. This is indispensible best practice which will align a site's energy efficiency practices so as to be best prepared for either external auditing or ISO registration. Should a Member State decide to adopt strict energy target setting of industries under the Obligations scheme the same discipline of energy management is essential if a company is to be able to respond to the rigours of energy target setting.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

13 Benefits and Case Studies

Exact benefit projections for energy efficiency activities are location specific and require detailed analysis of the pre-project situation. However there is a growing consensus and feedback of typical achieved benefits from many sources.

Worldwide energy improvement programmes have been rolled out by multinational companies such as Exxon (GEMS programme) and Shell (Energise programme) and at conferences they consistently report energy efficiency benefits in the region of 5-10% of a Sites energy consumption with some individual sites running up to 20%.

The EU Best Available Techniques for Energy Efficiency Guide (read or download via ***http://eippcb.jrc.es/reference/***) provides a comprehensive list of typical benefits attributable to various energy efficiency techniques – furnaces, control, drives, compressed air, HVAC etc. – in particular sections 7.4, 7.5, 7.6 quote many case studies and examples. The individual sector guides (steal, paper, oil etc - downloadable for the same site) provide useful benchmark data for typical process energy consumption.

Regional variations do occur, perhaps reflecting culture and history. US locations tend to report higher efficiency gains than European locations, probably reflecting the greater impact of the 1970s Oil Crises in Europe which kicked off energy efficiency issues earlier. Scandinavian locations often show up well in energy benchmarking due to the greater use of district heating and other heat recovery schemes which have been driven by their society and culture. Thus there is less scope for improvement as they are already well placed.

13.1 The Benefits from Energy Management Systems

The benefits of an EMS are more difficult to directly quantify as of course they come from the results of individual energy saving activities. Double accounting should be avoided. The issues are sustainability and driving new improvement.

An important conference organised by the Texas Technology Showcase in Galveston, December 2006 brought together many major industrial users and consultancy/system suppliers. (Papers available through ***http://texasiof.ces.utexas.edu/***). Both users and suppliers consistently reported problems with benefit erosion in their energy programmes and there was universal agreement that Energy Management Systems were the key element in ensuring efficiency gains were maintained.

It is also clear that an active energy management culture will drive improvement and look for new energy saving opportunities both operationally, culturally and through capex. Some of these gains will be low cost some will require expenditure.

Ascribing a hard value and simple payback to an EMS/EMIS implementation is not easy. It is a point where life-cycle cost analysis comes in. In the project planning economics for an energy efficiency programme it may be appropriate to apply an erosion factor (say 50% over 5 years) for programmes which do not include EMS. Conversely, if an EMS is to be developed as part of the programme then the inclusion of additional annual future energy savings of perhaps 1-2% of site consumption to reflect the future impact of an EMS could be made.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

13.2 Case study 1 – Performance Modelling and Measurement

A US fossil-fired power plant was experiencing large temperature swings under load change conditions. On-line modelling indicated problems with air flow measurements. After the problems were corrected and instruments recalibrated it was possible to increase the ramp rate by 66% and reduce stack oxygen contents by one third.

This allowed the units to be turned down under automatic control and the minimum loads to be reduced by 40%. This resulted in benefits of $1.4m per annum. In addition, performance monitoring of the air-preheater on 1 boiler indicated poor heat transfer and thus increased fuel use. Subsequent repairs to the air preheater resulted in fuel savings of $240,000 per annum.

13.3 Case Study 2 – the Role of Maintenance and Management

A large integrated oil/petrochemical site in Europe carried out a detailed energy performance review and project (along the lines as suggested in Chapter 9). A dedicated on-site team worked for a two year period assessing performance, identifying projects and then implementing them.

The Site was technically mature, experienced and generally a respected operator. It had a history of competence and strong technical staffing.

The Project team identified around 25 relatively low-cost energy efficiency projects which saved the Company some 10% of the Site's energy consumption. The total project costs were around one third of the annual energy benefits – i.e. a simple payback of a few months.

However the interesting findings being few 'new technology' projects – this was a mature site which had a good history of keeping abreast of trends. The major part (75%) of the benefits came from equipment maintenance issues:

- Steam leaks and traps
- Convection bank cleaning
- Repairing air-preheaters
- Repairing leaking heat exchangers
- Furnace burner alignment
- Balancing steam distribution
- Getting control back in operation

It was clear that a (laudable) corporate focus on cost saving – in particular fixed cost maintenance contracts – had been at the expense of energy performance. There was no energy strategy, target setting or monitoring which meant that performance erosion had steadily taken place. There was no forum for debating the costs/benefit of these activities.

The project team put corrective measures in place, instituted repairs and developed EMS procedures to ensure that the benefits were maintained.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Supporting Appendices

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Appendix A. ISO 50001

ISO 50001 (full name: ISO 50001:2011, Energy management systems – Requirements with guidance for use) is a specification created by the International Organisation for Standardization (ISO) for an energy management system. The standard specifies the requirements for establishing, implementing, maintaining and improving an energy management system, whose purpose is to enable an organisation to follow a systematic approach in achieving continual improvement of energy performance, including energy efficiency, energy security, energy use and consumption. The standard aims to help organisations continually reduce their energy use, and therefore their energy costs and their greenhouse gas emissions.

ISO 50001 was released by ISO in June 2011 and is suitable for any organisation – whatever its size, sector or geographical location. The system is modelled after the ISO 9001 Quality Management System and the ISO 14001 Environmental Management System (EMS).

A.1 Structure

The structure of ISO 50001 is designed according to other ISO management system standards, in particular ISO 9001 and ISO 14001. Since all three management systems are based on the PDCA cycle, ISO 50001 can be integrated easily to these systems.

There are seven major components to ISO 50001:

1. General Requirements
2. Management Responsibility
3. Energy Policy
4. Energy Action Plan
5. Implementation and Operation
6. Performance Audits
7. Management Review

(a full chapter index is provided in A.4)

A.2 Methodology

ISO 50001 provides a framework of requirements that help organisations to:

- develop a policy for more efficient use of energy
- fix targets and objectives to meet the policy
- use data to better understand and make decisions concerning energy use and consumption
- measure the results
- review the effectiveness of the policy and
- continually improve energy management

ISO 50001 focuses on a continual improvement process to achieve the objectives related to the environmental performance of an organisation (enterprise, service provider, administration, etc.). The process follows the Plan-Do-Check-Act, (PDCA) approach.

 ©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

A.3 Implementing ISO 50001

The core essentials of any energy management system are discussed in Chapter 5 and these touch upon the key philosophies of Energy Management. It is clearly important that a site/organisation understands these first as this will make subsequent decisions as to the extent of the system easier. The process of Registration to ISO 50001 will clearly involve more structure and effort.

For a location with an existing ISO culture/system then the building and registration process will be straightforward – many of the sub-systems and supporting processes will already be in place.

For companies without previous ISO experience the best way forward will be to approach one of local accredited agencies who are authorised to assess, audit and register ISO systems (e.g. BSI, DNV, Lloyds...). In addition to registration and audit services these organisations typically provide support, training and system development advice to enable a company quickly establish its systems. Consultancy and service companies also operate in this field providing direct system design and implementation services.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

A.4 Contents of ISO 50001

(Following the adopted ISO 50001 section heading and numbering)

1	Scope
2	Normative references
3	Terms and definitions
4	Energy management system requirements
4.1	General requirements
4.2	Management responsibility
4.2.1	Top management
4.2.2	Management representative
4.3	Energy policy
4.4	Energy planning
4.4.1	General
4.4.2	Legal and other requirements
4.4.3	Energy review
4.4.4	Energy baseline
4.4.5	Energy performance indicators
4.4.6	Energy objectives, energy targets and energy management action plans
4.5	Implementation and operation
4.5.1	General
4.5.2	Competence, training and awareness
4.5.3	Communication
4.5.4	Documentation
4.5.5	Operational control
4.5.6	Design
4.5.7	Procurement of energy services, products, equipment and energy
4.6	Checking
4.6.1	Monitoring, measurement and analysis
4.6.2	Evaluation of legal requirements and other requirements
4.6.3	Internal audit of the EMS
4.6.4	Nonconformities, correction, corrective, and preventive action
4.6.5	Control of records
4.7	Management review
4.7.1	General
4.7.2	Input to management review
4.7.3	Output from management review

In addition, ISO 50001 includes informative annexes giving guidance on how to implement the above requirements and a table comparing the requirements of ISO 50001 with other ISO management system standards.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Appendix B. Carbon Trust Energy Management Maturity Matrix

Taken from: Good Practice Guide GPG376: A Strategic Approach to Energy & Environmental Management. The Carbon Trust ***www.carbontrust.com***

Score	Policy	Organisation	Communications	Information	Planning	Audit
4	Energy Policy, action plan and regular review have commitment of top management as part of an environmental strategy	Energy Management fully integrated into management structure. Clear delegation of responsibility for energy consumption.	Formal and Informal channels of communication regularly exploited by energy manager and energy staff at all levels.	Comprehensive system sets targets, identifies faults, quantifies savings and provides budget tracking	Marketing the value of energy efficiency and the performance of energy management both within the organisation and outside it.	Positive discrimination in favour of "green" scheme with detailed investment appraisal of all new-build and refurbishment opportunities.
3	Formal energy policy but no active commitment from top management	Energy Manager accountable to energy committee representing all users, chaired by a member of the management board.	Energy Committee used as main channel together with direct contact with major users.	Monitoring and Targeting (M&T) reports for individual units based on sub-metering but savings not reported effectively to users.	Programme of staff awareness and regular publicity campaigns.	Same payback criteria employed as for all other investments
2	Unadopted energy policy set by Energy manager or senior departmental manager	Energy managers in post, reporting to ad-hoc committee, but line management and authority unclear.	Contact with major users through ad-hoc committee chaired by senior departmental manager.	(M&T) reports based on supply meter data. Energy unit has some involvement in budget setting.	Some ad-hoc staff awareness training.	Investment using short-term payback criteria only.
1	An unwritten set of guidelines	Energy Management is par-time responsibility of someone with limited authority or influence.	Informal contacts between engineer and a few users.	Cost reporting based on invoice data. Engineer compiles reports for internal use within technical department.	Informal contacts used to promote energy efficiency	Only low-cost measures taken.
0	No explicit Policy	No Energy Management or any formal delegation of responsibility for energy consumption	No contact with Users	No Information System. No accounting for energy consumption	No promotion of Energy Efficiency	No investment in increasing energy Efficiency on Site

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Appendix C. EMIS Objectives checklist

The following check list can be used both to assess the capabilities of existing EMIS functions as well as in the design of new systems. A simple yes/no approach to the questions will quickly provide an indication of the EMIS maturity.

(Based on original check list in the EMIS handbook published by Natural Resources Canada – see Appendix I for full reference.)

C.1 Functionality: Does the EMIS Deliver the Following?

YES/NO

Early identification of poor performance.
Is excess energy use in key areas of the process identified quickly enough to allow remedial action to be taken? (identifying performance in the past that could have been better is not enough!).

Support for decision making.
The EMIS user should be provided with information (reports &/or software tools) to promote action when poor performance is identified.

Effective energy performance reports provided to all decision-makers:
- Executives
- Senior managers
- Operations management
- Engineering
- Operations personnel
- Planning and scheduling
- Accounts
- External bodies
- Other relevant staff

Energy performance must be reported to key decision-makers involved in the management of business and energy performance. They should include only data relevant to and designed for the individuals concerned, ideally be integrated into existing reporting systems and must be both timely and accessible.

Audits of historical performance
The EMIS should provide details of past performance, including breakdown of energy use, trends in key performance indicators, and comparisons of energy use and key performance indicators with robust target values.

Support for identifying savings measures
The EMIS should quantify energy use of individual processes and the plant and allows the quantification of the impact of changes in key process parameters on energy performance.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Provides evidence of improved energy performance
Shows improved performance against agreed benchmarks for the entire site, individual processes and the plant.

Supports energy budgeting and contract negotiations
Enables the identification of relationships between influencing factors (production levels, product qualities, product mix, ambient conditions, etc.) to enable future energy demands to be predicted with confidence.

Provides energy data to other business and process IT systems
The EMIS is the core energy data and provides relevant data and relationships to planning and scheduling systems, to management accounting and similar corporate IT systems as well as to process and plant performance monitoring and management systems. Feeds relevant data to central data historians/warehouses.

C.2 Features: Does the EMIS Include the Following Key Features?

YES/NO

Effective storage of energy and related data.
A modern database, historian and warehouse.

Ready access to relevant data by all relevant staff:
Energy Data should be easily accessible by all relevant staff using standard tools (spreadsheet, executive information system, enterprise resource planning, management information system, applications programs, DCS, SCADA, etc.).

- Executives
- Senior managers
- Operations management
- Engineering
- Operations personnel
- Planning and scheduling
- Accounts
- External bodies
- Other relevant staff

Calculation of effective targets from historical data or plant simulation
The provision of modern data analysis tools to create effective targets that take account of multiple influencing factors (beyond multiple, linear regression) and/or includes first principles models of plant operations as targets.

Comparison of actual performance against targets
A core feature. The actual values of energy use and key performance indicators and influencing factors should be compared against target values.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Historical data analysis
Supporting tools should be available to identify patterns in historical data, ranging from simple graphics to visualisation and data mining.

Graphical reporting
Reports should include simple but effective graphics (line, scatter, bar, CUSUM, three-dimensional, etc).

Data validation
Raw plant data requires validation through heat and mass balances, range checks, etc.

C.3 System Components

YES/NO

Energy meters
Energy meters in sub-areas of the site automatically read.

Measurement of influencing factors
Measurement of influencing factors automatically read.

Measurement of key performance indicators
KPIs automatically read or calculated.

Automated data acquisition
Automated data collection is a key requirement of an effective EMIS.

Data historian
A database that can store and effectively serve.

Data analysis tools
A suite of data analysis tools from regression to data mining.

Reporting tools
Ideally, tools are already used to report process and business performance.

Decision support tools
Software tools or paper-based.

Interfaces.
To enterprise resource planning, management information system, DCS, SCADA, spreadsheet, etc.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

C.4 EMIS Support

YES/NO

Energy Management program
A comprehensive energy management program of which the EMIS is one element.

Management commitment
Support and commitment for the energy management program from the CEO and senior management.

Allocated responsibilities
Responsibility for delivering energy performance allocated to relevant production, operations and department management, not the energy manager.

Procedures
Procedures to ensure the tasks necessary to operate the EMIS and to achieve savings are understood and adopted.

Training and support
Technical training, training in using software, support to EMIS users.

Resources
Financial commitment and personnel appropriate to the achievable benefits.

Regular audits
To check system performance, adherence to procedures and benefits realised.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Appendix D. Energy Walkthrough Template

Typically a 1 week exercise, the Energy Walkthrough is a way of identifying energy inefficiencies within the organisation. It is a gap analysis, reviewing the site facilities against best practices and recommending opportunities to improve energy efficiency. It covers a number of areas:

- Overall Site Operations and Strategy
- Site-Wide Energy Management back ground
- Individual Process Unit interviews (process units, storage and material handling, utilities)
- Site Energy Management Maturity Assessment

Representatives from each of these areas will be interviewed and asked to provide data. The interviews are based around the analysis of process flow schemes which provide a structure to discussions on energy theme. The flow scheme reviews start at the beginning of the process and move through the flow diagram to all end points. The aim of the interview is to identify all areas where energy enters, leaves or is exchanged within the process and determine whether this is as efficient as it can be.

The output of the interviews is used to identify opportunities to improve energy efficiency. Technical, Economic and Operability criteria are identified for use in screening & prioritising opportunities for improvement. Importantly, benchmarking and external comparison data will be used to assess the relative maturity and quality of energy efficiency activities on site.

D.1 Team Composition

The Review Team will include a nominated leader as well as process specialists and most probably a utilities engineer. From the location the following key players are needed.

The Site host - who works closely with the Walkthrough Team during the site visit and can help align persons and information needed for interviews.
The Site Management sponsor - who can help introduce the effort, agree on deliverables and resources, and give feedback on next steps or preferences for follow-up activities.
Site Subject Matter Experts – typically key operations and technology representatives for the specific areas being reviewed. The involvement of these individuals will be based upon the walkthrough programme.
Operations representative. An experience Operator (e.g. Training Foreman) who can provide a grounded reality of the actual operational practices and procedures in use.

D.2 Pre-Walkthrough Information to be provided by the Site

Although much of the Walkthrough information comes from control system screens and engineering spreadsheets viewed during the exercise, the following pre-reading materials are helpful:

- Site block flow diagram
- Simplified flow diagrams for utility systems, process units, storage and handling
- Any other available presentations or documents that give a concise overview of current site energy improvement efforts
- Fuel, steam, electricity and CO_2 pricing to be used for screening plus any inflexion points at which these values change

 ©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

D.3 Site Operational Overview

The site overview is usually a ~1-2 hour meeting with senior technical staff in order to better understand:

- the plant configuration & organisation
- the focus & priorities of technical and operating staff
- procedures for making operating, maintenance and capital changes
- factors affecting the complexity and stability of the base case energy use
- the shutdown window for executing potential energy projects

Typical information to be discussed includes:

1. Site block flow diagram: units (with capacities), connectivity, product blending pools
2. Age of key units, dates of last revamps, which can impact energy savings potential
3. Which units are limiting plant throughput? Which units have spare capacity? Has debottlenecking been done with or without increasing furnaces or utility imports?
4. Typical shutdown/catalyst changeout frequency and grouping for major units – including the last and next planned shutdowns for each area
5. Feedstocks (including all streams to and from the site and pipelines, import gases, etc.) and main products. Are there different operating modes that impact energy use?
6. Organisation of technical staff (how many engineers in daily operations support, longer term projects, advanced controls, maintenance?)
7. Capabilities and focus areas of technical staff. Do they use process simulation? Unit performance tracking sheets? Catalyst monitoring tools? Heat exchanger fouling tools? Who does 'head office' technical support? Who does engineering, procurement and construction? (local engineers or an external contractor)
8. Organisation and roles of operators (how many shifts, how are they managed? How are production targets transferred? How are results and deviations communicated to management?)
9. General product quality control. Use of on-line analysers versus laboratory? Has there been a systematic effort to reduce product giveway?
10. Briefly describe the Management of Change (MOC) process & form. How long is the approval process (for adding a new control valve, for example). Do you generally do HAZOP or What-if analysis? How many approvals from operations?
11. What is the capital project approval process? When is the budgeting deadline? Is there an approval committee? What accuracy of estimates is needed at what approval stage? What is the lead-time?
12. What is the hurdle rate for capital investment? What is the Site's preference on financing (self or external)? Is there a lower hurdle rate for energy projects? Can we assume that money can be made available for all energy projects meeting the given hurdle?
13. What are the major initiatives that are expected to impact energy use in the past two years or the next few years. Ideally, please provide a 5-year capital plan
14. What are the expectations for an energy program? Targets in terms of cost reduction or energy index? Target areas or priority units or operating issues?
15. Any areas that should be avoided due to competing priorities or initiatives, that may impact other consulting or supplier relationships, or may reduce the availability of site personnel

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

D.4 Site-Wide Energy Management Questionnaire

The purpose of these questions is to gain a general understanding of site energy consumption and management systems, as well as an overview of specific site energy initiatives.

Typical questions include:

1. What is the average energy consumption of the site? Give total fuel consumption, including off-gases, liquids, imported natural gas, LPG etc.
2. Indicate approximate utility prices that should be used in the analysis for fuel and power. Explain which fuel is the marginal fuel. Power should be an approximate all-in rate, and should avoid discussion of 'riders' or special arrangements with the power provider. Please explain if winter-summer seasonality or daily peaks has a major impact on utility pricing. Are there published utility prices (i.e. Platt's) that can be considered for the purpose of evaluation?
3. Indicate if there are any situations where a saving in an import utility that may not yield a monetary benefit. For example, a fuel gas surplus at minimal natural gas import or a minimum take or pay on natural gas or power import. Without detailing contract terms, indicate if a ~10% saving of import utility could be affected
4. What is the energy index or external benchmarking index (e.g. Solomon EII or equivalent) for the facility?
5. An overview of the plant steam balance. What pressure levels? Own produced versus purchased steam. What is the closure of the balance at each level? Assumption on the losses?
6. Is there adequate steam turbine flexibility to satisfying plant steam users without letdowns? Explain the normal procedure for managing turbines versus letdowns? Is there any surplus or hidden vents? What is the major handle to control the pressure of each header?
7. Is there a steam trap program? Who does it and how often? Are there maintenance staff dedicated to repairing leaks and traps?
8. Are there any other consumables that can be considered part of an Energy program? Is nitrogen imported as a liquid or gas, or do you have an Air Separation Unit?
9. What is a typical plant fuel gas analysis? How much H_2? How much LPG?
10. Are there dedicated import natural gas users? (Furnace pilots, incinerators). Is there a need to reduce natural gas import?
11. What is the origin of liquid fuel? What is the maximum sulphur limit? Are there issues on burner tip fouling?
12. Describe the current furnace program? Typical oxygen content in stacks? Are forced draft and induced draft systems in place? How is fouling and cleaning managed?
13. Energy management system. Who coordinates and supports? Do engineers submit energy projects? Show typical monthly report. Who is management sponsor? Are they active in this area? How are deviations addressed? Is operation staff involved or informed?
14. List energy projects
15. Do reduced maintenance funds appear to be affecting energy use?

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

D.5 Typical Process Unit Interview

Below is an indicative list of questions that are usually asked in a process unit interview during Energy Walkthrough. Most of these questions are typically answered by the plant process support engineer with access to process information or plant control screens. Some questions may be more suitable for an operator. Of course specific questions about the process under review need to be included which are beyond the scope of this general document. The EU Best Available Technology Guides can provide valuable typical data and benchmarks to assist this process.

1. General unit configuration, ideally from a simplified process flow diagram (PFD). When was unit built? When was last major revamp?
2. Unit capacity. Typical feed rate. Operating constraints. Any major operating issue?
3. Planned shut-down schedule. When was last one, when is next one? List major recent and planned projects
4. What is the total fuel, power, steam use for the unit? List major energy equipment (furnaces, large compressors, large pumps or reboilers)
5. Feed and product overview. Sources of feed and the main feed quality concerns. Main product quality concern. Are there different operating modes? Are there slops or recycles?
6. Preheat opportunities: layout of preheat exchangers from PFD. Are heat exchangers cleaned? How often? Are feed and product temperatures an issue? Has a pinch study been done on the unit? Has the preheat train been modified?
7. Furnace opportunities. What is the stack O_2 and stack temperature? Is minimum or maximum firing an issue? Is fouling or coking an issue? How is furnace outlet temperature adjusted? Consequence of colder or hotter furnace outlet temperature?
8. Reactor/Catalyst opportunities Does catalyst life limiting run-length? (or pressure drop or annual cycle). Is catalyst a new generation? Any additive or feed quality issue affecting catalyst life?
9. Separation/distillation opportunities? Describe the separation objectives for each column. How is pressure set? Is column capacity or flooding an issue? Any temperature limits for reboiler fouling?
10. Process compressors. Steam or electric driven? How is the flow rate controlled? Operation of reciprocating compressor unloaders? Operation of recycles for pressure control or anti-surge
11. Any major pressure drop concerns in vapour or liquid systems?
12. Any major reliability concerns? Plans to address?
13. Advanced controls used in unit? Does the unit have an on-line optimiser? Is it well maintained and updated, with room to move? Do columns have multivariable constraint controls? Are they working?
14. Is there a working process simulation for the unit? Who runs it? For what?
15. List major projects and typical maintenance planned for next shutdown. Any maintenance done annually? Any energy projects planned?
16. Where should we focus to save energy? Suggestions on where to focus and where to avoid?

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

D.6 Energy Management Maturity Assessment

Refer to Appendix B for details.

D.7 Inventorise and prioritise opportunities for improvement

The Walkthrough Team will consolidate the interview information. During the interviews, all relevant interview and field observations should be recorded, At the end of each day, these should be consolidated into the energy observation list. After each interview list perceived opportunity areas are identified with the unit staff.

Order of magnitude benefits and implementation costs are identified. Opportunities are ranked using an agreed selection criteria. Preferably something simple – e.g. traffic light approach.

This process will require input from site staff, usually to validate or explain observations and constraints, to provide data for key process variables needed to quantify perceived opportunities and then to assist with the screening and prioritisation of ideas.

Wherever possible reference is made to benchmarks, industrial best–practice as the basis for establishing performance gaps and a sound basis for recommending improvement.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Appendix E. Energy Projects – Identification and Assessment Process

The objective of the Assessment Programme is generally to identify a list of Project Proposals suitable for Implementation. As such, it is essentially an idea generation, validation and project scope definition process. During the initial idea generation phase, a list of many observations is documented. Observations are then validated and streamlined to generate a short list of opportunities. These opportunities are then reviewed and validated with the site in order to generate a portfolio of projects for Implementation.



E.1 Preparation

The assessment preparation covers all the activities, which should take place before the team goes to the site. It includes the familiarisation of the team with the available contract information, the preparation of an Assessment Plan and completion of all necessary logistical pre-requirements.

E.1.1 Information review

The information review should start with Walkthrough documentation if that has been carried out, however some sites may start directly with the Project Identification. Other previous studies like Margin or Reliability Improvement Programmes may give some insights into the site that will be helpful.

This information usually includes:

1. Overall site configuration and logistics
2. Site operational and business objectives
3. Site energy utilisation (overall and by unit)

A variance analysis should be done by comparing the energy use (overall site and by unit) with suitable benchmark data (Solomon EII, etc.) to highlight areas of low energy efficiency. The review should help the team to familiarize with the operations on site, highlight units with poor efficiencies for focus in the ideas generation and provide a health check at the end of definition process on consistency of the final project portfolio.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

E.1.2 Plan for Assessment Period

The Assessment Team Leader should develop an Assessment Period Plan. This should also cover the logistic requirements such as office space and equipment, and access to IT systems, data systems, process information system and documentation as well as the timetable for interviews and meetings.

The Assessment period normally requires 4 – 8 weeks, depends on site complexity, site resource availability, logistics and site-specific constraints. Typically one month before, the Team Leader should start engaging with the site Energy Focal Point, site management sponsors and other key site stakeholders to ensure all the programme requirements are fully understood and set up the necessary preparation steps. The proposals ranking criteria should be discussed with the Site and agreement and consensus on the selected criteria should be obtained.

E.1.3 Develop Understanding of Plant Configuration and Energy Use

This activity carries on in parallel to the Observations and Opportunities generation. In practice, knowledge creation of the site and energy utilisation is an ongoing process that spans through the entire programme, however there should be a conscious focus on a few key steps to enhance this process in the early stages of the Assessment period.

The entire team should have a basic understanding of the plant configuration, operating & technical organisation/sophistication, current energy improvement and monitoring activities and the approximate energy usage and energy bill. This can be realised by several hours review with the host or energy coordinator. The Walkthrough Templates (Appendix F) can provide a suitable basis as to the information required.

The team should also have an understanding of the effective marginal fuel and power pricing mechanisms, and have identified a set of preliminary marginal prices for all utility streams. Specific elements should include the comparison of the steam prices used on site with the calculation of HP steam costs calculated from the cost of fuel required to generate the HP steam and the marginal MP and LP steam costs including the cost of fuel for generation and the electricity credit via steam turbines or desuperheater stations, etc.

Development of a consistent and relevant pricing set for the programme will require discussions with and validation from the site economists during the assessment phase.

The plant should be divided into unit areas with a detailed analysis of the energy use per unit, preferably with a comparison against a suitable benchmark. Fuel, electricity and steam consumptions should be inventoried for each unit. The initial marginal prices should also be added, and the utility bill for each unit estimated.

It is strongly recommended to create and analyse energy balances for the site and to identify high energy consumption areas. Consistency of producers and consumer information should be checked. Balances should also be used to define limiting conditions in marginal energy/material quantities. Benefits which surpass these thresholds may need to be priced at different marginal value (if any).

E.1.4 Operations Reviews

The detailed operational review of the process and utilities units forms the basis for information gathering and identifying opportunities for improving energy efficiency.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

This is a combination of extensive round-the-table flow-sheet reviews supported by plant visits and walkthroughs. The flow sheet review will take place in a logical fashion, following the streams through the unit and at all times questioning and challenging operating conditions and facts relating to energy performance. This drives the observation gathering process G.2.1 below. The use of Best Practices Guides with check-lists of typical operating conditions is strongly advised as a means of inviting comparison and making observations.

It is important that in addition to the conventional operational reviews the process also covers the configuration and performance of energy equipment, i.e. fired heaters, heat exchangers (shell & tube, air coolers, special exchangers), rotating machinery (turbines, compressors, pumps), steam ejectors, electric motors, transformers & switchgears, cooling towers, etc.

E.2 Generate Observations and Opportunities

E.2.1 Observations

As a direct outcome of the plant review, information that is relevant to the development of value-added opportunities is captured in specific observations. These can be any form of factual observation of an energy-related condition or situation.

Observations can also arise from other sources, such as review of past projects, studies and best practices, interviews with site process engineers and operators, using knowledge and tools available to the Team and to the site staff. Following the capture of observations, the Team goes through the observations in a systematic manner to identify items, patterns, common themes and general ideas that can lead to the generation of opportunities. Observations are generated continuously with the different activities during the initial phase of the Assessment. Care should be taken to log them in the observation list and share them within the team.

Good Practice: maintain an observation-capture spreadsheet which is continually updated as the review meeting progresses. Observations are entered immediately together with their potential impact. It is important not to lose the thought or idea. The final stage of the meeting is to review all the observations – allocate ‘themes’ (e.g. Process, Furnace, control, rotating equipment, scheduling etc) which will aid future analysis and add initial thoughts on follow-up. An example Observation Capture Sheet is given in Appendix G.3.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

E.2.2 Creating Opportunities

After the interview phase is completed, the observation list should be reviewed internally within the Team in order to identify a series of preliminary opportunities for improving energy performance. The number of preliminary opportunities is significantly lower than the number of underlying observations.



A preliminary ranking criteria can be used to filter out the opportunities, based on ease of implementation, cost of capex/opex and benefits. (Refer to Chapter 9). This ranking provides a very rough indication of the overall potential for the opportunity in terms of benefits and implementation potential, and is used to decide whether to take the opportunity forward into next phase of development and benefits validation.

In a similar fashion to the observations, the opportunities are developed and logged in a list. A template example is provided in Appendix G.4. Behind this list is a common database of pricing and estimating data.

The main points to consider when developing the opportunities list are:

- Potential benefits achievable
- The actions needed to realise the benefits
- Technical feasibility and risk
- Operational feasibility and risk
- Resources requirements (man-hours, time, capital and operating costs)

This list of preliminary opportunities is used as the basis for validation. Ideas that are not selected should remain logged in the Opportunities List, stating the reasons for not pursuing for future reference.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

E.2.3 Opportunities Validation

Once the list of preliminary opportunities is defined, the team proceeds internally to the first pass validation of the preliminary opportunities. It is the aim of the preliminary opportunity development effort to:

- Describe each opportunity
- Identify the main constraints, risks and implementation requirements
- Define the indicative benefits, with breakdown of the impact on each Class of Energy (fuel, steam, electricity, etc.) both absolute and as a percentage of the specific unit use
- Define the indicative costs in terms of capital and operating expenditures

This process will involve several activities including:

- Site data retrieval, analysis & review
- Trending of key parameters which relate to the opportunity
- P&ID reviews
- Field reviews
- Engineering calculations, e.g. process simulation and application of other energy tools & techniques
- Economics analysis, including preliminary benefits and cost estimates

The list of embryonic projects is now emerging from the opportunity listing. Further review involves verifying operational constraints, identifying projects and initiatives (past, present and planned) impacting the areas under consideration, identifying stakeholders and stakeholders' position with respect to the potential opportunity under review. Site feedback on each opportunity is needed, to get site buy-in of the opportunities at a conceptual level and to identifying viability, gaps and associated development requirements for each opportunity.

The individual projects should also be checked against the initial 'variance analysis' to check whether the claimed benefits are realistic and achievable.

The overall set of projects should be checked for double accounting. Multiple projects that claim on the same benefits and/or value generating mechanisms should be combined to minimise the risk of generating conflicting projects and of overestimating the achievable overall programme benefit potential.

E.2.4 Opportunities Prioritisation and Project Development

After the validated list of opportunities is developed, the final potential project list is then reviewed once again together with the site/business owner to prioritise the items and agree their revised relative potential benefits, resource requirements and implementation risks in order to define a short list of potential projects to be proposed for further development towards execution.

A suggested Energy Improvement Proposal template is provided in section G.5 as an example of a suitable form of documentation for the finalised project submissions.

E.2.5 Early Implementation (Quick-win)

It is possible that some items could be already mature enough for execution before the completion of the Assessment period (Quick-win). It is strongly recommended to identify items with such early execution potential as soon as possible and give them the highest priority. The implementation of Quick-win ideas will generally give a good impression to the Site and be a strong advocate for the benefits of an energy efficiency programme. (Quick Win assessment is discussed in Chapter 9.2.3 on project ranking).

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

E.3 Example of Observations-Gathering Datasheet

UNIT 1 – ENERGY USE	
Data Source	
Fuel	[amount, units]
Electricity	[amount, units]
HP Steam	[amount, units]
MP Steam	[amount, units]
LP Steam	[amount, units]
[insert other rows, CoEs as req'd]	[amount, units]

UNIT 1 – BENCHMARKING DATA	
Data Source	
Actual equivalent fuel usage/time	[fuel usage, units]
Theoretical equiv fuel usage/time	[fuel usage, units]
Cor'td Energy & Loss Index	[Index, year]
CEL Gap / Overlap	
Equiv. Distillation Capacity (EDC)	
Utilised EDC (UEDC)	
Theoretical Energy	
Energy Intensity Index (EII)	
[insert other rows, CoEs as req'd]	

UNIT 1 – MAJOR ENERGY EQUIPMENT					
Item Description	*Item Code*	*Energy Type(s)*		*Usage (units)*	*Comment*

UNIT 1 – CAPACITY & CURRENT OPERATIONAL CONSTRAINTS		
Capacity Basis, Constraint area	*Capacity (units)*	*Constraint / Comment*

UNIT 1 - RECENT, PLANNED SHUTDOWN HISTORY AND PROJECTS		
Description	*ETA*	*Comment*

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

UNIT 1 – MAJOR ENERGY EQUIPMENT							
Item ID	Observations	Date	Opportunity, Comments	Theme	Energy Impact	Follow-up	Link
1	Syn gas unit U7900 : the unit is running at turn down condition, 45-60 t/d with 90 t/d design capacity. Note that the design capacity is not proven over a significant period. To reduce the need of venting syn gas at low demands a plant trail is scheduled to take min. nafta feed rate down to 25 t/d.	29/02/2009	Save energy, reduce downgrading of naphtha feed in turndown mode (not too often).	PRO	PUR	Review results of plant trail.	PRO-3
2	Naphtha feed vaporiser, F5603, consumes 5 t/d fuel gas with 3.5% stack O_2, and 635 °C stack temperature. Low oxygen alarm setting at 2.5% (will clamp the fuelgas at 2.5%).	29/02/2009	Save fuel gas.	FUR	PUR	Review furnace operating condition. However, limited scope for improvement.	FUR-1
3	Steam/naphtha ratio is set at 2.2 to 2.5. Two online ratios shows different number as using different flow meters. Operations want to control of this parameter, the parameter is quite conservative to absorb any fluctuations in naphtha feed rates.	29/02/2009	Save fuel gas, improve H_2/CO ratio and save MPS.	PRO	PUR	Review original proposal for ratio control of this parameter (plantchange at 2000). Consider a intermediate solution that operation can accept.	PRO-3
4	Main reformer furnace F3407 runs at 6.3% excess O_2. There may be scope to optimise/reduce the excess O_2. The convection banks do not show significant signs of fouling. Note that there are a lot of trips on this furnace. Flue gas of reformer is still at 320 °C ex convection bank.	29/02/2009	Save fuel gas.	FUR	PUR	Review furnace operations, consider excess 0_2 reduction (reduce draft via stack fan). Note that safeguarding makes this furnace operation complex.	PRO-3
5	CO_2 preheater, E6702 on main flue gas stack runs with bypass valve open. Apparently there is a constraint.	29/02/2009	Improve heat recovery.	HIS	PUR	Review temperature constraint. R3344 is filled with new catalyst that cannot operate at higher than 350 °C. No scope for improvement.	
6	Solvent circulation rate is high at 4000 t/d total. ABC Composition has shifted from the normal compostition, and re-inventorising the system is considered.	29/02/2009	Save power, LPS on solvent regeneration.	PRO	PUR	Review required solvent flow rate. Scope to reduce the flow rate after re-inventorising the system.	PRO-4
7	High heat losses observed over the HDT reaction section. Snat hot indicated a 60 °C temperature drop.	29/02/2009	Reduce heat loss.	PRO	PUR	Consider doing a IR scan of the reactor system, to review the condition of the insulation.	
8	KLM gas ratio is at 1.85 and typical range is 1.8 - 1.85. This is controlled by adjusting side stream and venting part of the produced H_2. In normal operations more hydrogen is vented then used.	29/02/2009	Energy saving, reduce H_2, off gas vent.	HIS	PUR	Review the KLMs ratio. Potential for increasing the syngas ratio is low.	PRO-3
9	MPS steam pressure of the steam generators is kept at 22.5 bara. The reason for this elevated pressure is unclear.	31/02/2009	Increase MPS production.	PRO	PUR	Review MPS pressure level, and consider pressure reduction.	UTL-2
10	Injection of oxygen scavenger into deairated water is located at suction of P2151, thus no treating of BFW to Unit 3 and Unit 4 headers.	31/02/2009	Reduce corrosion, increase reliability.	STM	PUR	Consider second oxygen scavenger injection point. (or increase deairator pressure). Will be taken up by client.	UTL-2
11	Several projects being developed to review possibility of importing cheaper feed (compared to naphtha tops from Unit 9. Alternatives would be IXU feedstream and butanes in summer.	31/02/2009	Reduce cost of feedstock.	STM	PUR	Not for Programme.	PRO-3
12	Due to lengthy compressor overhauls, sparing of critical reciprocating compressor is not always possible. Capacity control of all compressors is on spillback control and capacity can be trimmed with manual valve unloaders. To minimise compression.	31/02/2009	Reduce power consumption.	ROT	PUR	Review compression capacity vs. demand.	CON-1
13	There is continuous spillback on BFW from the BFW pumps. Spillback is via restriction orifices.	31/02/2009	Reduce power consumption.	ROT	PUR	Review spillback flow vs min. requirements of pumps.	
14	E2145 may be fouling.	31/02/2009	Increase heat recovery.	HIS	PUR	Trend OHTC based on field instruments.	
15	Steam leakage are a significant source of steam loss. Steam trap program started 2003 and situation has been improved a lot.	31/02/2009	Save MPS/LPS.	STM	PUR	Consider whether Programme can contribute.	UTL-2

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

E.4 Example of Opportunity Data Base

Potential Opportunities – Project Masterlist XYZ Company at Location ABC

Items		Description	Comment	Rate of energy savings - BASE PROGRAMME								Annual Energy Savings Potential - BASE PROGRAMME										
				Elect kWh/h	VHP Steam tonne/h	MP Steam tonne/h	LP Steam tonne/h	[RFG] tFuel/hr	[RFO] tFuel/hr	[BFW] tonne/h	CO2 tonne/	HOURS ACTIVE	OTHER BENEFIT	TOTAL BENEFIT	CAPEX / OPEX					TOTAL ENERGY	Energy Index DELTA
															1st yr	1st yr PAY CAPEX COST	Recur. OPEX OUT	1st year OPEX			
				0.04	9.92	7.64	7.64	130.00	130.00	0.60	15.00										
				[USD]/kWh	[USD]/tonne	[USD]/tonne	[USD]/tonne	[USD]/tGRF	[USD]/tGRF	[USD]/tonne	[USD]/tonne			k[USD]/Yr	k[USD]	k[USD]	[USD]/Y	k[USD]/YEARS		t Fuel	
PACKAG	UNIT 1																				
	XYZ-UNIT1 -01	Optimise ABC circulation		75.0								8760		24	100			100	4.22	0.7	0.1
	XYZ-UNIT1 -02	Reduce hydrocarbon losses at Unit 1 plant	Note that 200 k USD of other benefits are related to ethylene recovery; additional capex 200 k USD.					0.30			0.900	8760	219	679	500			500	0.74	0.3	0.0
	XYZ-UNIT1 -03	Optimise capacity control of compressors	Based on improved controls on the compressor	100.0								8760		32	100			100	3.17	0.9	0.1
	XYZ-UNIT1 -04	Optimise ABC De-ethanisers operation	including improved operation of the overhead compressors	40.0		0.3					0.050	8760		36				-		0.7	0.1
	XYZ-UNIT1 -05	Condensate recovery improvement						0.00		0.8	0.009	8760		9				-		0.0	0.0
	XYZ-UNIT1 -06	Optimise UNIT1 steam balance	Usages 350 t/d of MPS			0.8					0.150	8760		70	50			50	0.72	1.0	0.1
	XYZ-UNIT1 -07	Exploit UNIT1 tempered water system	Step-out project for district heating. Could be challenging to realise.									8760		-						-	-
	XYZ-UNIT1 -08	ABC heavy ends distillation optimisation						0.08			0.250	8760		128	50			50	0.39	0.1	0.0
	XYZ-UNIT1 -09	UNIT1 furnace optimisation	Cleaning of radiant section					0.1			0.188	8760		96	0	0	50	50	0.52	0.1	0.0
	XYZ-UNIT1 -10	Optimise heavy flasher operation	Mainly margin improvement; back-up item									8760		-				-		-	-
												8760		-				-		-	-
												8760		-				-		-	-
		TOTAL PACKAGE UNIT 1		215.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.8	1.5		219	1,072	800	0	50	850	0.79	3.8	0.3
PACKAG	UNIT 2																				
	XYZ-UNIT2-01	Optimise MPS raising pressure E2109						0.01			0.025	8760		13				-		0.0	0.0
	XYZ-UNIT2-02	Optimise UNIT2 furnaces operation						0.1			0.188	8760		96				-		0.1	0.0
	XYZ-UNIT2-03	Rationalise UNIT2 steam tracing				0.2					0.033	8760		16				-		0.2	0.0
	XYZ-UNIT2-04	Stop MPS supply for UNIT2 reactor				0.25					0.050	8760		23				-		0.3	0.0
	XYZ-UNIT2-05	Optimise steam/Naphtha ratio of reformer				0.25					0.050	8760		23				-		0.3	0.0
	XYZ-UNIT2-06	Reduce heat leakage reformer HDS						0.01			0.025	8760		13		20		20	1.57	0.0	0.0
	XYZ-UNIT2-07	Reduce steam leakage and increase condensate recovery	Steam demand 300-350 t/d			1.3					0.250	8760		117				-		1.7	0.1
	XYZ-UNIT2-08	Optimise UNIT 2a distillation						0.1			0.150	8760		77				-		0.1	0.0
	XYZ-UNIT2-09	Reinstall UNIT 2b Reactor feed/effluent exchanger					0.8				0.150	8760		70	100			100	1.43	1.0	0.1
												8760		-				-		-	-
		TOTAL PACKAGE UNIT 2		0.0	0.0	1.9	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.9		0	447	100	20	0	120	0.27	3.8	0.3
PACKAG	UNIT 3																				
	XYZ-UNIT3-01	Improve combustion UNIT3 Incinerator						0.02			0.063	8760		32	20			20	0.63	0.0	0.0
												8760		-				-		-	-
		TOTAL PACKAGE UNIT 3		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	17520	0	31.9375	20	0	0	20	0.63	0.02083	0.001736111
												8760		-				-		-	-
												8760		-				-		-	-
												8760		-				-		-	-
		TOTAL BASE PROGRAMME		215.0	0.0	2.9	0.8	0.6	0.0	1	2.5		219	1551	920	20	50	990	0.6	8	0.6

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

E.5 Energy Improvement Proposal Template

Company X	**ENERGY IMPROVEMENT PROPOSAL no.: - XXX**
Ranking: Benefits: *give $ value pa* Ease: *description* Capital: *give $ value*	**Title:** • *Crisp description, that should relate to business area*
This item is linked to: *note any other related proposal numbers and title*	
Description: *Brief description that should explain the business case.* **Current Situation:** *Brief description that should explain (in brief bullets) the current situation* **Future Situation:** *Brief description that should explain (in brief bullets) what the future situation will be and the necessary changes to achieve it.*	
Order of potential benefits: *benefits in M€yr* • *provide a description how the benefits are calculated (in detail: xx extra t/day * €/t * yy days/yr = xx €/yr)* • *refer to supporting business modelling runs as relevant.* • *provide achievable targets or KPIs for non-financial benefits.*	
Order of costs / investments: *in M€ with brief explanation / breakdown* Capital investment : *if possible refer to engineering estimate.* Revenue expenditure : Development costs :	
Investigations carried out so far: • *note any key assumptions; any relevant information which underpins the proposal* • *should provide enough (preliminary) info. to allow ranking of this proposal in terms of benefits/costs, resource requirements / ease of implementation, speed of implementation* **Barriers to success:** • *potential blockers and/or issues*	
Measurement of Benefits: • *provide clear guidelines (preferably a formula) for post-implementation determination of the benefits, indicating parameters to be measured and economic values to be used.*	
Suggested approach for development: • *High level description of implementation scope.*	
Initial estimate of resources required for implementation: • *High level estimate based on implementation scope*	

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

E.5 Energy Improvement Proposal Template (continued)

Timing of implementation:

- *indicative: e.g. <3 mths; shutdown related + date of shutdown, etc.*

Implementation focal points:

- *Propose the name of a Site resource accountable for the implementation of this Proposal.*
- *Name of the agreed Assessment Team member who is accountable for tracking and assisting, as necessary, in the implementation of this Proposal*

	Name	Date	Signature
Site	.....	.....	.....
Team			

	Name	Date	Signature
Site Line Manager*	.....	.....	.....

Comments:

.....
.....
.....

Final Approval Executive Committee:

	Name	Date	Signature
Site	.....	.....	.....
Team			

** Person with responsibility for the area in which this Proposal is to be implemented – Manager, Superintendent, or Department Head.*

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Appendix F. Emerson Process Management Tools and techniques web links

Emerson Industrial Energy

www.emersonprocess.com/IndustrialEnergy

Industrial Energy Applications
Boilers
Chillers/Compressors
Utility Energy Management Systems
Steam Headers
Steam Traps
Steam Turbine Generators
Utility Tie Lines

www.emersonprocess.com/IndustrialEnergyApplications

Industrial Energy Solutions

Emissions reduction
Energy Efficiency
Fuel Cost savings
Load Allocation
Load Shedding
Reliability
Staff Effectiveness

www.emersonprocess.com/IndustrialEnergySolutions

Essential Asset Monitoring

Blowers Cooling towers
Fired Heaters
Heat Exchangers
Pumps
Compressors

www.emersonprocess.com/EAM

Refining Solutions Energy Efficiency

Heater Optimisation
Fractionator Optimisation
Distillation Optimisation
Process Improvement and Optimisation Consulting
Master Plan: Path To Operational Excellence

www.emersonprocess.com/IndustrialEnergyEfficiency

Consulting Services

Conceptual Design and Feasibility
Process Improvement and Optimisation
SmartProcess® Solutions

www.emersonprocess.com/IndustrialEnergyOptimization

Emerson AMS Suite

AMS Performance Advisor
AMS Performance Monitor
AMS Machinery manager
AMS Device Manager
AMS Asset Graphics
Improving Asset Availability

www.emersonprocess.com/AMS-Suite

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Appendix G. EU BReF Listing

The BAT Reference Documents (BReF) reflect an information exchange on best available techniques, associated monitoring and developments carried out under the 2008 IPPC (Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control) Directive and subsequently the 2010 IED (Industrial Emissions Directive). Energy Loss (through Carbon Emissions) is considered as a pollutant.

The Energy Efficiency BReF covers generic best practice whilst the sector specific guides provide useful practices and benchmarks suitable for the individual industries. It is highly likely that these reference documents will form a basis for the Energy Auditing Programmes required by the EED.

The documents are freely available to download at ***http://eippcb.jrc.es/reference/***

- Cement, Lime and Magnesium Oxide Manufacturing Industries
- Ceramic Manufacturing Industry
- Common Waste Water and Waste Gas Treatment/ Management Systems in the Chemical Sector
- Economics and Cross-media Effects
- Emissions from Storage
- Energy Efficiency
- Ferrous Metals Processing Industry
- Food, Drink and Milk Industries
- General Principles of Monitoring
- Industrial Cooling Systems
- Iron and Steel Production
- Large Combustion Plants
- Large Volume Inorganic Chemicals – Ammonia, Acids and Fertilisers Industries
- Large Volume Inorganic Chemicals – Solids and Others Industry
- Large Volume Organic Chemical Industry
- Management of Tailings and Waste-rock in Mining Activities
- Manufacture of Glass
- Manufacture of Organic Fine Chemicals
- Non-ferrous Metals Industries
- Production of Chlor-alkali
- Production of Polymers
- Production of Speciality Inorganic Chemicals
- Pulp and Paper Industry
- Refining of Mineral Oil and Gas
- Surface Treatment of Metals and Plastics
- Surface Treatment Using Organic Solvents
- Waste Incineration
- Waste Treatments Industries

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

Appendix H. Other Good Practice Guides and sources of Information

1. Energy Management – A Comprehensive Guide to Controlling Energy Use. The Carbon Trust, CTG054. ***http://www.carbontrust.com*** (replaces the well known Good Practice Guide: GPG376. A Strategic Approach to Energy & Environmental Management).

2. An Energy Star Guide for Energy & Plant Managers. Berkeley National Laboratory, LBNL-56183.
3. "Energy Management Information Systems. A handbook for managers, engineers and operational staff." Hooke/Landry/Hart. Canadian Industry Program for Energy Conservation. National Resources Canada.

4. The website of National Resources Canada. Many useful articles on energy efficiency and in particular Energy Management. ***http://canmetenergy.nrcan.gc.ca***
5. Texas Technology Showcase. A useful organisation based at the University of Texas at Austin with a strong emphasis on Industrial sharing and Energy Management. Regular Webinars. ***http://texasiof.ces.utexas.edu/***

6. Introduction to Pinch Technology, Linhoff-March, 1998 (63 pages – available in multiple locations on the web).

7. Pinch Analysis and Process Integration, Ian C. Kemp, second edition 2007 (390 pages, Elsevier ISBN 13: 978 0 75068 260 2)

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

The Author

David Stockill provides support to Emerson Process Management.

David specialises in energy management and process control services for the oil, gas and petrochemical industries and their supplier companies. As a former Shell Group Subject Matter Expert for Energy Management Systems, David developed world-wide standards and energy management work processes for Shell operating companies.

David is a highly respected engineer in the fields of energy management, process control and operations decision support techniques. In a 32 year career with the Shell Group of companies he has held senior leadership positions in these areas and has played an influential role in the identification, development and roll-out of many of Shell's tools and technologies in these fields.

David is a Fellow of the Institution of Chemical Engineers and holds an MA in Physics from Oxford University.

©2013 Emerson. All rights reserved.

The Emerson logo is a trademark and service mark of Emerson Electric Co. The contents of this publication are presented for information purposes only and, while effort has been made to ensure their accuracy, they are not to be construed as warranties or guarantees, express or implied, regarding the products or service described wherein or their use or applicability. All sales are governed by our terms and conditions, which are available on request. We reserve the right to modify or improve the designs or specifications of our products at any time without notice.